



1

1 Multi-GNSS real-time tropospheric delay retrieval based on SSR 2 products from different analysis centers 3 4 Wanqiang Yao¹, Haoran Huang¹, Xiongwei Ma¹, Qi Zhang², Yibin Yao², Xiaohu Lin¹, Qingzhi 5 Zhao¹ and Yunzheng Huang¹ 6 7 ¹College of Geomatics, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710054, China ²School of Geodesy and Geomatics, Wuhan University. Wuhan 430000, China 8 9 10 **Correspondence:** Qi Zhang (qizhangsgg@whu.edu.cn) 11 12 Abstract: The troposphere plays an important role in a range of weather and various climate changes. With the 13 development of the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), the zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD) inversion based on 14 GNSS has become one of the common methods. Research on real-time precise point positioning (RT-PPP)-derived ZTD 15 accuracies of SSR corrections from different Analysis Centers (ACs) is important for earth observation correction, 16 meteorological disaster forecasting, and warning with the increasing abundance of state-space representation (SSR) 17 products obtained by the International GNSS Service Analysis Center (IGS). Therefore, accuracies and availability of 18 real-time orbits and clock errors obtained by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), GMV Aerospace and Defense 19 (GMV), Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNE) and Wuhan University (WHU) were evaluated, and the RT 20 positioning performance and ZTD accuracies were analyzed for GPS, Galileo, BDS3. The results indicate that CAS has 21 the higher satellite availability, providing SSR corrections for 82 GPS, Galileo, BDS3 satellites. The accuracies of 22 GPS/Galileo/BDS3 orbits are best at WHU, CAS, WHU with values of 5.57/5.91/11.77 cm, respectively; the STDs of 23 clock error are all better than 0.22/0.19/0.55 ns, and the RMSEs are better than 0.54/0.32/1.46 ns. CAS has the best 24 Signal-In-Space Ranging Errors (SISRE), followed by WHU, while CNE and GMV are worse. In the RT-PPP test, 25 convergence times for CAS and WHU are 14.9 minutes and 14.4 minutes, respectively, with 3D positioning accuracy 26 both around 3.3 cm, which is better than CNE and GMV. Among them, WHU-SSR has the higher accuracy of RT-PPP-27 derived ZTD with an RMSE of 6.06 mm and desirable availability with a completeness rate of 89%. 28 Keywords: GNSS, State space representation, Zenith tropospheric delay, Real-time PPP 29 1 INTRODUCTION 30 ZTD can be used for earth observation error correction(Kinoshita, 2022; Xiong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 31 2022), including GNSS, Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI), Interferometric Synthetic Aperture 32 Radar (InSAR), and warning and forecasting of extreme natural disasters (Li et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2018). 33 Besides, satellite signals are affected by refraction during pass through the troposphere, causing delay errors

- 34 (Gao et al., 2021). The tropospheric delay can also be converted to obtain atmospheric precipitation water
- 35 vapor, facilitating the research on scientific issues such as global atmospheric radiation, energy balance, and





2

water cycle (Edokossi et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2021; Pipatsitee et al., 2023). Therefore, RT
and high-precision ZTD can be used to provide rapid and accurate tropospheric correction services in space
geodesy while benefiting weather forecasting and climate change research (Crocetti et al., 2024; He et al.,
2024).

40 GNSS is an important means of water vapor detection and is increasingly important in short-term and near-41 space forecasting(Eugenia Bianchi et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015; Sha et al., 2024). GNSS-ZTD retrieval has an 42 excellent prospect for development due to the advantages of all-weather, RT, and high accuracy (Hadas et 43 al., 2020; Lu et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2024). The double-difference algorithm through GNSS networking and 44 PPP is commonly used for ZTD retrieval (Stepniak et al., 2022). PPP has a broader range of applications, 45 including timing, atmospheric modeling, and deformation monitoring, due to the lower cost and one GNSS 46 receiver (Ge et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2023). However, the PPP technology relies on high-47 precision GNSS orbit and clock error products, typically released as final precise post-processing products 48 to the public (Li et al., 2022). A working group was established by the IGS to study GNSS RT data and 49 launched an RT data service in 2013 for high-precision RT-PPP applications (Gu et al., 2022). GNSS RT 50 orbits and clock corrections are made available to users based on the Internet through the Networked 51 Transport of RTCM via Internet Protocol (NTRIP) (Shu et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). 52 SSR products have led to a remarkable 50% improvement in RT-PPP positioning accuracy compared to IGS 53 ultra-fast products (Elsobeiey et al., 2016). SSR products are becoming increasingly abundant with the rapid 54 development of computer arithmetic and the increasing demand for real-time high-precision GNSS 55 applications. Li and Wang (Li et al., 2022) conducted a comprehensive evaluation of SSR products from 10 56 ACs multi-GNSS and performed RT dynamic PPP, which showed the most complete and highest quality 57 products obtained by CNES and WHU. Furthermore, it has also been applied to RT deformation monitoring, 58 RT atmospheric detection, and other fields (Li et al., 2023). Capilla et al. (2016) applied RT-PPP to 59 deformation monitoring and demonstrated that the technique has a monitoring accuracy of 2 cm and proved 60 that RT-PPP was full of potential for deformation monitoring applications. Li et al. (2015) investigated the 61 ZTD solution and Integrated Water Vapor (IWV) retrieval of multi-GNSS RT-PPP. They compared RT-PPP-62 derived ZTD with data from concurrent radiosonde stations and VLBI, which demonstrated that the 63 performance of the multi-GNSS RT-PPP-derived ZTD can reach the millimeter level and has potential in the 64 application of meteorology.

65 Researchers have assessed the accuracy of single-system RT-PPP-derived ZTD and multi-GNSS RT-PPP-66 derived ZTD. Lu et al. (2015) found that the retrieval accuracy of atmospheric water vapor can be improved 67 by several millimeters when a combined GPS and BDS solution is used. Li et al. (2015) obtained RT-PPP-68 derived ZTD using GPS, BDS2, Galileo, and GLONASS PPP, demonstrating higher accuracy and greater ZTD availability than a single-system PPP. Jiao et al. (2019) analyzed the results of PPP and multi-GNSS 69 70 PPP, noting that the positioning accuracy and convergence were significantly improved with the inclusion of 71 the BDS3 satellite system. The accuracy of BDS3-derived ZTD is improved by 20.5% versus that of BDS2. 72 Alcay et al. (2021) compared the GPS-, GPS/GLONASS- and GPS/GLONASS/Galileo/BDS-PPP solutions





3

and found that the ZTD difference between the three schemes was less than 20 mm. Lu et al. (2017) compared
 the accuracy of the RT-PPP-derived ZTD using the different SSR products, and the multi-GNSS RT-PPP-

75 derived ZTD based on GFZC2 SSR products showed the highest accuracy.

76 The ongoing discussion primarily centers on the influence of single- and multi-GNSS RT-PPP on the 77 accuracy of RT ZTD. Yet, there is a limited discourse on the influence of the different ACs-based SSR 78 corrections on the accuracy of RT ZTD. The quality of GNSS SSR products has improved with the increasing 79 abundance of SSR products provided by IGS. Most studies have focused on BDS2 (Lu et al., 2015; Pan et 80 al., 2018), while BDS3 needs to be sufficiently studied. Moreover, evaluating the influence of different GNSS 81 SSR products for the accuracy of RT-PPP-derived ZTD is an important reference value for achieving high-82 precision and high-availability in RT-PPP-derived ZTD with the growth of SSR products. In this study, 83 positioning performance and ZTD accuracy are estimated using the RT-PPP based on multi-GNSS from 8 84 IGMAS stations from 355 in 2023 to 14 in 2024. Our primary objective is to compare the RT-PPP positioning performance, RT-PPP-derived ZTD accuracy and availability based on SSR products from different ACs. 85 86 The findings serve as a valuable reference for selecting SSR products in RT-PPP-derived ZTD and hold 87 significant importance for applications such as earth observation correction, meteorological disaster 88 prediction, etc.

89 2 THE METHOD OF RT-PPP-DERIVED ZTD

90 2.1 Data collection

91 IGS was established to bolster geodetic and geodynamic research, officially launching its operations on January 1, 1994. IGS offers worldwide access to GNSS satellite observations from various tracking stations 92 93 and products, including satellite ephemerides, clock errors, earth orientation parameters, atmospheres, etc. 94 (Geng et al., 2021; Griffiths, 2019). IGMAS was established under the leadership of China in 2012. Its 95 primary purpose is establishing an information platform equipped with data acquisition, storage, analysis, management, and release functions for the global RT tracking network of the four major satellite navigation 96 97 systems with full arcs and multiple coverage observations. The leading indicators and operational status of 98 GNSS were tested and assessed to generate products such as high-precision precision ephemerides, satellite 99 clock errors, geotropic parameters, tracking station coordinates and rates, and global ionospheric delays(Li 100 et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023).

The workflow of this study is shown in Figure 1 and includes three parts: verifying the performance of 101 102 PPP, assessing different SSR products, and verifying the performance of RT-PPP using the results obtained 103 by post-processing PPP and PRIDE PPPAR. In the first part, the position and ZTD are estimated using the 104 multi-GNSS post-processing PPP technique from IGS stations in Asia-Pacific to verify the performance of 105 multi-GNSS post-processing PPP. A total of 20 stations are selected in countries such as Mongolia, Russia, 106 Japan, India, Thailand, and others. The 14 IGS sites with the highest availability are selected from the IGS 107 sites for experimental analysis according to the number of observation documents. In the second part, the 108 accuracies of SSR products from four ACs are evaluated. In the third part, the position and ZTD are estimated





4

- 109 using a multi-GNSS RT-PPP technique from 8 IGMAS stations in China. Data from DOY 355 in 2023 to
- DOY 14 in 2024 are utilized. GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 can be received at these stations simultaneously. The 110
- solutions obtained by PRIDE PPPAR and post-processing PPP are considered the reliable position and ZTD 111
- to verify the performance of RT-PPP. Figure 2 displays the distribution information of the selected IGS and 112
- 113 IGMAS sites in this study and the information of IGMAS stations is shown in Table 1.



114 115

Figure 1. The workflow of this study.

Table 1. Location information of IGMAS stations.

Stations	Longitude/°	Latitude/°	Height/m	Geographical area
BJF	115.89	39.61	75.4	North China
CHU	125.44	43.79	273.9	Northeast Region
GUA	87.18	43.47	2029.3	Northwest China
KUN	102.80	25.03	1988.4	Southwest China
LHA	91.10	29.66	3630.2	Southwest China
SHA	121.20	31.10	20.9	East China
WUH	114.49	30.52	71.1	Central China
XIA	109.22	34.37	449.4	Northwest China



5





117 118



119 2.2 Recovering real-time products

120 IGS RT satellite orbit correction includes the position correction $dO = \begin{bmatrix} \delta O_r & \delta O_a & \delta O_c \end{bmatrix}^T$ and velocity 121 correction $d\overline{O} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \overline{O}_r & \delta \overline{O}_a & \delta \overline{O}_c \end{bmatrix}^T$ at the reference moment; then the orbital correction at the moment *t* 122 is:

123 $\delta O = O + d\overline{O}(t - t_0) \tag{1}$

124 The orbital corrections from the spacecraft body-fixed system should be transformed to the Earth-Centered 125 Earth-Fixed (ECEF) system by means of a coordinate transformation. Since the positioning is usually done 126 in ECEF:

127
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{r} & \overline{r} \times \overline{r} & \overline{r} & \overline{r} \times \overline{r} \\ \overline{|\overline{r}|} \times \overline{|r \times \overline{r}|} & \overline{|\overline{r}|} & \overline{|r \times \overline{r}|} \end{bmatrix} \delta O$$
(2)

where $r = X_{brdc}$ refers to satellite positions from broadcast ephemeris. $\overline{r} = \overline{X}_{brdc}$ refers to velocity from broadcast ephemeris. The X_{pre} refers to precise satellite position, which can be calculated by:

 $X_{pre} = X_{brdc} - \delta X \tag{3}$

131 The RT correction of the clock error refers to the difference of precision clock error δt_{pre} versus broadcast 132 clock error δt_{brdc} , which is similar to RT orbital correction. However, the SSR clock error correction is 133 represented by c_0 , c_1 , and c_2 of the reference time t_0 unlike orbital corrections and the RT correction δc





(4)

(5)

134 is obtained by fitting c_0 , c_1 , and c_2 . The RT correction δc of the clock error at the moment t is:

 $\delta c = c_0 + c_1 (t - t_0) + c_2 (t - t_0)^2$

 $\delta t_{pre} = \delta t_{brdc} - \delta_c / C_{light}$

Eventually, precision clock errors δt_{pre} were obtained by:

137

145

138 where δt_{brdc} and C_{light} refers to clock error from broadcast ephemeris and speed of light.

139 2.3 PPP functional model

The impacts of satellite orbits and clock errors are mitigated by employing RT satellite orbits and clock errors recovered by SSR in the PPP technique. ZTD is solved as an unknown parameter of the equation. The principle is to construct two observation equations based on the ionosphere-free (IF) combinations of pseudorange and carrier phase observation(Ju et al., 2022; Ke et al., 2020). The basic observation equations can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} P_{r,i}^{s} = \rho_{r,i}^{s} + C_{light}(dt_{r} - dt^{s}) + I_{r,i}^{s} + T_{r}^{s} + b_{r,i} + b_{r,i}^{s} + \varepsilon_{r,P}^{s} \\ L_{r,i}^{s} = \rho_{r}^{s} + C_{light}(dt_{r} - dt^{s}) - I_{r,i}^{s} + T_{r}^{s} + \lambda_{i}N_{r,i}^{s} + \delta_{r,i} - \delta_{r,i}^{s} + \varepsilon_{r,\varphi}^{s} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where *s* refer to the satellites. *r* refer to the receiver. and *i* refer to the frequency. $L_{r,j}^{s}$ and $P_{r,j}^{s}$ are the carrier phase and pseudo-range observation from receiver *r* to satellite *S*, respectively. ρ refers to the satellite-receiver geometric distance. dt_{r} is the receiver clock error and dt^{s} is the satellite clock error. *I* is the Ionospheric delay. T_{r}^{s} refers to the tropospheric delay. λ is the wavelength. δ and *b* denote the phase delays and the code biases, respectively. *N* is the integer ambiguity. $\varepsilon_{r,p}^{s}$ is the pseudo-range observation noise. $\varepsilon_{r,L}^{s}$ is carrier phase observation noise.

152 The dual-frequency IF combination model is constructed and simplified from the equation(6):

$$\begin{cases} P_{IF} = \rho - cdt + T_r^s + \varepsilon_{r,s}^s \\ L_{IF} = \rho - cdt + T_r^s + \lambda_1 N_{IF} + \varepsilon_{r,\varphi}^s \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

153

156

where L_{IF} is the carrier phase observation. P_{IF} is the pseudo-range observation. The unknown parameters can be estimated in IF-PPP are as follows:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x & cd\overline{t_r} & Z & \overline{N}_{IF} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

where x is the position of the receiver. $cd\overline{t_r}$ refers to receiver clock error. Z refers to the Tropospheric delay. \overline{N}_{IF}^s refers to the integer phase ambiguity.

159 2.4 Accuracy evaluation

Two methods were used to evaluate the accuracy of RT-PPP-derived ZTD at IGMAS stations. The first is
 our post-processing PPP based on RTKLIB for secondary development, and the other one is PRIDE PPPAR





7

162 from Geng et al. of Wuhan University. The consistency between the solution data and the IGS precision 163 products is used to evaluate the positioning and ZTD accuracy of post-processing PPP for IGS stations. Gross 164 errors and outages in the RT clock error products will lead to an unreliable accuracy assessment of the RT 165 clock error products. The accuracy statistics of clock error may be affected if a single satellite's clock error is used as the reference. Therefore, the average satellite clock error at the current epoch is used as a reference 166 167 to eliminate system errors in this study(Yao et al., 2017). The root mean square error (RMSE), standard 168 deviation (STD), and bias of the differences are used to evaluate SSR products and positioning and ZTD of 169 RT-PPP. The three metrics are calculated as follows(Su et al., 2023):

$$\begin{cases} RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta^{2}} \\ STD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\Delta - \Delta_{ave})^{2}} \\ BIAS = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta \end{cases}$$
(9)

170

171 where *N* is the sample number. Δ is the difference of the SSR products versus the IGS precision products. 172 Δ_{ave} is the average Δ .

The Pearson correlation coefficient (R) is also used to evaluate the consistency of reliable ZTD and RTPPP-derived ZTD. R is calculated as follows:

175
$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(ZTD_{i}^{der} - \overline{ZTD_{i}^{der}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(ZTD_{i}^{der} - \overline{ZTD_{i}^{ref}} \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(ZTD_{i}^{der} - \overline{ZTD_{i}^{der}} \right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(ZTD_{i}^{der} - \overline{ZTD_{i}^{ref}} \right)^{2}}}$$
(10)

176 where ZTD_i^{der} is the PPP solution results; ZTD_i^{ref} refers to the tropospheric delay used as a reference.

177 $\overline{ZTD_i^{der}}$ and $\overline{ZTD_i^{ref}}$ denote the mean of ZTD_i^{der} and ZTD_i^{ref} respectively.

178
$$E_{SISRE} = \sqrt{\left[RMSE(\omega_R \Delta r_R - C_{light} \Delta C)\right]^2 + \omega_{A,C}^2 \left\{ \left[RMSE(\Delta r_A)\right]^2 + \left[RMSE(\Delta r_C)\right]^2 \right\}}$$
(11)

where E_{SISRE} refers to the SISRE; ΔC is the difference of the RT clock error versus the IGS precision products in each epoch; Δ_{r_R} , Δ_{r_A} , and Δ_{r_C} are orbital errors in the radial, along-track, and cross-track (R/A/C) direction in each epoch, respectively. ω_R and $\omega_{A,C}^2$ are weighting factors that convert the orbital errors in the R/A/C direction to the orbital errors in the line-of-sight direction(Kazmierski et al., 2020). Different Satellite systems have different SISRE weighting factors, as shown in Table 2. Table 2 Weight factors of SISRE

Satellite system	\mathcal{O}_R	$\omega^2_{\scriptscriptstyle A,C}$
GPS	0.98	1/49
Galileo	0.98	1/61
BDS (MEO)	0.98	1/54





8

	BDS (IGSO, GEO)	0.99	1/126
--	-----------------	------	-------

185 3 ACCURACY EVALUATION OF SSR PRODUCTS

186 The stability of SSR streams can be influenced by various factors, including the receiving software,

187 network stability, and the broadcasting organization. In this study, RTKNAVI software is used to receive the

188 SSR products in the same network environment from the mount-points of SSRC00CAS0, SSRC00CNE0,

189 SSRC00GMV0, and SSRC00WHU0. The SSR product information for each subsystem center is shown in

190 Table 3.

191

Table 3. RTCM-SSR mount points Description.

ACs	Name of Institutions	Mount-points	Supported systems	Update Interval of Orbit/s	Update Interval of Clock/s	Reference Point
CAS	Chinese Academy of Sciences	SSRC00CAS0	GPS+GLO+GAL+BDS	5	5	CoM
CNE	Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales GMV	SSRC00CNE0	GPS+GLO+GAL+BDS	5	5	CoM
GMV	Aerospace and Defense	SSRC00GMV0	GPS+GLO+GAL+BDS	5	5	CoM
WHU	Wuhan University	SSRC00WHU0	GPS+GLO+GAL+BDS	5	5	CoM

192 3.1 SSR Products availability

193 The SSR product completeness rates for the four ACs were assessed for 26 days, from DOY 355 in 2023 194 to 14 in 2024, as illustrated in Figure 3. Notably, the study excluded the unhealthy satellite G01 from consideration. Since SSR corrections for 31 GPS satellites, 24 Galileo satellites, and 27 BDS3 satellites can 195 be obtained, CAS has the higher satellite availability. WHU offers SSR corrections for 31 GPS satellites, 23 196 197 Galileo satellites, and 27 BDS3 satellites. CNE demonstrates lower availability, providing 30 GPS satellites, 198 22 Galileo satellites, and 27 BDS3 satellites. GMV provides SSR corrections for 31 GPS satellites and 23 199 Galileo satellites. BDS-SSR products obtained by GMV are unavailable due to software decoding issues with 200 GMV-SSR products during the experimental data period of this study, which resulted in pseudo-random 201 noise and issue of data ephemeris errors for its BDS satellites. Although the variation of epoch availability for GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 SSR corrections from different ACs is different, the average epoch availability 202 203 of the SSR products provided by the four ACs is above 97.5%.



9







Figure 3. Epoch availability of SSR corrections during the experiment.

206 3.2 Accuracy of SSR products

207 IGS precision products were chosen as references to evaluate different SSR products. The RMSEs of GPS 208 RT orbits in the R/A/C directions for the four ACs are shown in Figure 4. The average RMSEs of GPS, 209 Galileo, and BDS3 RT orbits in the R/A/C directions are shown in Table 4. Figure 4 and Table 4 show that 210 the RMSEs of orbit products in the R-direction are mostly smaller than in the C-direction, with the RMSEs 211 of orbit products in the R-direction being the largest due to the observation being mainly centered around the 212 R-direction. The accuracies of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 RT orbits from different ACs are individually 213 evaluated. The accuracies of GPS RT orbits from four ACs reach the centimeter level and WHU has the best 214 accuracy, followed by CAS and GMV. GPS RT orbits from CNE are relatively worse, with 8.09 cm. Galileo 215 RT orbits from CAS exhibit the lowest error, followed by WHU and GMV, with CNE showing relatively 216 worse accuracy with 10.28 cm. The accuracies of BDS3 RT orbits from four ACs are better than 17 cm. The 217 accuracy of BDS3 RT orbits from WHU is the best, CAS is the second with 14.18 cm, and the products from 218 CNE are worse, with 16.42 cm. Overall, Galileo RT orbits from CAS display the best accuracy, with 5.91 219 cm, while WHU has the best accuracies of GPS and BDS3 RT orbits, with 5.57 cm and 11.77 cm, respectively.







220

221 222

Figure 4. The RMSE values in R/A/C directions of GPS RT orbit from different ACs. Table 4. The mean accuracies of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 RT precise products from different ACs/cm.

		R	А	С	3D			R	А	С	3D
70	GPS	2.07	4.68	3.08	5.97	[1]	GPS	3.82	5.28	4.80	8.09
CA.	GAL	1.91	4.67	3.09	5.91	Ĩ.	GAL	6.92	5.70	5.02	10.28
0	BDS3	6.67	10.32	7.08	14.18	0	BDS3	5.50	12.57	9.02	16.42
>	GPS	2.82	4.40	3.54	6.31	D	GPS	2.20	4.06	3.13	5.57
Ň	GAL	2.18	6.59	3.61	7.82	lΗν	GAL	2.73	5.31	4.20	7.30
Ċ	-	-	-	-	-	2	BDS3	5.30	8.41	6.31	11.77

223 Figure 5 displays the RMSEs and STDs of GPS RT clock errors from four ACs. Table 5 shows the RMSEs 224 and STDs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 RT clock errors. The RT clock errors of G03 provided by CNE and 225 WHU are excluded from this study due to their gross errors. The remaining GPS satellites are used commonly. 226 The mean STDs of GPS RT clock errors from CAS, GMV, and CNE are 0.16, 0.19, and 0.14 ns, respectively, 227 with the largest STD from WHU being 0.22 ns. For Galileo, CAS RT clock errors display the best accuracy, 228 with a mean STD of 0.11 ns. For BDS3, WHU RT clock errors have the best accuracy with STD of 0.39 ns. 229 Overall, the mean STDs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 from the three ACs are better than 0.22, 0.19, and 0.55 ns, respectively, and the mean RMSEs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 from these ACs are better than 0.54, 0.32, 230 231 and 1.46 ns, respectively.







232 233

234

Figure 5. The F

		RMSE	STD			RMSE	STD
	GPS	0.38	0.16	[1]	GPS	0.41	0.19
CAS	GAL	0.17	0.11	N.	GAL	0.32	0.17
0	BDS3	1.03	0.41	0	BDS3	1.76	0.84
>	GPS	0.38	0.14	D	GPS	0.54	0.22
, Wi	GAL	0.21	0.19	IHV	GAL	0.24	0.14

BDS3

1.02

0.39

Table 5. The mean accuracies of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 RT precise products from different ACs/cm.

235 3.3 Accuracy of SISRE

Table 6 shows the average SISREs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 from four ACs. For GPS, the order of magnitude of SISREs for different ACs is WHU>CNE>GMV>CAS. For Galileo, CAS and WHU have the better SISRE with around 5 cm and CNE has the worst SISRE with 9.80 cm. For BDS3, the SISREs of CAS and WHU are significantly better than the SISRE of CNE, which are 29.11, 29.98, and 57.48 cm, respectively. Overall, CAS has the best SISREs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3, followed by WHU as the second, while CNE and GMV exhibit the worst accuracy.

242	

Table 6. Mean SISRE of GPS, BDS, and BDS3 satellite of SSR products from different ACs/cm.

		SISRE			SISRE	
	GPS	9.50	[1]	GPS	11.06	
CAS	GAL	4.91	E.	GAL	9.80	
0	BDS3	29.11	0	BDS3	57.48	
<u>کا</u>	GPS	10.44	0H	GPS	12.55	
GN	GAL	6.64	M	GAL	4.93	





12

-	-	BDS3	29.98

243 4 RESULT

244 4.1 Performances of multi-GNSS PPP at IGS stations

IGS post-processed precise position and ZTD products were used to evaluate the multi-GNSS post-245 processing PPP performance at 14 IGS sites in and around China. The average convergence time of all 246 247 stations are less than 20 minutes. The station coordinates from the IGS SINEX files and the ZTD from the 248 IGS ZPD files were used as references. Positioning performance and ZTD accuracy of post-processing PPP 249 are shown in Figure 6. The RMSEs of horizontal (H-D) and vertical (V-D) errors are within 15 mm for all 250 sites, respectively. The RMSEs of ZTD are within 10 mm for most sites, with an average RMSE of 7.4 mm. 251 The abovementioned results suggest that post-processing PPP demonstrates good performance in both 252 positioning and ZTD and can be used to verify the performance of RT-PPP.



Figure 6. RMSEs and STDs of the positioning performance and ZTD accuracy of post-processing PPP,

respectively.

253

254

255

4.2 RT-PPP with SSR products from different ACs in the IGMAS station

257 4.2.1 Convergence time of RT-PPP

To assess the accuracy of four SSR products, the eight IGMAS stations were selected for RT-PPP after verifying the performance of post-processing PPP. The testing period spans from DOY 355 in 2023 to DOY 14 in 2024. The LHA is excluded due to a low number of observations among the eight IGMAS stations. The IF model was used. The parameter estimation method was the Kalman filter, and additional positioning strategies were presented in Table 7.





13

Table 7. Strategies for RT-PPP.

Items	Correction model or estimation strategy
Estimator	Kalman filter
Observations	IF code and phase combinations
Sampling rate	30 s
Cut-off elevation	10°
Phase-windup effect	Corrected
Troposphoria dalay	Saastamoinen
riopospheric delay	Estimated as a random-walk noise process
Ionospheric delay	Eliminated by IF combination
Relativistic effects	Corrected
antenna phase center	igs14.atx
Orbit and clock product	SSR corrections + broadcast ephemeris
Phase ambiguities	Float



263

265 The convergence time, positioning, and ZTD accuracies for multi-GNSS RT-PPP were assessed based on 266 the different SSR products. The convergence time is the initial epoch where the error of H-D and V-D 267 direction are both less than 10 cm, holding for 20 epochs. Figure 7 shows multi-GNSS RT-PPP positioning errors in the 3D direction using the SSR products from the four ACs at seven stations on DOY 12, 2024. The 268 results of RT-PPP from different ACs are represented by distinct colors, respectively, and the seven sub-269 270 figures represent the seven IGMAS sites, respectively. The sub-figures are set with the same range of V-D 271 axes for comparison and the X direction is the hour since the start of the solution. The average convergence time of four ACs is less than 30 min, and the 3D positioning accuracies of four ACs are better than 10 cm 272 273 after completing the convergence process.



274 275

Figure 7. RT-PPP errors of different ACs at seven IGMAS stations.

The convergence time for seven stations over 26 days from four ACs was counted. Figure 8 shows box

plots of the convergence time, including the median, 25% quantile, and 75% convergence time. Table 8
provides a detailed breakdown of convergence time statistics for four ACs. The average convergence time at



284

285



14

- all sites based on CAS, WHU, and CNE is less than 20 min, while GMV is 22.8 min. The discrepancy in the
 convergence time from GMV and other ACs may be because that RT-PPP based on GMV-SSR products
- 281 only uses GPS and Galileo, with fewer satellites than the other analysis centers. The average convergence
- time for CAS and WHU are similar with 14.9 min and 14.4 min, respectively, and for CNE is 17.4 min, which
- is slightly longer than CAS and WHU because CNE has fewer satellites available than CAS and WHU.



Table 8. The MAX, MIN, and MEAN of the convergence time derived from RT-PPP of different ACs/min.

ACs	Stations	MAX	MIN	MEAN	Stations	MAX	MIN	MEAN
	BJF	40.0	6.5	19.4	CHU	45.0	3.0	13.0
St	GUA	31.0	3.0	10.7	KUN	45.0	5.5	11.7
C_{L}	SHA	43.5	3.5	17.1	WUH	35.0	6.5	19.4
	XIA	40.5	5.0	13.3	-	-	-	-
	BJF	39.5	6.0	20.0	CHU	26.0	3.0	15.5
Ē	GUA	25.5	3.5	12.0	KUN	46.0	6.5	18.7
Ð	SHA	38.0	15.5	22.0	WUH	39.5	6.0	16.5
	XIA	31.0	3.0	17.0	-	-	-	-
	BJF	40.0	12.0	26.1	CHU	39.0	14.0	19.8
Ş	GUA	37.0	5.0	23.9	KUN	30.5	11.0	19.3
GV	SHA	36.0	13.5	24.5	WUH	46.0	13.0	24.0
	XIA	35.5	14.0	21.7	-	-	-	-
	BJF	24.0	5.0	13.0	CHU	26.5	3.5	12.5
DH	GUA	20.5	3.0	11.5	KUN	33.0	3.5	15.0
ΗM	SHA	27.5	11.0	18.3	WUH	26.0	6.0	14.8
	XIA	30.5	5.0	16.0	-	-	-	-

287 4.2.2 Positioning accuracy of RT-PPP

288 The positioning accuracies of RT-PPP for each AC at the seven IGMAS stations were evaluated. Figure 9 289 shows the positioning accuracies in H-D, V-D, and 3D directions. CAS exhibits the highest accuracy, with 290 2.1, 2.4, and 3.2 cm in three aspects, respectively. Then, WHU shows a 3.3 cm accuracy in the 3D direction, 291 which is similar to the positioning accuracy of CAS. Since the RMSEs of the SSR products from CNE are 292 higher than those of CAS and WHU, the PPP-performance based on CNE is unsatisfactory than CAS and 293 WHU. The positioning accuracy with GMV is the worst compared with other ACs. The positioning 294 performances of RT-PPP based on GMV-SSR products are 3.2 cm in H-D directions and 4.2 cm in V-D 295 directions. The mean RMSE of 3D positioning accuracy exceeds 5 cm, which is all higher than those of the







- 296 remaining three ACs. Therefore, the combination with BeiDou satellite can improve the positioning
- 297 performance of RT-PPP.

Figure 9. The positioning accuracies in H-D, V-D, and 3D directions of different ACs at seven IGMAS
 stations.

301 4.3 Accuracy of RT-PPP-derived ZTD

298

302 4.3.1 Accuracy of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs obtained by different SSR products

RT-PPP-derived ZTDs based on four AC SSR products were compared with post-processing PPP and 303 PRIDE PPPAR-derived ZTDs, respectively, to verify the accuracy of RT-PPP ZTD retrieval. Figure 10 304 shows timing diagrams for RT-PPP-derived ZTDs obtained by WHU-SSR products alongside those of 305 306 PRIDE PPPAR and post-processing PPP-derived ZTDs. RT-PPP, post-processing PPP, and PRIDE PPPAR-307 derived ZTDs have a similar trend. Table 9 shows the differences of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs versus PRIDE 308 PPPAR-derived ZTDs for each AC at the seven IGMAS stations. The GUA station lacked observation data 309 from 7:00 AM on DOY 360 to 7:00 AM on DOY 361 in 2023. And the XIA station lacked observation data from 1:00 PM on DOY 1 to 5:00 AM on DOY 11 in 2024. RT-PPP-derived ZTDs accuracies for GMV can 310 311 be reached centimeter level. Furthermore, the average accuracies of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs for CAS, WHU, 312 and CNE reach the millimeter level. RT-PPP-derived ZTDs based on WHU have the highest ZTD accuracy with an RMSE of 6.06 mm. CAS and WHU exhibit similar ZTD accuracies with 6.80 mm. The accuracy of 313 314 RT-PPP-derived ZTDs based on GMV-SSR products is the worst, with 10.30 mm. The accuracy of RT-PPP-315 derived ZTDs based on GMV is worse than the other ACs, probably because GMV does not provide BDS3 316 SSR products. The STDs of each AC are similar to the RMSEs, with WHU being the best, CAS being slightly 317 inferior, and GMV being the worst. The bias of four ACs exhibits minimal differences with around 2.30 mm. 318 The average R of four ACs exceed 0.9, with 0.96/0.93/0.93/0.97, respectively, indicating that RT-PPP-





- 319 derived ZTD strongly correlates with PRIDE PPPAR-derived ZTD. The result of RT-PPP-derived ZTD
- 320 versus post-processing PPP-derived ZTD is similar. The order of RMSEs and STDs for different ACs is
- 321 GMV>CNE>CAS>WHU. The average R of four ACs also exceed 0.9 with 0.96/0.95/0.91/0.97, respectively.
- 322 In summary, the accuracy of RT-PPP ZTD retrieval obtained by WHU-SSR products is the best.





Figure 10. RT-PPP, post-processing PPP, and PRIDE PPPAR-derived ZTDs at IGMAS stations.



Table 9. The accuracies of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs from different ACs at seven IGMAS stations/mm.

AC	Stations	RMSE	STD	Bias	R	AC	Stations	RMSE	STD	Bias	R
CAS	BJF	7.01	6.57	2.44	0.94	-	BJF	7.92	7.04	3.62	0.92
	CHU	3.25	3.21	0.49	0.98		CHU	5.04	4.75	1.68	0.95
	GUA	4.01	3.49	1.97	0.98		GUA	5.62	5.57	0.73	0.93
	KUN	7.23	7.08	-1.47	0.97	E	KUN	9.73	9.17	3.26	0.91
	SHA	9.2	7.14	5.8	0.94	5	SHA	13.03	11.1	6.82	0.93
	WUH	9.96	8.65	-4.95	0.97		WUH	11	10.38	-3.65	0.96
	XIA	7	5.99	3.61	0.98		XIA	8.02	7.83	1.71	0.97
	Mean	6.80	6.01	1.12	0.96		Mean	8.62	7.97	2.02	0.93
	BJF	10	10	0.27	0.91		BJF	4.98	4.46	2.21	0.96
	CHU	6.75	6.19	2.69	0.96		CHU	3.58	3.35	1.26	0.98
	GUA	8.09	6.7	4.53	0.95		GUA	3.79	3.45	1.57	0.97
\geq	KUN	9.12	9	1.46	0.91	10	KUN	6.4	6.38	0.51	0.95
GM	SHA	13.47	11.03	7.73	0.94	МН	SHA	8.94	7.49	4.91	0.97
	WUH	12.78	12.69	-1.47	0.95		WUH	7.42	7.01	-2.42	0.98
	XIA	11.95	11.93	0.61	0.94		XIA	7.33	6.68	3.02	0.98
	Mean	10.30	9.64	2.26	0.93		Mean	6.06	5.54	1.58	0.97





326

327 The differences of RT-PPP-derived ZTD versus PRIDE PPPAR and post-processing PPP-derived ZTD 328 were counted separately to further analyze the ZTD consistency, respectively. Figure 11 shows the 329 differences distribution of RT-PPP compared with PRIDE PPPAR and post-processing PPP-derived ZTDs, 330 respectively, where the bar graph shows the differences frequency distribution and the curve shows the 331 differences cumulative frequency. The differences frequency of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs versus PRIDE 332 PPPAR and post-processing PPP-derived ZTDs at the seven stations are normally distributed, respectively. 333 The bias of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs at all stations is nearly 0 mm. The differences distribution of RT-PPP-334 derived ZTDs based on the other ACs were also counted, and the bias was nearly 0 mm. The measure of 335 dispersion of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs based on WHU is lower than CAS, GMV, . Therefore, RT-PPP-derived 336 ZTDs based WHU SSR products have the best ZTD retrieval accuracy. The result is consistent with the 337 conclusion of 3.2.1.



338 339

340

Figure 11. The differences distribution of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs compared with post-processing PPP and PRIDE PPPAR-derived ZTDs.

341 4.3.2 RT-ZTD availability

The availability of RT-PPP-derived ZTD was assessed. RT-PPP-derived ZTD in the epoch is considered unavailable if the differences of RT-PPP-derived ZTD versus PRIDE PPPAR and post-processing PPPderived ZTD in arbitrary epoch are more than 10 mm, respectively. The daily availability of RT-PPP-derived ZTD based on WHU-SSR products was calculated (Figure 12). Daily ZTD availability of sites with less ZTD variations can be maintained at more than 95%, such as BJF, CHU, and GUA. Daily ZTD availability of sites with large ZTD variations can basically be maintained at more than 80%. A similar result also appears in the





comparison between RT-PPP-derived ZTD and post-processing PPP-derived ZTD. Table 10 shows the
availability of RT-PPP-derived ZTD for the four SSR products at the seven stations using PRIDE PPPARderived ZTD as references. RT-PPP-derived ZTDs based on WHU-SSR products have the highest
availability, with an average availability of 89% at all stations. The ZTD availability of CAS is slightly lower
with 85% and CNE is lower than CAS and WHU with 78%. GMV shows the worst availability with 70%.
The ZTD availability is positively correlated with the SISRE of SSR products from different ACs. Therefore,
the SSR products provided by WHU effectively support high-precision RT-PPP ZTD retrieval.



355 356

Figure 12. The availability of RT-PPP-derived ZTDs from WHU SSR products at seven IGMAS

357 358

Table 10 The availability	of PT PPP derived 7TDs fro	m different ΛC	products at IGMAS	stations/%
			products at rowing	stations/ /0.

stations/mm.

ACs	BJF	CHU	GUA	KUN	SHA	WUH	XIA	sum
CAS	91	99	97	83	74	72	79	85
CNE	83	95	92	71	57	69	80	78
GMV	75	87	80	77	51	58	62	70
WHU	97	99	98	90	77	85	82	89

359 5 CONCLUSIONS

360 Evaluating the accuracies of RT-PPP-derived ZTD based on SSR products from different ACs is crucial in warning and forecasting extreme natural disasters and earth observation error correction. In this study, the 361 362 accuracies of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3 RT satellite orbit and clock error products from four ACs were 363 evaluated. Then the positioning performance and ZTD accuracies for multi-GNSS RT-PPP were assessed. The following conclusions were obtained. The average epoch availability of SSR corrections provided by 364 four ACs exceeds 97.5%, and CAS-SSR products have the highest satellite availability. CAS has the best 365 366 SISREs of GPS, Galileo, and BDS3, followed by WHU, while CNE and GMV exhibit the worst performance. The results of multi-GNSS RT-PPP indicate that WHU achieves the shortest average convergence times with 367 368 14.4 min, followed by CAS and CNE. The average convergence time of GMV is 22.8 min, significantly lower than other ACs. The accuracies of RT-PPP-derived ZTD obtained by four ACs are better than 11 mm, 369 370 and RT-PPP-derived ZTD is in good conformity with PRIDE PPPAR-derived ZTD. Among the four ACs, 371 WHU has the best accuracy of RT-PPP-derived ZTD with an average RMSE of 6.1 mm, and RT-PPP-derived





372	ZTD based on WHU SSR products has the highest availability with 89%. This study is essential for selecting
373	SSR products from different ACs for RT-PPP ZTD retrieval.
374	
375	
376	Data availability
377	The IGMAS GNSS data are available from Wuhan University, but restrictions apply to the availability of
378	these data, which were used under licence for the current study and so are not publicly available. The data
379	are, however, available from the authors upon reasonable request and with the permission of Wuhan
380	University. Post-processing products and GNSS data from IGS are available at
381	https://cddis.nasa.gov/archive/gnss/. Post-processing products and GNSS data from IGS are available at
382	ntrip.gnsslab.cn.
383	
384	Author contributions
385	WQY and HRH provided the initial idea and designed the experiments for this study; QZ guided the entire
386	process of this study; XWM and QZ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; YBY, XHL, QZZ and YZH
387	helped with the writing. All authors reviewed the manuscript.
388	
389	Competing interests
390	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that
391	could have influenced the work reported in this paper.
392	
393	Disclaimer
394	Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in
395	the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper.
396	While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final
397	responsibility lies with the authors.
398	
399	Acknowledgments
400	The reviewers' and editors' comments are highly appreciated. We thank IGMAS and IGS for providing
401	GNSS data for this analysis. We also thank IGS for providing the SSR products and precision products.
402	
403	Funding
404	This work was supported by the State Key Laboratory of Geodesy and Earth's Dynamics, Innovation
405	Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences
406	(SKLGED2024-3-8), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42330105), the National Natural

- 407 Science Foundation of China (42201484), also in part by Key Laboratory of Mine Geological Hazards
- 408 Mechanism and Control, Ministry of Natural Resources (6000230168), and China Postdoctoral Science





409	Foundation (2023MD744243).			
410				
411	Author details			
412	¹ Wanqiang Yao, Haoran Huang, Xiongwei Ma, Xiaohu Lin, Qingzhi Zhao, Yunzheng Huang are with the			
413	College of Geomatics, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710054, China, (e-mail:			
414	sxywq@163.com; huanghr0213@163.com; xiongw_ma@xust.edu.cn; xhlin214@xust.edu.cn;			
415	zhaoqingzhia@163.com; huangyunzheng0527@163.com).			
416	² Qi Zhang, Yibin Yao are with the School of Geodesy and Geomatics, Wuhan University. Wuhan 430000,			
417	China. (e-mail: qizhangsgg@whu.edu.cn; ybyao@whu.edu.cn).			
418				
419 420	References Alcay, S., and Turgut, M.: Evaluation of the positioning performance of multi-GNSS RT-PPP method, Arab.			
421	J. Geosci., 14, 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-06534-4, 2021.			
422	Capilla, R. M., Berné, J. L., Martín, A., and Rodrigo, R.: Simulation case study of deformations and landslides			
423	using real-time GNSS precise point positioning technique, Geomat. Nat. Haz. Risk., 7, 1856-1873,			
424	https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2015.1137243, 2016.			
425	Crocetti, L., Schartner, M., Zus, F., Zhang, W., Moeller, G., Navarro, V., See, L., Schindler, K., and Soja, B.:			
426	Global, spatially explicit modelling of zenith wet delay with XGBoost, J. Geodesy., 98, 23,			
427	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-024-01829-2, 2024.			
428	Edokossi, K., Calabia, A., Jin, S., and Molina, I.: GNSS-reflectometry and remote sensing of soil moisture:			
429	A review of measurement techniques, methods, and applications, Remote Sensing, 12, 614,			
430	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12040614, 2020.			
431	Elsobeiey, M., and Al-Harbi, S.: Performance of real-time Precise Point Positioning using IGS real-time			
432	service, GPS solutions, 20, 565-571, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-015-0467-z, 2016.			
433	Eugenia Bianchi, C., Oscar Mendoza, L. P., Isabel Fernandez, L., Paula Natali, M., Margarita Meza, A., and			
434	Francisco Moirano, J.: Multi-year GNSS monitoring of atmospheric IWV over Central and South			
435	America for climate studies, Ann. Geophys., 34, 623-639, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-623-			
436	2016, 2016.			
437	Gao, M., Xu, C., and Liu, Y.: Evaluation of Time-Series InSAR Tropospheric Delay Correction Methods			
438	over Northwestern Margin of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Geomatics and Information Science of			
439	Wuhan University, 46, 1548-1559, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170875, 2021.			
440	Ge, Y., Chen, S., Wu, T., Fan, C., Qin, W., Zhou, F., and Yang, X.: An analysis of BDS-3 real-time PPP:			
441	Time transfer, positioning, and tropospheric delay retrieval, Measurement, 172, 108871,			
442	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108871, 2021.			
443	Geng, J., Yang, S., and Guo, J.: Assessing IGS GPS/Galileo/BDS-2/BDS-3 phase bias products with PRIDE			
444	PPP-AR, Satellite Navigation, 2, 1-15, https://doi.org/10.1186/s43020-021-00049-9, 2021.			
445	Griffiths, J.: Combined orbits and clocks from IGS second reprocessing, J. Geodesy., 93, 177-195,			
446	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-018-1149-8, 2019.			





447	Gu, S., Guo, R., Gong, X., Zhang, S., Lou, Y., and Li, Z.: Real-time precise point positioning based on BDS-
448	3 global short message communication, GPS Solutions, 26, 107, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-
449	022-01291-7, 2022.
450	Hadas, T., Hobiger, T., and Hordyniec, P.: Considering different recent advancements in GNSS on real-time
451	zenith troposphere estimates, GPS Solutions, 24, 99, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-020-01014-w,
452	2020.
453	He, L., Yao, Y., Xu, C., Zhang, H., Tang, F., Ji, C., Liu, Z., and Wu, W.: A New Global ZTD Forecast Model
454	Based on Improved LSTM Neural Network, IEEE. J-STARS.,
455	https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3391821, 2024.
456	Jiao, G., Song, S., Ge, Y., Su, K., and Liu, Y.: Assessment of BeiDou-3 and multi-GNSS precise point
457	positioning performance, Sensors, 19, 2496, https://doi.org/10.3390/s19112496, 2019.
458	Ju, B., Jiang, W., Tao, J., Hu, J., Xi, R., Ma, J., and Liu, J.: Performance evaluation of GNSS kinematic PPP
459	and PPP-IAR in structural health monitoring of bridge: Case studies, Measurement, 203, 112011,
460	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112011, 2022.
461	Kazmierski, K., Zajdel, R., and Sośnica, K.: Evolution of orbit and clock quality for real-time multi-GNSS
462	solutions, GPS solutions, 24, 111, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-020-01026-6, 2020.
463	Ke, S., and Shuanggen, J.: Analysis and comparisons of the BDS/Galileo quad-frequency PPP models
464	performances, Acta Geodaetica et Cartographica Sinica, 49, 1189,
465	https://doi.org/10.11947/j.AGCS.2020.20200236, 2020.
466	Kinoshita, Y .: Development of InSAR neutral atmospheric delay correction model by use of GNSS ZTD and
467	its horizontal gradient, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote., 60, 1-14,
468	https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2022.3188988, 2022.
469	Li, B., Ge, H., Bu, Y., Zheng, Y., and Yuan, L.: Comprehensive assessment of real-time precise products
470	from IGS analysis centers, Satellite Navigation, 3, 12, https://doi.org/10.1186/s43020-022-00074-2,
471	2022.
472	Li, R., Zhang, Z., Gao, Y., Zhang, J., and Ge, H.: A New Method for Deformation Monitoring of Structures
473	by Precise Point Positioning, Remote Sensing, 15, 5743, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15245743, 2023.
474	Li, S., Jiang, N., Xu, T., Xu, Y., Yang, H., Zhang, Z., Guo, A., and Wu, Y.: A precipitation forecast model
475	with a neural network and improved GPT3 model for Japan, GPS Solutions, 27, 186,
476	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-023-01526-1, 2023.
477	Li, X., Dick, G., Lu, C., Ge, M., Nilsson, T., Ning, T., Wickert, J., and Schuh, H.: Multi-GNSS meteorology:
478	real-time retrieving of atmospheric water vapor from BeiDou, Galileo, GLONASS, and GPS
479	observations, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote., 53, 6385-6393,
480	https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2015.2438395, 2015.
481	Li, X., Wang, Q., Wu, J., Yuan, Y., Xiong, Y., Gong, X., and Wu, Z.: Multi-GNSS products and services at
482	iGMAS Wuhan Innovation Application Center: Strategy and evaluation, Satellite Navigation, 3, 20,
483	https://doi.org/10.1186/s43020-022-00081-3, 2022.





484	Lin, C. Y., Deng, Y., and Ridley, A.: Atmospheric gravity waves in the ionosphere and thermosphere during				
485	the 2017 solar eclipse, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 5246-5252, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077388,				
486	2018.				
487	Liu, T., Zhang, B., Yuan, Y., and Li, M.: Real-Time Precise Point Positioning (RTPPP) with raw observations				
488	and its application in real-time regional ionospheric VTEC modeling, J. Geodesy., 92, 1267-1283,				
489	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-018-1118-2, 2018.				
490	Lu, C., Chen, X., Liu, G., Dick, G., Wickert, J., Jiang, X., Zheng, K., and Schuh, H.: Real-time tropospheric				
491	delays retrieved from multi-GNSS observations and IGS real-time product streams, Remote Sensing,				
492	9, 1317, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9121317, 2017.				
493	Lu, C., Li, X., Ge, M., Heinkelmann, R., Nilsson, T., Soja, B., Dick, G., and Schuh, H.: Estimation and				
494	evaluation of real-time precipitable water vapor from GLONASS and GPS, GPS solutions, 20, 703-				
495	713, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-015-0479-8, 2016.				
496	Lu, C., Li, X., Nilsson, T., Ning, T., Heinkelmann, R., Ge, M., Glaser, S., and Schuh, H.: Real-time retrieval				
497	of precipitable water vapor from GPS and BeiDou observations, J. Geodesy., 89, 843-856,				
498	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-015-0818-0, 2015.				
499	Ma, X., Zhao, Q., Yao, Y., and Yao, W.: A novel method of retrieving potential ET in China, J. Hydrol., 598,				
500	126271, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126271, 2021.				
501	Pan, L., Deng, M., and Chen, B.: Real-time GNSS meteorology: a promising alternative using real-time PPP				
502	technique based on broadcast ephemerides and the open service of Galileo, GPS Solutions, 28, 113,				
503	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-024-01659-x, 2024.				
504	Pan, L., and Guo, F.: Real-time tropospheric delay retrieval with GPS, GLONASS, Galileo and BDS data,				
505	Sci. Rep., 8, 17067, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35155-3, 2018.				
506	Pipatsitee, P., Ninsawat, S., Tripathi, N. K., Shanmugam, M., and Chitsutti, P.: Estimating daily potential				
507	evapotranspiration using GNSS-based precipitable water vapor, Heliyon, 9,				
508	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17747, 2023.				
509	Sha, Z., Hu, F., Wei, P., Ye, S., and Zhu, Y.: A method for calculating real-time ZTD grid data in Chinese				
510	regions based on GNSS ZTD modified ERA5 grid products, J. Atmos. SolTerr. Phy., 255, 106174,				
511	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2024.106174, 2024.				
512	Shu, B., Tian, Y., Qu, X., Li, P., Wang, L., Huang, G., Du, Y., and Zhang, Q.: Estimation of BDS-2/3 phase				
513	observable-specific signal bias aided by double-differenced model: an exploration of fast BDS-2/3				
514	real-time PPP, GPS solutions, 28, 1-14, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-024-01632-8, 2024.				
515	Stępniak, K., Bock, O., Bosser, P., and Wielgosz, P.: Outliers and uncertainties in GNSS ZTD estimates from				
516	double-difference processing and precise point positioning, GPS Solutions, 26, 74,				
517	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-022-01261-z, 2022.				
518	Su, C., Shu, B., Zheng, L., Tian, Y., Lei, T., Mu, X., and Wang, L.: Quality evaluation and PPP performance				
519	analysis of GPS/BDS real-time SSR products, Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan				
520	University, https://doi.org/10.13203/j.whugis20220760, 2023.				





521	Wang, D., Huang, G., Du, Y., Zhang, Q., Bai, Z., and Tian, J.: Stability analysis of reference station and
522	compensation for monitoring stations in GNSS landslide monitoring, Satellite Navigation, 4, 29,
523	https://doi.org/10.1186/s43020-023-00119-0, 2023.
524	Wang, L., Li, Z., Ge, M., Neitzel, F., Wang, Z., and Yuan, H.: Validation and assessment of multi-GNSS
525	real-time precise point positioning in simulated kinematic mode using IGS real-time service,
526	Remote Sensing, 10, 337, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10020337, 2018.
527	Wang, Z., Li, Z., Wang, L., Wang, X., and Yuan, H.: Assessment of multiple GNSS real-time SSR products
528	from different analysis centers, ISPRS Int. J. GeoInf., 7, 85, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi7030085,
529	2018.
530	Xiong, Z., Zhang, B., and Yao, Y.: Comparisons between the WRF data assimilation and the GNSS
531	tomography technique in retrieving 3-D wet refractivity fields in Hong Kong, Ann. Geophys., 37,
532	25-36, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-37-25-2019, 2019.
533	Yao, Y., He, Y., Yi, W., Song, W., Cao, C., and Chen, M.: Method for evaluating real-time GNSS satellite
534	clock offset products, GPS Solutions, 21, 1417-1425, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-017-0619-4,
535	2017.
536	Yao, Y., Luo, Y., Zhang, J., and Zhao, C.: Correlation analysis between haze and GNSS tropospheric delay
537	based on coherent wavelet, Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 43, 2131-
538	2138, https://doi.org/10.13203/j.whugis20180234, 2018.
539	Zhang, Z., Zeng, P., Wen, Y., He, L., and He, X.: Comprehensive assessment of BDS-2 and BDS-3 precise
540	orbits based on B1I/B3I and B1C/B2a frequencies from iGMAS, Remote Sensing, 15, 582,
541	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15030582, 2023.
542	Zhu, G., Huang, L., Yang, Y., Li, J., Zhou, L., and Liu, L.: Refining the ERA5-based global model for vertical
543	adjustment of zenith tropospheric delay, Satellite Navigation, 3, 27, https://doi.org/10.1186/s43020-
544	022-00088-w, 2022.
545	
546	