



# 2 Requirement of HE-PIXE at High Z Elements in Charnockite Matrix Composition

3

6

7 8

9 10 11

12

1

4 Avupati Venkata Surya Satyanarayana<sup>1</sup>, Mokka Jagannadha Rao<sup>2</sup>, Byreddy Seetharami Reddy<sup>3</sup>

5 1 Department of Engineering Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530003, AP, India.

2 Department of Geology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530003, A.P, India.

3 Department of Nuclear Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530003, AP, India.

Correspondence; A. V. S. Satyanarayana, E-mail; savs.viit@gmail.com

### 13 Abstract;

The maximum of Proton Induced X-ray Emission analytical technique on metamorphic 14 rocks in geology has used 3 MeV range proton beams for excitation of thick targets. Protons 15 of such energies do not accurately excite K-X –rays for high Z elements in matrix geological 16 compositions like charnockite. In this analysis, low-energy PIXE (LE-PIXE) uses K-X-rays 17 18 of Low Z elements and L-X-ray series for high Z elements. The resulting spectra between K-19 X-rays of light elements and L-X-rays of heavy elements can require striping techniques to 20 resolve overlap difficulties in matrix composition. The results high Z elements in charnockite are to be expected, as the cross section for K-shell ionization of high-Z elements have greater 21 22 values in the proton energy range of greater than 3 MeV in case of charnockite matrix composition. It has been suggested that the overlap of these discrete, gamma-rays with the X-23 ray spectrum may be serious problem in charnockite high energy PIXE (HE-PIXE) work, 24 sufficient to preclude its use as a viable analytical technique. The conclusion proves that for a 25 26 very complex matrix charnockite material of unknown chemistry, a HE-PIXE analytical spectrum may contain various X-ray and gamma peaks, some of which may overlap, making 27 28 the analysis of line identities and the evaluation of X-ray counts intractable. It does not 29 however represent any intrinsic drawback in HE-PIXE, nor does it mean that HE-PIXE is any 30 more or less intractable than many other nuclear analytical techniques. Alternatively, the same analytical tool use could be made of gamma-rays in HE-PIXE as in low energy 31 32 analytical tools like PIGE, NRA or INAA to obtain the results of charnockite at high Z completely. 33

34

Keywords; LE-PIXE, Charnockite matrix, Overlap problems, HE-PIXE, K-X-rays, Detection
 probability,

37





#### 39 1. Introduction

Almost all of inner-shell ionisation cross section data is obtained out of total X-ray 40 production cross sections, since X-ray detector systems are less complex. The X-ray spectrum 41 associated with atom-energetic ion bombardment can be detected and quantified 42 43 experimentally by means of general nuclear instruments. High resolution detection is often required and usually lithium drifted Silicon Si (Li) for minor and trace elements and high 44 45 purity germanium (HPGe) detectors for detecting heavier atoms offer proper and resilient detection possibility suitable for complex nature of X-ray spectra. Data is always received 46 and transferred from detection system to a computer using suitable network procedure in 47 order to work out the quantifying that involves the analytical interpretation of the X-ray 48 49 spectrum and peak area measurements.

Particle Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE) (Johansson and Campbell, 1988) is the 50 technique where X-rays are induced by the impact of energetic ions due to the coulomb 51 52 interaction between the incident ion and inner-shell bound electron, giving rise to vacancy in the sample atom. This created vacancy is as a result filled by an outer shell electron, and the 53 atom subsequently de-excites by emitting a characteristic X-ray. In multi-elemental samples, 54 55 each element in the sample can be identified and quantified by referring to its characteristic X-rays. The study of X-rays produced by light ions bombardments has received a great 56 57 impetus by the modifications of analytical techniques. Protons of energy 2-5 MeV are found to be the most suitable ionising agents to use for the PIXE principle as one of the leading 58 multi-elemental analysis technique. PIXE has comparably large cross sections when 59 compared to the other excitation processes. As a result, the characteristic X-rays are produced 60 in concentration with relatively low background interference and only a low amount of 61 sample's composition is required for the analysis. If everything works well under valid 62 63 conditions, it is possible to detect 1-2 parts per million in quantities over a number of 64 elements.

The PIXE analytic technique is a non-destructive, non-invasive, no sample surface 65 66 contamination and do not affect the analysis since PIXE is usually insensitive to elements 67 with Z < 13. We examine experimental factors in the latest successes of proton Microprobe (PMP) experiments in the geological materials. The PIXE analysis can now be efficient to 68 scientists belongs to geology (Campbell and Willcam, 1995) as a useful, common and 69 70 measurement tool. With modifying suitability of proton microprobes and awareness among geo scientists of the efficiencies of PIXE, matrix geo material applications (Ryan, 2004) are 71 likely to increase rapidly. Ore deposit mineralogy studies are performed to achieve a wide 72 73 range of objectives like parameters useful for mineral exploration is to a complete minerals characterization (Graham, et. al., 2002) necessary to give guidance for mineral beneficiation 74 75 and extraction. Trace-element information, to calculate partition coefficients between co-76 existing minerals, or on fluid inclusions, provides geothermometric data on ore deposits.

PIXE through high sensitivity and accuracy multi element measurement (Christopher,
et. al., 2016) with picometer spatial resolution offers up new capabilities in inter disciplinary
research work. Trace element data achieved using the proton microprobe provides new





analytical tools in ore genesis studies, exploration for precious metals, as well in the
processing of metal bearing ores. Introduction of this new methodology to the complex
materials however is controlled by economic factors which must be taken into consideration.
Matrix geological material has gained new studies with the availability and application of
PIXE, XRF and EMPA and NAA (Malmqvist, et. al., 1987) complementary analytical
techniques (Satyanarayana, et. al., 2020).

86 A lot of PIXE work on geological materials has used 3MeV energy range proton beams 87 for thick samples and excitation. Proton beams of such energies do not suitably excite K-X-88 rays for high Z elements present in charnockite complex composition, and low-energy PIXE generally uses the L-X-rays for high Z elements. The final spectra of complex matrix can 89 require techniques of striping to resolve interfere problems between X-rays of low to high Z 90 91 elements in charnockite composition. Previously, it was thought that PIXE technique of geological materials was restricted to excitation protons in the 3 MeV energy range. Because, 92 PIXE with 3MeV failed to detect (Javier, 1996) very low Z elements due to detector limits 93 94 and also at high Z elements and hence overlapping of these L-X-rays of high Z with K-X-95 rays of low and middle Z elements, detection not is possible (Satyanarayana, et. al., 2020). Simultaneously K-X-rays cross sections are heavy, so 3 MeV proton is not sufficient to excite 96 97 the elements at high Z elements. To analyse the charnockite composition completely 98 including high Z elements using PIXE, according to previous literature of charnockite and geological research, most probably HE-PIXE may enable. 99

100 Since, PIXE is a highly sensitive, accurate and non-destructive analytical method for 101 different elements in variety geological materials down to the levels of a few ppm. The 102 samples chosen for analytical method are collected from the middle portion of a charnockite hill, Visakhapatnam airport A.P, during a demolition operation for expansion of the airport. A 103 big lenticular mass compositionally different from the host charnockite rock was identified in 104 the middle part of the hill. This body is believed to be the early crustal layer (possibly proto 105 crust). Such samples are rare, PIXE technique is chosen for the trace elemental analysis of 106 107 these rare samples.

#### 108 2. Methodology

The elemental quantification of charnockite matrix in each sample was concentrated 109 using the proton beam PIXE measurements. Each target's was bombarded with beam of 3 110 MeV protons in our PIXE set-up (Johansson and Johansson, 1976) X-ray spectra was 111 112 obtained and noted for all the measurements. While taking these experiments there was constant keeping close tabs on the beam current, dead-time and beam aperture as all these 113 play an important role in the quality of the received spectrum. Beam is lead to the beam line 114 115 through the analysing magnets; afterwards they pass through the collimator to keep the beam 116 in focus as they approach the sample. To measure proton beam current, Beam Profile Monitor (BPM) is used, and is placed in vacuum before the exit window where the proton beam enters 117 the atmosphere of laboratory. 118

Experiments were carried out at the scanning nuclear microprobe arranged on the 0 beam line of the 3MV pelletron accelerator at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.





Concerning the measurement conditions, a proton beam of 3 MeV energy focused down to 121 diameter 80mm<sup>2</sup> with a 20 nA beam current used to bombard the targets. In order to avoid 122 123 the charging up of the samples, the proton beam current had to be kept under same. For the low Z elements PIXE measurements, relative to the incident beam, X-ray detector placed at 124 135° geometry were used to detect the induced characteristic X-rays. A Gresham type Si(Li) 125 X-ray Be windowed detection with 80 mm2 active diameter setup was used to calculate the 126 medium and high energy characteristic X-rays (Atomic number > 19, energy 3-30 keV). 127 From the scattered protons, the detector protected by a permanent magnet and with a beam 128 129 chopper, the accumulated charge was monitored.

At an angle of 45° with direction to the proton beam, the charnockite targets which are 130 to be positioned on the target holder in this scattering chamber. The position of the target 131 relative to the beam direction is arranged suitably by observing through a window in the 132 scattering chamber. The detector is positioned at an angle of  $90^{\circ}$  with respect to the beam 133 direction. A Si (Li) with high detection (160 eV FWHM at 5.9 KeV) is used in the present 134 study to count the X-ray spectrum. Detailed explanation of the measurement setup can be 135 found and signal from all detection were collected by the data acquisition system. PIXE 136 spectra with better resolution and lower dead time were recorded. 137

The recorded PIXE spectra were evaluated with the GUPIX software (Maxwell, 1995) 138 to determine the multi elemental composition of the targets or samples. At first, the 139 140 composition of the matrix was calculated from the spectra of the Si (Li) detection, and then 141 the spectra of the Be windowed detector was analysed in element mode. On the spectra of detection, the X-ray energy range of 2–8.5 keV is common, therefore characteristic X-rays 142 within this energy range was used to normalize the elemental quantifications. In the starting 143 and at the completion of the measurement experiments, analyses of standard reference 144 materials were carried out in order to verify the performance of the dose calculation and of 145 the precision, accuracy of the PIXE analysis setup. The standard USGS reference material 146 Basalt standards were used. 147

#### 148 **3. Results**

149 The PIXE spectrum of the seven matrix charnockite samples collected from the interior 150 of the hill. The quantifications in ppm of these wide ranges of elements in each target were calculated using the GUPIX software with Si (Li) detection (Satyanarayana, et. al., 2016). 151 152 These concentrations are tabulated with errors in table-1 by using the analysis of PIXE spectrum. The missing elements in the PIXE spectrum of Charnockite samples with respect to 153 154 previous analytical techniques and hence the experimental factors behind the analysis of charnockite matrix samples by PIXE at 3 MeV are discussed. With the comparison present 155 results table-1 and table-2 of previous studies (Rao, et. al., 1969, Rao and Babu, 1978, 156 157 Kamineni, et. al., 1982, Rao, et. al., 1993) the elements in charnockite composition is 158 discussed and suggestions for high Z elements detection in charnockite are made with 159 probable HE-PIXE.





# Table -1; Analytical results of all matrix charnockite samples (PIXE)

S.NO	Element	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7
1	Cl	394.1±16.5	399.6±17.1	379±16.5	403.5±19.5	546.9±23.5	383.7±16.7	462.6±20.6
2	K	4080±28.2	4187±25.1	4148±26.1	4246±29.3	6699±40.2	5458±27.1	5393±33.4
3	Ca	2229±25.9	2281±22.8	2637±25.6	2754±28.1	4120±23.5	2544±26.2	3091±32.1
4	Ti	1394±11.6	1271±9.3	1109±9.3	13.67±11.3	1590±13.8	1044±9.1	1510±12.4
5	V	17.92±4.8	23.69±4.1	7.85±4.0	BDL	37.78±6.0	10.79±4.0	11.55±12.4
6	Cr	16.63±2.3	38.53±2.1	15.16±2.1	16.93±2.5	17.71±3.1	9.118±2.1	14.13±2.6
7	Mn	18.62 ±3.9	34.71±3.7	34.31±3.7	33.68±4.1	47.43±5.3	27.36±3.5	27.03±4.5
8	Fe	5200±20.3	6575±21.0	5649±19.8	5838±22.2	7325±26.4	4905±17.7	6238±23.1
9	Ni	10.96±3.7	11.43±3.7	10.06±3.39	8.94±3.92	28.29±5.02	10.11±3.1644	16.5±4.3692
10	Cu	BDL	BDL	6.1±2.7	BDL	BDL	BDL	8.717±3.47
11	Zn	9.147±3.3	18.21±3.5	14.23±3.0	14.41±3.5	11.96. ±4.6	24.73±2.9	4.29±2.0
12	Se	6.3±65±2.5	BDL	BDL	0.9499±1.7	BDL	BDL	BDL
13	Br	4±2.2	12.17±2.93	11.82±2.85	13.47±6.2	10.32±4.031	8.61±2.455	9.08±3.503
14	Rb	48.87±6.0	42.08±6.1	62.73±6.0	34.71±6.2	56.14 ±7.9	34.58±5.3	52.46±7.1
15	Sr	38.5±5.4	28.6±4.6	44.61±4.9	27.65±5.2	38.02±6.5	33.91±4.9	35.53±6.1
16	Y	BDL	12.64±4.5	BDL	18.13±5.0	BDL	BDL	BDL
17	Zr	95.91±9.8	20.86±6.0	23.6±6.1	63.7±8.7	11.44±7.0	12.16±5.0	77.82±9.3
18	Nb	7.035±3.1	9.812±3.9	BDL	6.09±3.29	BDL	BDL	BDL.
19	Мо	BDL	24.34±6.3	BDL	10.84±4.04	BDL	BDL	BDL
20	Ru	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	9.977±3.59	BDL
21	Ag	BDL	12.36±9.0	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
22	Pb	32.93±15.6	38.35±17.3	17.68±7.7	28.82±11.2	41.58±14.48	BDL	24.49±12.5





# **6 |** P a g e

# 168 Table-2; Results of charnockites of same area of previous analyses and present PIXE

Flamont	Atomic Number	Present DIVE	Previous Chemical	Pravious Chamical
Liement	Atomic Number	Analysis average of	analysis of pyroyene	analysis of allanite
		7 samples	granulites from	from Air Port Hill
		/ sumples	charnockitic rocks	charnockite.
			Visakhapatnam	Visakhapatnam (Rao
			(Rao et al 1993)	and Babu, 1978)
Li	3	ND	18 08ppm	-
Be	4	ND	-	<4
F	9	ND	0.400ppm	-
Na	11	ND	$Na_2O=1.591(Wt\%)$	-
Mg	12	ND	MgO=4.022(Wt%)	MgO=1.18(Wt%)
Al	13	ND	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> =18.137(Wt%)	$Al_2O_3 = = 14.79(Wt\%)$
Si	14	ND	SiO 2=50.345(Wt%)	$SiO_{2}=31.24(Wt\%)$
Р	15	ND	$P_2O_5=0.213(Wt\%)$	-
Cl	17	424.2±18.62ppm	0.130ppm	-
К	19	4887.28±29.91ppm	K <sub>2</sub> O=0.428(Wt%)	-
Ca	20	2808±26.31ppm	CaO=11.376(Wt%)	CaO=11.01(Wt%)
Sc	21	ND	23ppm	150ppm
Ti	22	1133.09±10.97ppm	TiO <sub>2</sub> =1.314(Wt%)	TiO <sub>2</sub> =1.62(Wt%)
V	23	18.26±5.88ppm	240ppm	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> =0.09(Wt%)
Cr	24	18.31±2.4ppm	180ppm	70ppm
Mn	25	31.934±4.1ppm	MnO=0.089(Wt%)	MnO=0.28(Wt%)
Fe	26	5961.42±21.5ppm	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> =1.123(Wt%)	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> =5.10(Wt%)
			FeO =10.649(Wt%)	FeO=8.49 (Wt %)
Co	27	ND	74ppm	150ppm
Ni	28	13.755±3.89ppm	170ppm	66ppm
Cu	29	7.40±3.08ppm	262ppm	150ppm
Zn	30	13.85±3.25ppm	80ppm	140ppm
G	31	ND	36ppm	30ppm
Ge	32	ND	-	80ppm
Se	34	3.65±2.1ppm	-	-
Br	35	9.92±3.45ppm	-	-
Rb	37	47.36±6.37ppm	66.52ppm	-
Sr	38	35.26±5.37ppm	325ppm	480ppm
Y	39	15.385±4.75ppm	-	$*Y_2O_3 = 0.15 (Wt\%)$
Zr	40	43.64±7.41ppm	78ppm	*ZrO <sub>2</sub> =0.15(Wt%)
Nb	41	7.6±3.43ppm	-	900ppm
Mo	42	1/.59±5.17ppm	-	60ppm
Ku	44	9.9/±3.59ppm	-	-
Ag	4/	12.36±9.0ppm	-	-
Sn	50	ND	-	$*SnO_2=0.03(Wt\%)$
Ва	56	ND	338.40ppm	-
HI	12	ND	3.23ppm	-
18	15	ND	0.69ppm	-
W A	/4	ND	-	<40ppm
Au	/9	ND 20.64+12.12mm	-	10ppm
PD Th	82	50.04±13.13ppm	-	*PDU=0.04(Wt%)
	90		7.07ppm	$*110_2 = 0.50(Wt\%)$
DEE	92 57 to 71		2.05ppm	$*U_3U_8=0.01 (Wt\%)$
KLE	571071	ND	-	23.29(Wt%)





#### 171 4. Discussion

172 From the applications of LE-PIXE 3 MeV using Si (Li) detectors and above charnockite analysis (Satyanarayana and Ravi Kumar, 2017) suggested that it would be 173 advantageous to keep excitation energies as low as possible to "reduce the number of 174 175 different nuclear reactions" that might contribute low-energy gamma-ray peaks to the 176 spectrum. The occurrence of various gamma-ray interferences is distinct from problems related to background (Murozono, et. al., 1999). The important sources for continuous 177 background radiation in the low energy PIXE spectrum are atomic, secondary electron and 178 quasi-free electron bremsstrahlung. These backgrounds mainly determine the limit of 179 detection in PIXE spectrum by underlying the characteristic X-ray peaks in the case of 180 181 elements with Z < 35. Other factors forming the background are nuclear bremsstrahlung (Ishii, et. al., 2005) the Compton tail of  $\gamma$ -rays, which is induced by the nuclear reactions. 182

183 The drawback of PIXE at 3 MeV to studying in this configuration is that below or near the chlorine (Satyanarayana, et. al., 2020) from spectrums of PIXE are not detected in this 184 work due to low energy X-rays, because they are absorbed in either the detector window 185 atmosphere or through any filter used. In case of PIXE analysis of the elements present in 186 187 charnockite with Z $\leq$ 31 an interference is encountered between the Ka next element X-ray and the K $\beta$  previous element X-ray, which have virtually the same energy and also between 188 189 the X-ray K lines of media elements and X-ray L lines of heavy elements. Due to this the 190 concentration values of Ca, K, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Se, Br, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, 191 Ru, Ag, Pb are not exactly equal to the concentrations when compare to the another analytical techniques. Few elements like Li, Be, F, Co, Ga, Ge, Ce, Sn, Ba, Au, Th, U and REE are not 192 193 detected due to the same reason.

194 Particle Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE) has been used to an analytical tool for long range of major, minor, trace and REE elemental analysis in Precambrian charnockites. PIXE 195 is sensitive, accurate and non-destructive method for some elemental analysis in a variety of 196 197 metamorphic charnockite rocks down to levels of a few ppm and it is not valid for all remaining elements in the composition. The elements in the Precambrian charnokite rock Cl, 198 K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Se, Br, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Ag, Pb are identified 199 200 without exact values by PIXE but the minor and major elements Li. Be, F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, Ba and traces of Sc, Ce, Co, Sn, W, Ge, Ga, Au, Th, U and REE are not at all detected due to 201 202 the various factors even though there present in the charnockite composition, because of PIXE which is operated at 3MeV proton energy and characterization of charnockite 203 204 compositional investigated. In elemental characterization of charnockite rocks, elemental errors in concentration explained by comparing nuclear technique studies. 205

The element tin 3.444, 3.663 keV (L-X-rays) are similar to the potassium K-X-rays. Therefore tin is not detected in charnockite composition by PIXE analysis. The element barium 4.466, 4.828 22 keV (L-X-rays) since K-X-rays limited in case of PIXE and for L-Xrays, similar to titanium 4.512, 4.933 keV (K-X-rays). Therefore barium is present in the charnockite composition, but not detected in PIXE analysis due to the above reason. The





element cerium have 4.839, 5.262 keV (L-X-rays), these L-X-ray energies are same as
titanium 4.933 keV (K-X-rays), Vanadium 4.953 5.428 keV (L-X -rays). Therefore cerium is

213 not identified in PIXE spectrum and overlapped with either titanium or vanadium.

214 The rare earth elements are also very important but not detected by using PIXE with 3 215 MeV analyses. The M-X-rays of rare earth elements and Hf, Ta, and W have less energy characteristic X-rays and absorbed by the detector window. The element Hf have L-X-ray 216 217 energies 7.899, 9.023 (keV) and M-X-ray energies 1.646, 1.700 (keV), Ta have L-X-ray energies 8.146, 9.343 (keV) and M-X-ray energies 1.712, 1.770 (keV), W have L-X-ray 218 energies 8.398, 9.672 (keV) and M-X-ray energies 1.775, 1.838 (keV). Similarly Co have K-219 X-ray energy 7.649 (keV), Ni have K-X-ray energy 8.267 (keV), Cu have K-X-ray energy 220 221 8.046, 8.904 (keV), Zn have K-X-ray energy 9.570 (keV) and Ga have K-X-ray energy 9.251 222 (keV). From the above discussion, M-X-ray energies of the elements Hf, Ta, W have less characteristic energies are detected by PIXE and L-X-ray energies are nearly equal to K-X-223 ray energies of Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga and Ge. Since Ga have 9.251, 10.267 (K-X-rays) and 224 225 1.098, 1.125 (L-X-rays), Ge have 9.886, 10.982 (K-X-rays) and 1.188, 1.218 (L-X-rays). Therefore Hf, Ta, W and rare earth element are not identified in the PIXE spectrum of 226 227 charnockite composition in this PIXE at 3MeV methodology. Similarly Au have L-X-rays 228 9.713, 11.443 keV, Ge have 9.886, 10.982 keV L-X-rays, Ga have 9.251, 10.267 keV L-Xrays at similar characteristic X-ray energies. Therefore the elements Au, Ge and Ga are not 229 detected in PIXE experiments but these are already presented in the charnockite composition 230 231 in the form of traces by other previous techniques.

232 PIXE with 3 MeV energy protons is not the technique of choice for the REE in 233 complex matrix charnockite shown in table-1 whose levels of uninterested are after at the ppm level or below. Because the REE elements present in matrix charnockite composition, 234 235 But charnockite have characteristic X-rays Lanthanum K $\alpha$  33.442 keV and L $\alpha$  4.64 keV, 236 Cerium La 4.839 keV and Ma 0.884 keV, Praseodymium La 5.035 keV and Ma 0.927 keV, Neodymium La 5.228 keV and Ma 0.979 keV, Promethium La 5.432 keV and Ma 1.023 237 238 keV, Samarium La 5.633 keV and Ma 1.078 keV, Europium La 5.849 keV and Ma 1.131 keV, Gadolinium La 6.053 keV and Ma 1.181 keV, Terbium La 6.273 keV and Ma 1.240 239 keV, Dysprosium La 6.498 keV and Ma 1.293 keV, Holmium La 6.720 keV and Ma 1.348 240 241 keV, Erbium Lα 6.949 keV and Mα 1.404 keV, Thulium Lα 7.180 keV and Mα 1.462 keV, 242 Ytterbium Lα 7.416 keV and Mα 1.526 keV. Lutetium Lα 7.655 keV and Mα 1.580 keV.

243 In the above REE elements, K-X ray energies are very high and due to the cross 244 sections these are not detected by PIXE with 3 MeV. The M-X rays of REE are very low, 245 absorbed by the Si (Li) detector. Finally L-X-ray energies are in the range of PIXE experiment at 3MeV, but these LX-ray energies of REE 4.6-7.6 KeV are overlapped with the 246 following K-X-ray media Z elements like Titanium Ka 4.512 keV and La 0.452 keV, 247 Vanadium Ka 4.953 keV and La 0.510 keV, Chromium Ka 5.415 keV and La 0.572 keV, 248 Manganese Ka 5.900 keV and La 0.637 keV, Iron Ka 6.405 keV and La 0.705 keV, Cobalt 249 250 K $\alpha$  6.931 keV and L $\alpha$  0.775 keV, Nickel K $\alpha$  7.480 keV and L $\alpha$  0.849 keV, Copper K $\alpha$  8.046 keV and La 0.928 keV. 251

https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2020-77 Preprint. Discussion started: 8 December 2020 © Author(s) 2020. CC BY 4.0 License.





#### **9 |** Page

Thorium and Uranium traces are not detected by using present analysis. Because of 252 uranium, contain 98.440 keV, 111.303 keV (K-X-rays), 13.614 keV 17.220 keV (L-X-rays) 253 254 and 3.171 keV 3.336 keV (M-X-rays), similarly thorium contain 93.351 keV 105.605 keV 255 (K-X-rays), 12.968 keV 16.202 keV (L-X-rays) and 2.996 keV 3.149 keV (M-X-rays). The X- ray energy detection from PIXE with Si (Li) detector experiment should only lay 1-20 256 keV. The energy of K-X-ray energies of high Z elements in charnockite composition are 257 258 more, therefore detection is not possible through K-X rays due to the Si (Li) detector limitation. But the atomic number of Th and U > 83, according to PIXE theory, M-X-rays are 259 limited to PIXE technique because for M-X-rays, validity is 72<Z<83. Finally L X-rays 260 energies of Th and U are similar to K X-rays energies of Br 13.292 keV, Rb 13.396 keV, Sr 261 15.835 keV, and Mo 17.480 keV, which are present in the composition shown in table-1. 262 Therefore, due to above reason or overlapping U and Th are not detected in charnockite 263 composition by PIXE with 3 MeV spectrum analyses. 264

In case of PIXE spectrum analysis of the elements present in charnockite, the elements 265 266 Z $\leq$ 31 an overlap is occurred between the K $\alpha$  immediate element X-ray and the K $\beta$  previous element X-ray, which have virtually the same characteristic energy or between the X-ray K 267 lines of media elements and X-ray L lines of heavy elements. Determination of rare earth 268 269 elements in charnockite samples is a very important, but is not easy to tackle in this 270 investigation. By PIXE at 3 MeV, the L X-rays energies of low Z elements from 4-9 keV range of REE in charnockite strongly interfere with K X-rays energies of low Z elements (20 271 to 31 Z) and the quantification of REE by PIXE with 3 MeV becomes very difficult detect in 272 this study. 273

274 Interferences of various peaks of low Z to high Z elements present in charnockite 275 caused by overlap of L-X-ray line and X-ray lines are not easily resolved, mainly when one is 276 important in analysis of the rare earth elements. As the REEs are of very important to the geologists and there is not yet an interesting technique for their analysis, it is important that 277 the full potential of be realized for this group. The full complexity of the difficulties is 278 279 revealed by the interpreted spectrum of charnockite, a rare earth containing charnockite composition, presented in this study. That this complex spectrum could be interpreted with 280 281 such precision was a major toured force but not the type of experiment that would be 282 appropriate for routine analysis. To eliminate these interfere separation of REE from the 283 matrix or by analysing the K-X-rays instead of the L-X-rays for the rare earth elements in a 284 charnockite sample approach should be modified at the proton energies.

From the above study held that the maximum energy for PIXE analysis laid 3MeV range. Above 3MeV range increasing backgrounds arising due to compound nuclear reaction gamma rays offset the advantage of higher X-ray production cross sections. In general above proton energies 20 MeV, various particle processes overcomes and different gamma–rays are lost in background spectra. In the range above proton energy 60 MeV for elements at high Z, the maximum in cross sections for K-X-ray production is reached (Norman, et. al., 1995). The mixing of higher production cross section and continuum gamma ray background results





compared to lower energy PIXE, in geological materials in orders of magnitude development
in limits of detection E=40 MeV (Durocher, et. al., 1998) for high Z elements.

The interpretation of K-X-ray peaks in the spectrum for rare earth elements detected 294 using an intrinsic Ge detector (Chaves, et. al., 2014) considerably easy in comparison to 295 296 fitting of L-X-rays below 10 keV. The systematically calculated the X-ray production cross sections of the REE from 40-70 MeV and will use the results to geo materials like 297 298 charnockite. The possibility of using off-line counting of delayed rays, following activation of the sample by the high energy protons provides further analytical possibilities. While the 299 use of high energy proton PIXE may dominates the experimental uncertainties of multi-300 element interferences, the expense and limited access to high energy experiments will prevent 301 302 this becoming wider spread analytical techniques.

So, it might be expected that PIXE with high-energy proton bombardment of 303 charnockite complex geological materials may cause a number of nuclear reactions, with the 304 305 result that low-energy gamma-rays from those reactions could interfere with the X-ray spectra of the elements of interest. This is to some extent borne out by the experiment who 306 observed peaks in their spectra that they interpreted to be "of nuclear origin." In this case, the 307 308 projectiles used were protons, and the peaks of nuclear origin were produced when the energy of the protons was raised. However, reported well-resolved K line spectra for the rare-earth 309 310 elements (REEs) in several geological materials, showing that interference due to low-energy gamma-rays and from nuclear reactions is not a necessary result of using high-energy protons 311 312 for excitation.

313 It has been concluded also that the "gamma-rays Compton scattering within the Ge detection might importantly increase the background for studies run at high energies proton 314 30 MeV (Ishii, 1995), to the extent that this component of the background might effectively 315 316 obscure K X-ray peaks. We have shown that this is not the case. From the previous 317 experiments in case of geological materials, we see that the K-X-rays from various elements 318 are clearly resolved with high peak-to-background ratios, and that high levels of bremsstrahlung are not an important problem. These experiments are to be expected, as the 319 320 ionization cross section for K-shell of REE and high-Z elements reaches a maximum in the proton energy range 30-60 MeV (Hajivaliei, et. al., 2000). Because of the present study in the 321 322 determination of high Z elements in charnockite composition, it seemed worthwhile to focus 323 the analytical potential in this area of HE-PIXE.

324 Therefore, the matrix chanockite analysis by using PIXE with 3 MeV proved good results (Macarthur and Ma, 1991) at media Z elements. Of course interferences are takes 325 326 place due to matrix charnockite contained wide range of elements. PIXE with 3MeV failed to 327 detect very low Z elements due to detector limits and also at high Z elements due to overlapping of these L-X-rays of high Z with K-X- rays of low and middle Z elements, 328 detection not is possible. Simultaneously K-X-rays cross sections are heavy at low energy 329 protons, so 3MeV proton is not sufficient to excite the elements at high Z elements. HE-PIXE 330 331 is required for the analysis of high Z elements present in the charnockite composition with alternation for gamma rays in addition to the high energy K-X-ray detection. 332





#### 333 5. Conclusions

The elements in the Precambrian charnokite complex composition Cl, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Se, Br, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Ag, Pb are measured with errors due to interferences by PIXE spectrum. The elements minor and major elements Li. B, F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, Ba and traces of Sc, Ce, Co, Sn, W, Ge, Ga, Au, Th, U and REE are not detected due to the experimental factors even though there present in the charnockite composition from previous analytical techniques. Because of characterization of Charnockite mineral the investigation at PIXE which is operation at 3MeV energy.

From the above discussion, the results of high Z elements are to be expected, as the cross section for K-shell ionization of medium to high-Z elements reaches a maximum in the proton energy range of 30-50 MeV in case charnockite matrix composition. It has been suggested that the interference of these discrete, gamma-rays with the X-ray spectrum is a serious problem in HE-PIXE work, sufficient to preclude its use as a viable analytical technique.

The systematically measured the X-ray production cross sections of the high Z and REE from 40-70 MeV and will apply the results to geological samples. The approach is possible to avoid these interferences separation of REE from the matrix or by analysing the K-X-rays instead of the L-X-rays for the REE in a bulk sample. The interpretation of K-X-ray peaks for the REE detection using an intrinsic Ge detector is valuably simple in comparison to Si (Li) interpretation of L-X-rays below 10 keV.

PIXE with 3MeV failed to detect very low Z elements due to detector limits and also at high Z elements and hence overlapping of these L-X-rays of high Z with K-X- rays of low and middle Z elements, detection not is possible. Simultaneously K-X-rays cross sections are heavy, so 3 MeV proton is not sufficient to excite the elements at high Z elements. To analyse the charnockite composition completely using PIXE, by using previous literature of charnockite and geological research, most probably HE-PIXE may enable.

#### 359 Acknowledgment

360

361 I deem it a privilege to express my deep sense of gratitude and heartiest thanks to my research director. I am thankful to Prof A. Durga Prasad Rao, Head of the Department, 362 Department of Nuclear Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam and teaching staff. The 363 deep sense of gratitude and heartiest thank to Prof. Y. Rama Krishna, Head of the 364 365 Department, Engineering Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam. I thank Dr. D. P. 366 Mahapatro, Director, Institute of Physics, and Bhubaneswar, providing an accelerator facility to carry out the research work and for all amenities provided during our stay at the institute of 367 368 physics.

369

370





# 372 **References**

- [1] Campbell, J L., Willcam, J. (1995) PIXE analysis of Mineralogy and Geochemistry, The
  Canadian Mineralogist. 33, pp. 93-302.
- [2] Chaves, P C., Taborda, A., Deoliveira, D P S., Reis, M A. (2014) Cd-Te detector based
  PIXE mapping of geological samples. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
  Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 318, pp. 37-41.
- 378

[3] Christopher, M Heirwesh., John, L Campbell., Gerald, K Czamanske. (2016) Refinement
of major, minor element PIXE analysis of rocks and mineral. Nuclear Instruments and
Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 366,
pp. 40-50.

[4] Durocher, J J G., Halden, N M., Hawthorne, F C., McKee, J S C. (1988) PIXE and micro
PIXE analysis of minerals at Ep=40 MeV. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 30, pp. 470-473.

[5] Graham, C W., John , C R., John, L C., Zdenek, N., & William, J T. (2002) Application
of PIXE to mineral characterization. Nuclear instruments and methods in physics research B;
Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 189, pp. 387-393.

[6] Hajivaliei, M., Puri, Sanjiv., Garg, M L., Mehta, D., Kumar, A., Chamoli, S K., Avasthi,
D K., Mandal, A., Nandi, T K., Singh, K P., Singh, Nirmal., Govil, I M. (2000) K and L Xray production cross sections and intensity ratios of rare earth elements for proton impact in
the energy range 20-25 MeV. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section
B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 160, pp. 203-215

[7] Ishii, K., Yamazaki, H., Matsuyama, S., Galster, W., Satoh, T. and Milos, Budnar. (2005)
Contribution of atomic bremsstrahlung in pixe spectra and screening effect in atomic
bremsstrahlung. X-Ray Spectrometry, 34(4):363-365.

[8] Javier, M. (1996) Low energy PIXE: advantages, drawbacks, and applications. Nuclear
Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B: Beam Interactions with Materials and
Atoms. 118, pp. 346-351.

[9] Johansson, S A E, Johansson, T B. (1976) Analytical applications of particle induced Xray emission. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B: Beam Interactions
with Materials and Atoms. 137, pp. 473.

[10] Kamineni, D C., Bandari, M., Rao, A T. (1982) Halogen-bearing minerals from Airport
Hill, Visakhapatnam, India. American Mineralogist. 67, pp. 1001-1004.





[11] Macarthur, J D., Ma, Xin-Pei. (1991) A review of Particle Induced X-ray Emission in
geology. International PIXE. 1, pp. 311-338.

[12] Malmqvist, K G., Bage, H., Carlesson, L E., Kristiansson, K., Malmqvist, L. (1987)
New methods for mineral prospecting using PIXE and complementary techniques. Nuclear
Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B: Beam Interactions with Materials and
Atoms. 22, pp. 386-393.

[13] Maxwell, J A., Teesdale, W J., Campbell, J L. (1995) The Guelph PIXE software
package II. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B: Beam Interactions with
Materials and Atoms. 95, pp. 407-421.

[14] Murozono, K., Ishii, K., Yamazaki, H., Matsuyama, S., and Iwasaki, S. (1999) PIXE
spectrum analysis taking into account bremsstrahlung spectra. Nuclear Instruments and
Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms.
150(1), pp.76-82.

[15] Norman, M. Halden., John, L. Campbell., William, J. Teesdale. (1995) PIXE analysis
in mineralogy and geochemistry, The Canadian Mineralogist. 33, pp. 293-302.

[16] Rao, K S R., Rao, A T., Sriramadas, A. (1969) Porphyritic plagioclase-hornblendepyroxene granulite from charnockitic rocks of Chipurupalli, Visakhapatnam district, Andhra
Pradesh, South India, Mineral Geological Magazine. 37, No. 288.

[17] Rao, A T., and Babu, V R R M. (1978) Allanite in Charnockites rock airport hill near
Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh. American Mineralogist. 63, pp. 330-331.

[18] Rao, A T., Rao, J U., and Masaru, Yoshida. (1993) Geochemistry and Tectonic
Evolution of the Pyroxene Granulites from Visakhapatnam Area in the Eastern Ghats
Granulite Belt, India Journal of Geosciences. 36, pp. 135-150.

[19] Ryan, C G. (2004) Ion beam microanalysis in geoscience research. Nuclear Instruments
and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms.
219-220, pp. 534-549

[20] Satyanarayana, A V S., Ravi Kumar, S & Sharma, S V R A N. (2016) An application of
PIXE technique to Proto Crustal Rocks: Geo chemical Evaluation of Granulitic Charnockites
of Eastern Ghats, Andhra Pradesh, Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences, Radiation
and Applications, *3*(2), pp. 147-155.

435

[21] Satyanarayana, A V S., and Ravi Kumar, S. (2017) Geochemical analysis of minerals
from Charnockite Hill, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India. Indian Journal of
Geosciences, Geological Society of India. 71 (2): 435–438.

439

[22] Satyanarayana, A V S., Jagannadha Rao., M., Chandra Mouli, K., Seetarami reddy, B.
(2020) The Performance of PIXE Technique through a Geochemical Analysis of High Grade





# 442 Rocks. Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences, Radiation and Applications, 7(1), 13-443 28.

[23] Satyanarayana, A V S., Jagannadha Rao, M., Sai Satya Mounika, K. (2020) Need of
Complementary Analytical Technique at PIXE-Complex Matrix Composition Analysis,
International Journal of Scientific Research in Physics and Applied Sciences, 8(3), pp. 36-40.

447 [24] Satyanarayana, A V S., Jagannadha Rao, M., Chandra Mouli, K., Sai Satya Mounika, K..
448 (2020) Performance of PIXE Technique at Elemental Analysis of Halogens in Charnockite
449 Matrix, International Journal of Scientific Research in Physics and Applied Sciences, 8(4),
450 pp.1-6.

[25] Sven, A E Johansson and John, L Campbell. (1988) PIXE: A novel technique forelemental analysis, Wiley New York. Vol. 47.

### 453 Conflict of Interest; Authors have no conflict of interest

#### 454 Code and Data Availability;

Satyanarayana, A V S., Jagannadharao, M., Chandra Mouli, K., Seetarami Reddy, B. (2020).
The Performance of PIXE Technique through a Geochemical Analysis of High Grade
Rocks. Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences, Radiation and Applications, 7(1), 1328. https://doi.org/10.15415/jnp.2019.71002

459 Satyanarayana, A V S. Ravi Kumar, S and Sharma, S.V.R.A.N. (2016) An application of
460 PIXE technique to Proto Crustal Rocks: Geo chemical evaluation of Granulitic Charnockites
461 of Eastern Ghats, Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences,
462 Radiation and Applications, 3(2), 147-155. https://doi.org/10.15415/jpp.2016.32016

#### 463 Competing interests;-

Sir, this is a part of research work at Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, and Andhra Pradesh, India. The topic related to material analysis by using PIXE technique and also the limitations of technique. The limitation arises due to selecting material which is very complex matrix material. Also it gives the idea where the PIXE fails to determine the elements, simultaneously gives the idea of another complementary technique which is used to give the complete analysis of the complex materials.

# 470 Authors have no conflict of interest

471 Funding;-

472 No funding, it is my own research work under the guidance of Prof. M. Jagannadha Rao

#### 473 Authors' contributions;-

The first author Dr. A.V.S. Satyanarayana, being a Nuclear Physics graduate he is instrumental in the design of the experiment, sample preparation, selection of appropriate





standards for the PIXE analysis, having discussions with the scientists of PIXE facility to
come up with standard analytical conditions for the rock with complex matrix to be analyzed
that is charnockite and compared with complementary present AAS & previous others. He
contributed all the technical aspects of PIXE analytical work, including physically working
with experts at PIXE facility.

The second author Prof. M. Jagannadha Rao is a Geologist having vast knowledge of Eastern Ghats from which the analyzed Rock samples of charnockites are collected. His contribution is selection of standard samples from field keeping in mind the sample location which is very important in understanding its genesis, based on the geochemical data obtained from PIXE. He also suggested suitable international standards which are close to the charnockite. Both the authors together finalized the data, including error calculation, interpretation and formatting the paper.

The third author Prof. B. Seetharami Reddy is a Physicist having vast knowledge of Nuclear Techniques especially in PIXE from which the analyzed Rock samples of charnockites are collected. His contribution is selection of standard samples and analysis from field keeping in mind the sample location which is very important in understanding its genesis, based on the geochemical data obtained from PIXE spectrum and experimental factors. He also suggested suitable international standards which are close to the charnockite.

494