


Thanks to the authors for the answers, the paper has become a little better. However, there are still notes:

Please clarify, is this MIL?



2. I already recommended making Figure 3 clear and understandable. How should I understand it? Everything is in black lines and motley spots. How to evaluate the heights that you give in description? How to understand where there is a temperature inversion day and where there is not? Please remove the black outline and leave just the fill.

3. You are considering MIL over 3-15 N latitude and 33-48 E longitude regions. Why did you choose this region? Write a few words about this. It's better to put the coordinates of the area in the title, otherwise it seems that you are doing research over all low latitudes. As a last resort, add it to the abstract on line 17.

4. I didn't understand your idea, what does «....seasonal variations of MIL in the planetary waves as a caustive over low-latitudes using the SABER observations» mean? And there is a typo " caustive".

5. And now in Figures 5(c) and 5(f) the averages are on top of the bars. Please, correct it.

6. The last conclusion sounds strange and vague - « In general, we concluded that the processes in the atmosphere vary from region to region. As a result, the atmospheric state varies significantly with altitude as well as from place to place and time to time»; I guess that this conclusion should be removed.