Reconstruction of precipitating electrons and three-dimensional structure of a pulsating auroral patch from monochromatic auroral images obtained from multiple observation points

Mizuki Fukizawa¹, Takeshi Sakanoi²⁺, Yoshimasa Tanaka^{12, 3, 4}, Yasunobu Ogawa^{12, 3, 4}, Keisuke 5 Hosokawa⁵, Björn Gustavsson⁶, Kirsti Kauristie⁷, Alexander Kozlovsky⁸, Tero Raita⁸, Urban Brändström⁹, Tima Sergienko⁹

¹National Institute of Polar Research, Tachikawa, 190-8518, Japan ²⁴Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan ²National Institute of Polar Research, Tachikawa, 190-8518, Japan

- ³Polar Environment Data Science Center, Joint Support-Center for Data Science Research, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Tachikawa, 190-0014, Japan
 ⁴Department of Polar Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Tachikawa, 190-8518, Japan
 ⁵Graduate School of Informatics and Engineering, University of Electro-Communications, Chofu, 182-8585, Japan
 ⁶Institute for Physics and Technology, Arctic University of Norway UiT, Tromsø, 9037, Norway
- ⁷Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, FI-00101, Finland
 ⁸Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, University of Oulu, Oulu, FI-90014, Finland
 ⁹IRF-Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Kiruna, SE-981 28, Sweden

Correspondence to: Mizuki Fukizawa (fukizawa.mizuki@nipr.ac.jpfukizawa.m@pparc.gp.tohoku.ac.jp)

Abstract. In recent years, aurora observation networks using high-sensitivity cameras have been developed in the polar regions. These networks allow dimmer auroras such as pulsating auroras (PsAs) to be observed with a high signal-to-noise ratio. We reconstructed the horizontal distribution of precipitating electrons using computed tomography with monochromatic PsA images obtained from three observation points. The three-dimensional distribution of the volume emission rate (VER) of the PsA was also reconstructed. The characteristic energy of the reconstructed precipitating electron flux ranged from 6 keV to 23 keV, and the peak altitude of the reconstructed VER ranged from 90 to 104 km. We evaluated the results using a model aurora and compared the model's electron density with the observed electron densityone. The electron density was reconstructed correctly to some extent, even after a decrease in PsA intensity. These results suggest that the horizontal

distribution of precipitating electrons associated with PsAs can be effectively reconstructed from ground-based optical observations.

1 Introduction

30 Aurora computed tomography (ACT) is a method for reconstructing the three-dimensional (3-D) volume emission rate (VER) of auroral emission based on monochromatic auroral images obtained from multiple observation points (e.g., Aso et al., 1990). The horizontal distribution of precipitating electron flux can be simultaneously obtained byusing ACT without rocket or

satellite observations (Tanaka et al., 2011). Previous studies have applied ACT to bright and well-shaped discrete auroras, such as the quiet arc during the substorm growth phase and multiple auroral arcs (Aso et al., 1990, 1993, 1998; Frey et al.,

- 35 1996; Nygrén et al., 1997; Tanaka et al., 2011). However, ACT has not been applied to pulsating auroras (PsAs). A PsA is a type of diffuse aurora that appears as irregular patches showing quasi-periodic on–off switching of its intensity with a periodicity of ~2–20 s (Yamamoto, 1988). The intensity is somewhat dimmer than that of a typical discrete aurora (some hundreds of R up to tens of kR at 557.7 nm; a few hundred R to ~10 kR at 427.8 nm) (McEwen et al., 1981). It has been difficult to apply ACT to PsAs because the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of PsA images is lower than those of discrete aurora
- 40 images. However, remote operation of many high-sensitivity cameras via the internet and an archive system capable of storing a massive amount of aurora data make it possible to observe PsAs with a high SNR. The Magnetometers Ionospheric Radars All-sky Cameras Large Experiment (MIRACLE) network consists of nine all-sky

cameras (ASCs) located in the Fennoscandian region. Two of the ASCs with intensified charge-coupled devices (ICCDs) were replaced with cameras possessing the newer technology of electron-multiplying CCDs (EMCCDs) in 2007 (Sangalli et al.,

45 2011). Ogawa et al. (2020) developed a low-cost multi-wavelength imaging system for aurora and airglow studies and installed Watec monochromatic imagers (WMIs) at several locations in the north and south polar regions. A WMI consists of a highly sensitive CCD camera made by Watec Co., Ltd (Japan). These cameras are suitable for studying very faint auroral structures such as PsAs. In this study, we attempted to use these high-sensitivity cameras and ACT methods to reconstruct the 3-D VER of a PsA_patch and the horizontal distribution of precipitating electrons for the first time.

50 2 Data and methods

MIRACLE ASCs observed PsA patches from Kilpisjärvi (KIL, 69.05°N, 20.36°E), Abisko (ABK, 68.36°N, 18.82°E), and WMI ASCs at Skibotn (SKB, 69.35°N, 18.82°E) during the substorm recovery phase from 0:00 UT to 2:00 UT on 18 February 2018. These ASCs have a <u>commontypical</u> field-of-view, as shown in Fig. 1b. The position of Tromsø (TRO, 69.58°N, 19.23°E) where the European incoherent scatter (EISCAT) radar operates is also shown. We selected 427.8 nm auroral images in which
55 PsA patches were detected at the EISCAT radar observation point. The reconstructed results were compared with the electron density observed by using the EISCAT radar in Sect. 3.4. Figure 1a shows 427.8 nm auroral images obtained by the three ASCs from 00:53:30 UT to 00:53:42 UT. The temporal resolution of each ASCs was 2 s. A median filter of 3 × 3 pixels was applied to auroral images to improve the SNR. We also composited auroral images obtained from four WMI CCD cameras of the same type at SKB. The auroral images at SKB were calibrated to derive the absolute emission intensity using the optical facilities

60 <u>at the National Institute of Polar Research, Japan (Ogawa et al., 2020b), and have a time ambiguity of ~1–2 s.</u> The auroral image at SKB has a time ambiguity of ~1–2 s. We determined the time when the auroral image was obtained by aligning the temporal changes in the PsA patch as shown in auroral images from ABK, KIL, and SKB.



Figure 1: (a) Successive auroral images from Abisko (ABK), Skibotn (SKB), and Kilpisjärvi (KIL) from 00:53:30 UT to 00:53:42 UT on 18 February 2018. (b) Locations and field of views of all-sky cameras at ABK (green), SKB (red), and KIL (yellow) at an altitude of 100 km. The location of Tromsø (TRO) is shown by a white grev asterisk.

The ACT method used in this study is based on the method proposed by Tanaka et al. (2011). We adopted an oblique coordinate system with the origin (O) at coordinates of (69.4°N, 19.2°E). The X-axis was anti-parallel to the horizontal component of the geomagnetic field, the Y-axis was eastward, and the Z-axis was anti-parallel to the geomagnetic field and perpendicular to the Y-axis (see Fig. 2 in Tanaka et al. (2011)). The simulation region ranged from -75 to 75 km, from -100 to 100 km, and from 80 to 180 km for the X-, Y-, and Z-axes, respectively. We set the energy (*E*) range to extend from 300 eV to 100 keV. The

energy axis contains the information on the auroral emission altitude. This is because the higher the electron energy, the lower the stopping height of the precipitating electrons. This reconstruction region was divided linearly into $n_x \times n_y \times n_z$ voxels along

the X-, Y-, and Z-axes and logarithmically into n_E bins in the *E* direction. We set the parameters (n_x , n_y , n_z , n_E) to (75, 100, 50, 50), corresponding to a spatial mesh size of 2 × 2 × 2 km. These parameters were selected so that each voxel has at least one line-of-sight crossing from the pixels in the auroral images.

The differential flux of precipitating electrons was reconstructed by maximizing the posterior probability $P(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{\tilde{g}})$, where \mathbf{f} is a vector of the differential flux of precipitating electrons and $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$ is a vector of gray levels at pixels in the auroral images obtained with ASCs. According to Bayes' theorem, the posterior probability $P(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{\tilde{g}})$ is given by (Tanaka et al., 2011)

$$P(\mathbf{f}|\tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\{ \left(\tilde{\mathbf{g}} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f})\right)^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{g}} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f})\right) + \frac{\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{f}\|^2}{\sigma^2} \right\} \right],\tag{1}$$

75

90

where g(f) is a vector of grey levels obtained by line-integrating the VER in the line-of-sight direction from each pixel (Eq. (8) in Tanaka et al. (2011)). The VER was derived from model f using the aurora emission model (Eq. (3) in Tanaka et al. (2011)). Σ⁻¹ is the inverse covariance matrix, σ is the variance of ∇²f, and the second-order derivative of f is taken with
respect to *x*, *y*, and *E*. The second term in Eq. (1) represents the smoothness of f in space and energy directions. We determined Σ⁻¹ as the standard deviation calculated from each auroral image. To determine the standard deviation at each pixel in an auroral image, we calculated the mean value and standard deviation in the central 5 × 5-pixel region for 20 images. Next, we derived a regression line between the mean value and the standard deviation. Finally, we converted the grey level at each pixel to the standard deviation using the equation of the derived regression line. The 32 × 32 pixel region in which no PsA patch was contained was used to calculate Σ⁻¹. To maximize the posterior probability, it is necessary to minimize the function

$$\varphi(\mathbf{f}; \lambda, \lambda_E, c_j) = \sum_j \left(c_j \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_j - \mathbf{g}_j(\mathbf{f}) \right)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \left(c_j \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_j - \mathbf{g}_j(\mathbf{f}) \right) + \lambda^2 \left\| \nabla_{x,y}^2 \mathbf{f} + \lambda_E^2 \nabla_E^2 \mathbf{f} \right\|^2,$$
(2)

where λ , λ_E , and c_j are the so-called hyperparameters, which are constants corresponding to the weighting factors for the spatial (λ) and energy (λ_E) derivative terms and the correction factors for the relative sensitivity between cameras (c_j) , respectively. The subscript *j* signifies the three observation points (ABK₂ and-KIL, and SKB). The parameter c_{SKB} was fixed at 1. The summation was conducted for the first term in Eq. (2) sincebecause c_j and Σ_j^{-1} were different during for the three ASCs.

We carried out the change of variables $\mathbf{f} = \exp(\mathbf{x})$ to take advantage of the non-negative constraint on the differential flux \mathbf{f} (i.e., $\mathbf{f} \ge 0$). We then minimized the function $\varphi(\mathbf{x}; \lambda, \lambda_E, c_{ABK}, c_{KIL})$ by implementing the Gauss–Newton algorithm with the initial value $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \log(\mathbf{f}^{(0)})$, where $\mathbf{f}^{(0)} = 10^7 [\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{eV}^{-1}]$.

The hyperparameters were determined using the fivefold cross-validation method (Stone, 1974). First, elements of the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ were divided into <u>5 five</u> subsets. Then, one was selected as a test set ($\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{i}^{\text{tes}}$) and the others as a training set ($\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{i}^{\text{tra}}$). We found

the solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to minimize $\varphi(\mathbf{fx}; \lambda, \lambda_E, c_{ABK}, c_{KIL})$ using only the training set $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_j^{tra}$ and then predicted the test set $\mathbf{g}_j^{tes}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$. We then calculated the sum of the squares of the residuals between the test data and the predicted data:

$$\delta(\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{E},c_{j}) = \sum_{j} \left\| c_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{j}^{\text{tes}} - \mathbf{g}_{j}^{\text{tes}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right\|^{2}.$$
(3)

The cross-validation score $\bar{\delta}(-\lambda, \lambda_E, c_{ABK}, c_{KIL})$ was calculated by averaging over <u>sfive values of</u> $\delta(\lambda, \lambda_E, c_{ABK}, c_{KIL})$ s, which were obtained by replacing the test set with one of the training sets in turn.

The hyperparameters λ , λ_E , c_{ABK} , and c_{KIL} were determined by minimizing $\bar{\delta}(\lambda, \lambda_E, c_{ABK}, c_{KIL})$ with a trial-and-error method. In addition, the number of iterations for the Gauss-Newton algorithm was also simultaneously determined to be 200 to minimize $\bar{\delta}$.

The PsA patches shown in Fig. 1a are embedded in the background diffuse auroral emission. We found that a horizontally uniform diffuse aurora causes ambiguity in the reconstruction result because the altitude of the uniform auroral structure cannot be determined from the single-wavelength images. Thus, we subtracted the background emission from the images prior to ACT reconstruction. We created the background emission image by assuming the same value for all voxels. The background VER was taken to be 75 cm⁻³ s⁻¹, corresponding to the spatially averaged observed background emission intensity. In this analysis, we assumed that the diffuse aurora showed a uniform VER in all voxels; however, the VER of the diffuse aurora depends generally on the altitude. We note that the altitude dependence of the VER did not affect the analysis result. This is because the horizontal distribution of the background emission intensity (i.e., diffuse aurora) in the auroral image was dependent only on the zenith angle θ ($\propto \cos \theta$). This distribution is not dependent on the altitude distribution of the VER, if

the VER is horizontally uniform.

3 Results and discussion

115 **3.1 Reconstruction of a pulsating aurora patch model**

We reconstructed a <u>model</u>-PsA patch <u>model</u> from pseudo auroral images to evaluate the analytical error of ACT before reconstructing the PsA patch from the observed auroral images. To create the pseudo auroral images, we prepared the horizontal distributions of the total energy, Q_0 , and the characteristic energy, E_c . We then derived the 3-D VER, L, as shown in Fig. 2a. The total energy was assumed to have a Gaussian shape in horizontal directions with a maximum value of 6 mW

120 m^{-2} . The energy distribution was considered to be a Maxwellian distribution with an uniform characteristic energy of 15 keV. Pseudo auroral images were obtained from *L* by solving the forward problem (Fig. 2b). We added random noise from a normal distribution with a mean value of 0 and the standard deviation determined from observed auroral images.

Figure 2c shows Q_0 , E_c , and L reconstructed from the pseudo auroral images. The values of Q_0 were calculated as $Q_0 = \sum_i E_i f(E_i)(E_{i+1} - E_i)$. When we assume the energy distribution to be a Maxwellian distribution, the characteristic energy can

be written as $E_c = \frac{1}{2} \langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_0}{\sum_i f(E_i)(E_{i+1}-E_i)}$. We calculated the errors between the model and the result for Q_0 , E_c , and L (Fig. 2d). The median values of the errors were -5% for Q_0 , -21% for E_c , and -11% for L. The northwestern part of Q_0 was overestimated by at most 23%, the edge part was underestimated by at most 29%, and the central part was underestimated by $\sim 8\%$. The central part of E_c was reconstructed with similar accuracycorrectly. In comparison, the edge part (especially the northwestern part) was underestimated by at most 56%. The underestimation of E_c was caused by the overestimation of the

130 emission altitude (Fig. 2d). The Information regarding the PsA emission altitude is easily lost in obtaining the auroral image,

since the structure of the PsA patch is vertically thin and horizontally wide. In addition, the SNR at the edge part is lower than at the central part since we assumed a Gaussian shape for the horizontal distribution of Q_0 . These factors would tend to reduce the accuracy at the edge part. In this particular event, the optical observation points of ABK, SKB, and KIL were located at the southeastern direction from the PsA patch at the EISCAT radar observation point (TRO) (see Figure 1). Therefore, an accurate reconstruction of the VER peak altitude was more difficult at the northwestern part than at other parts. This was

135



Figure 2: (a) The horizontal distribution of the prepared total energy Q_0 and the characteristic energy E_c of precipitating electrons and the vertical cross-section of the volume emission rate L along the dashed lines in the left and middle panels. We derived L from the prepared Q_0 and E_c values using the aurora emission model by solving the forward problem. Q_0 and E_c are not shown for Q_0 values less than 1 mW m⁻². (b) Pseudo auroral images obtained by line-integrating the VERfrom model volume emission rates by solving the forward problem. (c) The horizontal distribution of Q_0 and E_c and the vertical cross-section of L reconstructed by aurora computed tomography from the pseudo auroral images. (d) The errors of Q_0 , E_c , and L, calculated as (Error) = [(Result) – (Model)] / (Model) × 100.

because of the insufficient information on the northwestern part of the PsA patch owing to the bias of the optical observation point distribution.

It should be noted that Notably, the reconstructed results using the hyperparameters determined by the cross-validation method revealed unexpected fine structures. To avoid this phenomenon, we set the lower limit of λ by a different method, namely by

140 minimizing the sum of the squares of the residuals residual sum of squares between the model and the reconstructed result of Q_0 and E_c . The lower limit on λ makes it challenging to reconstruct actual fine-scale structures in the patches.

3.2 Precipitating electrons

Figures 3a and 3b show Q_0 and E_c as reconstructed from the observed auroral images (Fig. 1a). The maximum value of Q_0 was ~6 mW m⁻². The reconstructed E_c ranged from 6-keV to 23 keV. These energies are consistent with observation results from sounding rockets and low-altitude satellites (e.g., McEwen et al., 1981; Miyoshi et al., 2015). We found that the The horizontal distribution of E_c was neither uniform nor stable in the patch during the pulsation. Thus, the ACT method is helpful for investigating PsA-associated temporal variations in the horizontal distribution of precipitating electrons without rocket or satellite observations. In particular, the southwestern part of E_c was enhanced at 00:53:38 UT. It should be noted that the edge and northwestern parts of E_c are expected to be underestimated dueowing to analytical error, as shown in Fig. 2d. These

- 150 temporal variations indicate changes in the cyclotron resonance energy of whistler-mode chorus waves during the pulsation. The chorus waves scatter electrons into a loss cone near the magnetic equator. The cyclotron resonance energy of chorus waves depends on the background magnetic field, electron density, and wave frequency (e.g., Kennel and Petschek, 1966). The <u>Therefore, the</u> observed temporal variations thus indicate changes in the magnetospheric source region's background magnetic or plasma environment during the pulsation.
- 155 <u>The characteristic energy can also be enhanced by the field aligned current (FAC). The absence of FAC in the PsA patch has</u> been observed because the PsA patch have no shear motion and the energy spectra of precipitating electrons observed by rockets and satellites did not show a field-aligned acceleration, which are typically observed in discrete aurora (Davis, 1978).



Figure 3: (a) Total energy, Q_0 , and (b) characteristic energy, E_c , of the precipitating electron flux reconstructed from the observed auroral images. Results of Q_0 and E_c where Q_0 is less than 1 mW m⁻² are not shown.

On the other hand, several studies have reported the FAC associated with PsA patches (Fujii et al., 1985; Gillies et al., 2015; Hosokawa and Ogawa, 2010). If the upward and downward FACs flow at the edge of the PsA path, the potential drop due to

- 160 the upward FAC can accelerate precipitating electrons and enhance their characteristic energy (Sato et al., 2004; Shepherd and Fälthammar, 1980). However, we cannot suggest the existence of the FAC at the PsA patch's edge for this evet because the total energy was not enhanced at the edge (Figure 3). If the FAC exists, both total and characteristic energy should be increased. Multievent analysis is needed to examine the FAC in PsA patches. The 3-D current structure in the PsA patch is beyond the scope of this study. Its reconstruction using ACT and EISCAT 3D radar (http://www.eiscat3d.se) is planned in the future. Thus, the ACT method is helpful for investigating PsA associated temporal variations in
- 165

precipitating electrons without rocket or satellite observations.

3.3 Volume emission rate

Figure 4a shows the The 3-D distributions of the VERs derived from the reconstructed electron flux was obtained by solving the forward problem described in Section 2 (see Figure 4a). Cross-sections in the horizontal plane at an altitude of 94 km are

- 170 shown in Fig. 4b. The peak altitude ranges from 90 to 104 km (Fig. 4c). The full width at half maximum is almost uniform with a median value of ~20 km (Fig. 4e). The errors of the peak altitude and the altitude width in Figures 4d and 4f were derived using the model and reconstructed VER shown in Figure 2. The error of the peak altitude is shown in Fig. 4d. The high peak altitude at the northwestern part is expected to be overestimated by at most 8% dueowing to analytical error, as shown in Fig. 2d. The full width at half maximum is almost uniform with a median value of - 20 km (Fig. 4e). For the altitude width
- 175 most part, the altitude widthmost part is expected to be overestimated by $\sim 2\%$ (Fig. 4f). The reconstructed peak altitude and width are consistent with those determined in previous studies using stereoscopic observations or an incoherent scatter radar



Figure 4: (a) Reconstructed 3-D distribution of volume emission rates (VERs) L. VERs less than 1 cm⁻³ s⁻¹ are not shown. (b) Cross-sections in the horizontal plane at an altitude of 94 km. VERs are not shown for Q_0 values less than 1 mW m⁻². (c) Peak altitudes of the reconstructed L and (d) their errors determined using the model aurora. (e) Altitude widths of the reconstructed L and (f) their errors determined using the model aurora. (g) Altitude profiles of L at the European incoherent scatter radar observation point as indicated by black plus marks in Figs. 4b–4f.

(Brown et al., 1976; Jones et al., 2009; Kataoka et al., 2016). (Stenbaek-Nielsen and Hallinan, 1979) reported the existence of thin (<1 km vertical extent) PsA patches based on stereoscopic observations, but our results do not support their results. The peak altitude of the PsA patch was also estimated by a different method (Appendix A). We projected the observed auroral images at altitudes ranging from 80 km to 120 km with an interval of 2 km (Movie <u>A\$1, http://doi.org/10.5446/57558</u>). The emission altitude was determined to be the altitude at which the <u>sum of the squares of the residuals residual squared sum</u> between the two projected images reached a minimum value (Fig. <u>A\$1</u>). The estimated peak altitude range was 92 to 106 km from 00:53:30 UT to 00:53:40 UT (Fig. <u>A\$2</u>). These altitudes closely match those determined by ACT.

3.4 Electron density

180

185 The altitude profiles of VER at the EISCAT radar observation point shown in Fig. 4g were converted to <u>thean</u> ionospheric electron density and compared with the actual data observed by the EISCAT radar. The continuity equation for the electron density can be written as



Figure 5: Electron density (n_e) altitude profiles, n_{c_3} converted from the reconstructed volume emission rates with the subtraction of the background emission (BGE) (red lines), those without the BGE <u>subtraction</u> (blue lines), and those observed by the European incoherent scatter radar (black lines). Details of effective recombination coefficients α_{fit} , $\alpha_{0^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, and α_{NO^+} are explained in the text. The measurement uncertainties are represented by error bars.

$$\frac{\partial n_{\rm e}}{\partial t} = kL - \alpha_{\rm eff} n_{\rm e}^2,\tag{4}$$

where $n_e [m^{-3}]$ is the electron density, $L [m^{-3} s^{-1}]$ is the VER, k is a positive constant for converting VER to the ionization rate (see Appendix<u>B</u>), and $\alpha_{eff} [m^3 s^{-1}]$ is the effective recombination rate. We derived the electron density from the VER by solving Eq. (4) with the Runge–Kutta method. The initial value was derived from Eq. (4) under steady-state conditions (i.e., $\partial n_e/\partial t = 0$) using reconstructed L at 00:53:36 UT. The VERs were interpolated linearly in time in order to use the Runge– Kutta method. The altitude profile of α_{eff} has been investigated by several studies using rocket- and ground-based measurements. Vickrey et al. (1982) summarized many of these results and proposed the following best fit parameterization:

195
$$\alpha_{\text{fit}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-z/51.2) \text{ [m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{]},$$
 (5)
where z [km] is the altitude. Semeter & Kamalabadi (2005) used the effective recombination coefficients α_{NO^+} and $\alpha_{\text{O}_2^+}$ for
NO⁺ and O₂⁺, respectively (Walls and Dunn, 1974), as upper and lower bounds on α_{eff} :
 $\alpha_{\text{NO}^+} = 4.2 \times 10^{-13} (300/T_n)^{0.85} \text{ [m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{]},$ (6)

(7)

$$x_{0^+} = 1.95 \times 10^{-13} (300/T_n)^{0.7} [m^3 s^{-1}]_{.5}$$

200 Here T_n [K] is the neutral temperature. The red lines in Fig. 5 show the derived electron densities using these three recombination coefficients. We note that these values are underestimated compared to the electron densities observed by the EISCAT radar (black lines in Fig. 5). This underestimation probably comes from the background emission subtraction from the auroral images prior to ACT and from ambiguity in the effective recombination coefficients. The electron densities reconstructed from auroral images without background emission subtraction are shown as blue lines for reference in Fig. 5.

- 205 The reconstruction results from the images, including background emission, approached the electron density profile observed with the EISCAT radar. We noted note that the electron density was reconstructed correctly to some extent even after the auroral emission intensity decreased at 00:53:40 UT. This correct reconstruction is due to incorporation of the time derivative termconsidered the time change in the continuity equation. The electron density would seem to have rapidly decreased after 00:53:40 UT, if the time change time derivative term werewas not considered. This result suggests suggested that the time
- 210 <u>change time derivative term</u> should be considered $(dn_e/dt \neq 0 \text{ in Eq. (4)})$ when using the continuity equation (Eq. (4)) to derive <u>the</u> electron densities associated with PsAs.

It should be noted that the electron density is still-underestimated at higher altitudes (>~140 km) even if the background emission was included. This underestimation would can be improved by reconstructing low-energy electron flux from auroral images of various wavelengths (e.g., 844.6 nm).

215 4 Conclusions

We applied the ACT method to <u>a</u> PsA patches for the first time and reconstructed the horizontal distribution of precipitating electrons from 427.8-nm auroral images obtained from three observation points. We improved the previously proposed ACT method by adding the following processes: the subtraction of the background diffuse aurora from the auroral images prior to ACT, the estimation of the relative sensitivity between ASCs, and the determination of the hyperparameters of the

- 220 regularization term. The characteristic energies of the reconstructed electron fluxes (6-keV to 23 keV) and the peak altitudes of the reconstructed VERs (90 to 104 km) were consistent with those found in previous studies. We determined that the horizontal distribution of the characteristic energy was neither uniform nor stable in the patch during the pulsation, further underlining the shortcomings of rocket and satellite observations for investigating PsAs. These spatial and temporal variations imply changes of the electron density and magnetic field in the magnetosphere. -ACT error was evaluated using an model.
- 225 auroral patch<u>model</u>. The characteristic energy of electron flux was correctly reconstructed at the center part of the patch but underestimated at the patch edge by at most 56%. The reconstructed electron flux will be improved in future work by incorporating auroral images of various wavelengths.

Although we reconstructed the differential flux of precipitating electrons from auroral images using ACT, Tanaka et al. (2011) extended ACT to a method called generalized-ACT (G-ACT). G-ACT uses multi-instrument data, such as ionospheric electron

230 density from incoherent scatter radar, cosmic noise absorption from imaging riometers, and the auroral images. They demonstrated that the incorporation of the ionospheric electron density from the EISCAT radar improved the accuracy of the reconstructed electron flux. Furthermore, 3-D ionospheric observation by EISCAT_3D (<u>http://www.eiscat3d.se</u>)-is scheduled to begin in 2023. In the future, we will improve the reconstructed electron flux by conducting G-ACT using electron density data from the EISCAT or EISCAT_3D radar.

235 Appendix A

240

Estimation of the pulsating auroral emission peak altitude

Here, we estimate the peak altitude of the PsA patch using a different method from ACT to validate the results from ACT in Sect. 3.3. We projected the observed auroral images at altitudes from 80 km to 120 km with an interval of 2 km. As an example, projected images for 00:53:36 UTC on 18 February 2018 are shown in Movie A1 (http://doi.org/10.5446/57558). The emission altitude was determined to be the altitude at which the sum of the squares of the residuals residual squared sum of the auroral intensity between the two projected images reached a minimum value (Figure A1). The estimated peak altitudes

from 00:53:30 UTC to 00:53:40 UTC are shown in Figure A2. These altitudes agreed with the results from ACT in Sect. 3.3.

The link to Movie A1: https://www.dropbox.com/s/gd6jzcr0ghfs7ep/MovieS1_v3.mp4?dl=0

245 We will issue a DOI for Movie A1 after the acceptance of your manuscript.

Movie A1: Auroral images projected at altitudes from 80 to 120 km with an interval of 2 km. The images were obtained at Skibotn (SKB), Abisko (ABK), and Kilpisjärvi (KIL) at 00:53:36 UT on 18 February 2018. Residuals between the projected images at each pair of stations (SKB and ABK, and ABK and KIL) are also shown. The auroral intensity is normalized as follows: $I_j = (I - \overline{I})/\sigma$, where *I* is the auroral intensity, \overline{I} is the average of *I*, σ is the standard deviation of *I*, and *j* signifies ABK, KIL, or SKB. The residual squared sum (RSS) is shown at the top of each panel. The RSS is calculated as RSS = $\sum (I_j - I_{I+1})^2/N$, where *N* is the number of datapoints.



Figure A1: The <u>sum of the squares of the residuals (SSR)</u>residual squared <u>sum (RSS)</u> between the projected images at two stations (SKB and ABK, and ABK and KIL) at each altitude at 00:53:36 UT on 18 February 2018. The altitude at which the <u>SSRRSS</u> reached a minimum value is shown in the panel title.



Figure A2: The altitude at which the <u>sum of the squares of the residuals (SSR)</u>residual squared <u>sum (RSS)</u> reached a 260 minimum value for each of 6 timepoints from 00:53:30 UT to 00:53:40 UT on 18 February 2018. Error bars indicate the altitude range over which the <u>SSRRSS</u> was less than 1.2 times each <u>SSRRSS</u> minimum.

Appendix B

Derivation of k

In this section, we describe how to obtain the positive constant k(z) in Sect. 3.4. The N₂⁺ (427.8 nm) emission is <u>dueowing</u> to the transition from N₂⁺ $(B^2\Sigma_u^+)_{\nu=0}$ to N₂⁺ $(X^2\Sigma_g^+)_{\nu=1}^-$. According to Sergienko & Ivanov (1993), the VER L(z) [m⁻³ s⁻¹] is approximated by

$$L(z) = \frac{A_{0-1}q_{0-0}}{\sum_{\nu}A_{0-\nu}}w(z) = \frac{A_{0-1}q_{0-0}}{\sum_{\nu}A_{0-\nu}}\frac{p(z)\varepsilon(z)}{\Delta\varepsilon},$$
(B1)

where A_{0-1} is the Einstein coefficient for the transition from $N_2^+ (B^2 \Sigma_u^+)_{\nu=0}$ to $N_2^+ (X^2 \Sigma_g^+)_{\nu=1}$, w(z) [m⁻³ s⁻¹] is the 270 production rate of $N_2^+ (B^2 \Sigma_u^+)$, q_{0-0} is the Franck–Condon factor for the electronic transition from $N_2^+ (X^1 \Sigma_g^+)_{\nu=0}$ to $N_2^+ (B^2 \Sigma_u^+)_{\nu=0}$, p(z) is the probability that $\varepsilon(z)$ excites N_2 , $\varepsilon(z)$ [eV m⁻³ s⁻¹] is the energy deposition rate, and $\Delta \varepsilon$ [eV] is the excitation energy cost of $N_2^+ (B^2 \Sigma_u^+)$. The ionization rate due to the precipitating electrons $q_{ion}(z)$ [m⁻³ s⁻¹] is given by

$$q_{\rm ion}(z) = \frac{\varepsilon(z)}{\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm ion}} \tag{B2}$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon_{ion}$ [eV] is the energy used to produce an ion-electron pair. Substituting Eq. (B1) into Eq. (B2) gives

275
$$q_{\rm ion}(z) = \frac{\sum_{\nu} A_{0-\nu}}{A_{0-1}q_{0-0}} \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\Delta\varepsilon_{\rm ion}} \frac{1}{p(z)} L(z).$$
(B3)

Therefore, the positive constant k(z) for converting VER to the ionization rate is

$$k(z) = \frac{\sum_{\nu} A_{0-\nu}}{A_{0-1}q_{0-0}} \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\Delta\varepsilon_{ion}} \frac{1}{p(z)}.$$
(B4)

The parameters used for the calculation are summarized in Table B1.

Parameter	Value	References
$\frac{A_{0-1}q_{0-0}}{\sum_{\nu}A_{0-\nu}}$	0.197	A. V. Jones (1974)
Δε	350 eV	Sergienko & Ivanov (1993)
$\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm ion}$	35.5 eV	Semeter & Kamalabadi (2005)
p(z)	Calculated from MSISE-00 model	Picone et al. (2002)

280 Table B1. Simulation parameters used in Eq. (B4).

Data availability

The MIRACLE EMCCD camera data from ABK and KIL are available at University of Oulu and Finnish Meteorological Institute (2022). The auroral images obtained by four WMI CCD cameras at SKB can be obtained at http://pc115.seg20.nipr.ac.jp/www/optical/watec/skb/awi/rawdata/. The EISCAT data are available ataccessed from http://pc115.seg20.nipr.ac.jp/www/eiscatdata/http://pe115.seg20.nipr.ac.jp/www/AQVN/evs1.html. The imager data at Skibotn can be obtained at http://pe115.seg20.nipr.ac.jp/www/AQVN/evs1.html.

290 Author contribution

Yoshimasa Tanaka developed the ACT method and code. Yasunobu Ogawa conducted the EISCAT radar observation and prepared the ionospheric electron density data. Kirsti Kauristie, Alexander Kozlovsky, and Tero Raita maintained the MIRACLE camera network and prepared the auroral images. Mizuki Fukizawa analyzed the data prepared by co-authors and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. Takeshi Sakanoi, Keisuke Hosokawa, Björn Gustavsson,

295 Urban Brändström, and Tima Sergienko contributed to the discussion and interpretation of the analysis results.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The first author is a Research Fellow (DC) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). This study is supported

300 by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K05672, JP20J11829, and JP21H01152. EISCAT is an international association supported by research organizations in China (CRIRP), Finland (SA), Japan (NIPR), Norway (NFR), Sweden (VR), and the United Kingdom (UKRI). We thank Kellinsalmi Mirjam and Carl-Fredrik Enell for maintaining the MIRACLE camera network and data flow. The database construction for the imager data at Skibotn and the EISCAT radar data has been supported by the IUGONET (Inter-university Upper atmosphere Global Observation NETwork) project (http://www.iugonet.org/).

305 References

Aso, T., Hashimoto, T., Abe, M., Ono, T. and Ejiri, M.: On the analysis of aurora stereo observations, J. Geomagn. Geoelectr., 42(5), 579–595, doi:10.5636/jgg.42.579, 1990.

Aso, T., Ejiri, M., Miyaoka, H., Hashimoto, T., Abu, T. Y. and Abe, M.: Aurora stereo observation in Iceland, Proc. NIPR Symp. Up. Atmos. Phys., 6, 1–14, 1993.

310 Aso, T., Ejiri, M., Urashima, A., Miyaoka, H., Steen, Å., Brändstrom, U. and Gustavsson, B.: First results of auroral tomography from ALIS-Japan multi-station observations in March, 1995, Earth, Planets Sp., 50(1), 81–86, doi:10.1186/BF03352088, 1998.

Brown, N. B., Davis, T. N., Hallinan, T. J. and Stenbaek-Nielsen, H. C.: Altitude of pulsating aurora determined by a new instrumental thechnique, Geophys. Res. Lett., 3(7), 403–404, doi:10.1029/GL003i007p00403, 1976.

- Frey, S., Frey, H. U., Carr, D. J., Bauer, O. H. and Haerendel, G.: Auroral emission profiles extracted from three-dimensionally reconstructed arcs, J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys., 101(A10), 21731–21741, doi:10.1029/96ja01899, 1996.
 Jones, A. V.: Aurora, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht., 1974.
 Jones, S. L., Lessard, M. R., Fernandes, P. A., Lummerzheim, D., Semeter, J. L., Heinselman, C. J., Lynch, K. A., Michell, R. G., Kintner, P. M., Stenbaek-Nielsen, H. C. and Asamura, K.: PFISR and ROPA observations of pulsating aurora, J. Atmos.
- Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 71(6–7), 708–716, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.10.004, 2009.
 Kataoka, R., Fukuda, Y., Uchida, H. A., Yamada, H., Miyoshi, Y., Ebihara, Y., Dahlgren, H. and Hampton, D.: High-speed stereoscopy of aurora, Ann. Geophys., 34(1), 41–44, doi:10.5194/angeo-34-41-2016, 2016.
 Kennel, C. F. and Petschek, H. E.: Limit on stably trapped particle fluxes, J. Geophys. Res., 71(1), 1–28, doi:10.1029/JZ071i001p00001, 1966.
- 325 McEwen, D. J., Yee, E., Whalen, B. A. and Yau, A. W.: Electron energy measurements in pulsating auroras, Can. J. Phys., 59(8), 1106–1115, doi:10.1139/p81-146, 1981.

Miyoshi, Y., Saito, S., Seki, K., Nishiyama, T., Kataoka, R., Asamura, K., Katoh, Y., Ebihara, Y., Sakanoi, T., Hirahara, M., Oyama, S., Kurita, S. and Santolik, O.: Relation between energy spectra of pulsating aurora electrons and frequency spectra of whistler-mode chorus waves, J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys., 120(9), 7728–7736, doi:10.1002/2015JA021562., 2015.

330 Nygrén, T., Markkanen, M., Lehtinen, M. and Kaila, K.: Application of stochastic inversion in auroral tomography, Ann. Geophys., 14(11), 1124–1133, doi:10.1007/s00585-996-1124-1, 1997.

Ogawa, Y., Tanaka, Y., Kadokura, A., Hosokawa, K., Ebihara, Y., Motoba, T., Gustavsson, B., Brändström, U., Sato, Y., Oyama, S., Ozaki, M., Raita, T., Sigernes, F., Nozawa, S., Shiokawa, K., Kosch, M., Kauristie, K., Hall, C., Suzuki, S., Miyoshi, Y., Gerrard, A., Miyaoka, H. and Fujii, R.: Development of low-cost multi-wavelength imager system for studies of

 aurora and airglow, Polar Sci., 23, doi:10.1016/j.polar.2019.100501, 2020a.
 Ogawa, Y., Kadokura, A. and Ejiri, M. K.: Optical calibration system of NIPR for aurora and airglow observations, Polar Sci., 26, doi:10.1016/j.polar.2020.100570, 2020b.

340

345

Picone, J. M., Hedin, A. E., Drob, D. P. and Aikin, A. C.: NRLMSISE-00 empirical model of the atmosphere: Statistical comparisons and scientific issues, J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys., 107(A12), SIA 15-1-SIA 15-16, doi:10.1029/2002JA009430, 2002.

Sangalli, L., Partamies, N., Syrjäsuo, M., Enell, C. F., Kauristie, K. and Mäkinen, S.: Performance study of the new EMCCDbased all-sky cameras for auroral imaging, Int. J. Remote Sens., 32(11), 2987–3003, doi:10.1080/01431161.2010.541505, 2011.

Semeter, J. and Kamalabadi, F.: Determination of primary electron spectra from incoherent scatter radar measurements of the auroral e region, Radio Sci., 40(2), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2004RS003042, 2005.

Sergienko, T. and Ivanov, V.: A new approach to calculate the excitation of atmospheric gases by auroral electron impact, Ann. Geophys. Eur. Geophys. Soc., 11(8), 717–727, 1993.

Stenback-Nielsen, H. C. and Hallinan, T. J.: Pulsating auroras: Evidence for noncollisional thermalization of precipitating electrons, J. Geophys. Res., 84(A7), 3257–3271, doi:10.1029/JA084iA07p03257, 1979.

350 Stone, M.: Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions (with discussion), J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B, 38(1), 102–102, doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1976.tb01573.x, 1974.

Tanaka, Y. M., Aso, T., Gustavsson, B., Tanabe, K., Ogawa, Y., Kadokura, A., Miyaoka, H., Sergienko, T., Brändström, U. and Sandahl, I.: Feasibility study on Generalized-Aurora Computed Tomography, Ann. Geophys., 29(3), 551–562, doi:10.5194/angeo-29-551-2011, 2011.

355 University of Oulu and Finnish Meteorological Institute: Subset of the MIRACLE emCCD all-sky camera data at 427.8nm from ABK and KIL on 18 Feb 2018 00-02UT (Version 1), University of Oulu, Sodankylän geofysiikan observatorio. doi: 10.23729/e86df44b-8dad-44e5-89f3-4bea0d3d1236

Vickrey, J. F., Vondrak, R. R. and Matthews, S. J.: Energy deposition by precipitating particles and Joule dissipation in the auroral ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys., 87(A7), 5184–5196, doi:10.1029/ja087ia07p05184, 1982.

Walls, F. L. and Dunn, G. H.: Measurement of total cross sections for electron recombination with NO + and O 2 + using ion storage techniques, J. Geophys. Res., 79(13), 1911–1915, doi:10.1029/ja079i013p01911, 1974.
Yamamoto, T.: On the temporal fluctuations of pulsating auroral luminosity, J. Geophys. Res., 93(A2), 897–911, doi:10.1029/JA093iA02p00897, 1988.