Distribution of ~~the~~ Earth's radiation belts protons over the drift frequency of particles

Alexander S. Kovtyukh
Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119234, Russia

Correspondence: Alexander S. Kovtyukh (kovtyukhas@mail.ru)

Abstract. ~~On the base of generalized~~ Thanks to the data on the proton fluxes of the Earth's radiation belts (ERB) with energy ranging from ~~$E \sim$~~ 0.2 ~~MeV~~ to 100 MeV ~~at~~ and drift L shells ~~L~~ ranging from ~~$\sim$~~ 1 to 8, ~~constructed~~ their stationary distributions ~~of the ERB protons~~ over the drift frequency f_d of protons around the Earth are constructed. For this purpose, direct measurements of proton fluxes of the ERB in the period 1961–2017 near the ~~plane of the~~ geomagnetic equator were ~~used~~ employed. The main physical processes in the ERB manifested more clearly in these distributions, and for protons with $f_d > 0.5$ mHz at $L > 3$ their distributions ~~of the ERB protons~~ in the space $\{f_d, L\}$ have a more ~~orderly form~~ regular shape than in the space $\{E, L\}$. It has been found also that the quantity of the ERB protons with $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz at $L \sim 2$ does not decrease, as for protons with $E > 10$–20 MeV (with $f_d > 10$ mHz), but increases with an increase in solar activity. This means that the balance of radial transport and losses of the ERB low-energy protons at $L \sim 2$ is disrupted in advantage of transport: for these protons, the effect of an increase in the radial diffusion rates with increasing ~~in~~ solar activity, overpowers the effect of an increase in the density of the dissipative medium.

Keywords. Magnetospheric physics (energetic particles, trapped). Radiation belts.

1 Introduction

The Earth's radiation belts (ERB) consist mainly of charged particles with energy from E ~ 100 keV to several hundreds of megaelectronvolt (MeV). In the field of the geomagnetic trap, each particles of the ERB with energy E and equatorial pitch-angle α_0 (α is the angle between the local vector of the magnetic field and the vector of a particle velocity) makes three periodic movements: Larmor rotation, oscillations along the magnetic field line, and drift around the Earth (Alfvén and Fälthammar, 1963; Northrop, 1963).

Three adiabatic invariants (μ, K, Φ) correspond to these periodic motions of trapped particles, as well as three periods of time or three frequencies: a cyclotron frequency f_c, a frequency of particle oscillations along the magnetic field line f_b, and a drift frequency of particles around the Earth f_d. For the near-equatorial ERB protons, ~~these frequencies belong to the following ranges~~ we have: f_c ~ 1–500 Hz, f_b ~ 0.02–2 Hz and f_d ~ 0.1–20 mHz. The frequency f_c increases by tens to hundreds of times with the distance of the particle from the plane of the geomagnetic equator (in proportion to the local induction of the magnetic field), and the frequency f_b decreases by almost 2 times with increasing ~~the~~ amplitude of particles oscillation~~s~~.

~~The frequency frequency f_e is different for different L-shells (near the equatorial plane) and as L increases it refers to an insignificant number of particles at higher and higher geomagnetic latitudes. Each given value of the frequency f_b with increasing L correspond to particles of more and more higher energies ($E \infty L^2$) and it value encompass fewer and fewer particles.~~

The number of particles with a given frequency f_c decreases rapidly with an increase of L, and refers to higher and higher geomagnetic latitudes. For each given frequency f_b, particles become more and energetic with an increase of L ($E \infty L^2$) and their number becomes smaller.

Compared to the frequencies f_c and f_b, the drift frequency f_d ~~of the ERB particles of~~ for one particle species ~~belongs to~~ has a much narrower range of values; ~~the frequency f_d~~ it does not depend on the mass of the particles and it very weakly depends on the amplitude of their oscillations (vary within ~ 20%); in this case, ~~Herein,~~ on each L-shell ~~of the ERB~~ there are a significant number of particles corresponding to a certain value of f_d ~~from a narrow frequency range~~.

Therefore, it can be expected that the distributions of the ERB particles in the space $\{f_d, L\}$ will have a more ~~orderly~~ regular shape than in the space $\{E, L\}$, and the main physical processes in ~~the ERB~~ these belts will manifest themselves more clearly in these distributions. Furthermore, it can also be expected that on these more ordered background ~~will reveal~~ more fine features ~~of the ERB~~ can be revealed that ~~do~~ would not appear in the space $\{E, L\}$.

~~Meanwhile, despite~~ Despite the importance of the drift frequency f_d for the mechanisms of the ERB formation, reliable and sufficiently complete distributions of ~~the ERB particles~~ particles in the ERBs (over the frequency f_d) have not been presented ~~and these distributions have not been~~ nor analyzed; indeed, this is the first time. ~~This is the first time this is done here.~~

~~For greater reliance, this~~ The analysis presented in this paper is limited ~~here~~ to the protons of the ERB ~~and it is refer to the~~ during magnetically quiet periods of observations, when the proton fluxes ~~of the ERB protons~~ and their spatial-energy distributions were stationary. In the following sections, the distributions of the ERB protons over their drift frequency f_d ~~were~~ are constructed ~~by the~~ from experimental data (Sect. 2), and ~~these distributions were~~ analyzed (Sect. 3). Finally, the main conclusions of this work are given in Sect. 4.

2 Constructing the distributions of the ERB protons over their drift frequency

2.1 Spatial-energy distributions of the ERB protons near the equatorial plane

To construct the distributions of the ERB particles over the drift frequency, it is necessary to have reliable distributions of the differential fluxes of the ERB protons in the space $\{E, L\}$, where E is the kinetic energy of protons and L is the drift shell parameter.

~~According to~~ From the data of ~~generalized and~~ averaged satellite measurements of the differential fluxes of protons with an equatorial pitch-angle $\alpha_0 \approx 90^{\mathrm{o}}$, ~~such~~ aforementioned distributions ~~of proton fluxes for quiet conditions is~~ are constructed in (Kovtyukh, 2020) during quiet periods. Such distributions, separately ~~for the~~ between periods near minima and ~~near~~ maxima of the 11-year ~~cycles of~~ solar activity cycle, ~~is~~ are constructed from ~~the~~ satellite data also for other ~~main~~ ionic components of the ERB (near the equatorial plane) ~~of the geomagnetic equator~~, but the most reliable and detailed picture was obtained in for ~~a~~ protons (see Kovtyukh, 2020). In Fig. 1 one of these distributions is reproduced~~;~~ for periods near solar maxima ~~of the solar activity~~ (from 1968 to 2017); here, ~~Data~~ data of different satellites are associated ~~in Fig. 1~~ with different symbols.

The numbers on the curves (iso-lines) refers to the values of the decimal logarithms of the differential fluxes J (cm^2 s sr MeV)$^{-1}$ of protons (with equatorial pitch-angle $\alpha_0 \approx 90^{\mathrm{o}}$). The red lines in Fig. 1 correspond~~s~~ to the dependences $f_d(\mathrm{mHz}) = 0.379 \cdot L \cdot E(\mathrm{MeV})$ for the drift frequency of the near-equatorial protons in the dipole approximation of the geomagnetic field.



Figure 1. Distribution of the differential fluxes $J(E, L)$ in the space $\{E, L\}$ for protons with $\alpha_0 \approx 90^{\circ}$ near maxima of the solar activity (Kovtyukh, 2020). Data of satellites are associated with different symbols. The numbers on the curves refers to the values of the decimal logarithms of J. Fluxes is given in units of $(\mathrm{cm}^2\ \mathrm{s\ sr\ MeV})^{-1}$. The red lines corresponds to the drift frequency f_d(mHz). The green line corresponds to the maximum energy of the trapped protons.

~~On the drift shells can be trapped only~~ Only protons with energies less than some maximum values, determined by the Alfvén's criterion: $\rho_c(L,E) << \rho_B(L)$, where ρ_c is the gyroradius of protons, and ρ_B is the radius of curvature of the magnetic field (near the equatorial plane) can be trapped on the drift shells. According to this criterion and to the theory of stochastic motion of particles, the geomagnetic trap in the dipolar region can capture and durably hold only protons with E (MeV) $< 2000 \cdot L^{-4}$ (Ilyin et al., 1984). The green line in Fig. 1 represents this boundary.

The distribution of the ERB proton fluxes shown in Fig. 1, refers to the years of the solar maximum, but the solar-cyclic variations in the ERB proton fluxes are small and localized at $L < 2.5$ (mainly at $L < 1.4$).

2.2 Spatial-energy distributions of the ERB protons outside the equatorial plane

The stationary fluxes J of the ERB particles with given energy and local pitch-angle α decrease usually when the point of observation is shifted from the equatorial plane to higher latitudes along a certain magnetic field line. In the inner regions of the ERB, on $L < 5$, an angular distributions of protons have usually a maximum at the local pitch-angle $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. In wide interval near this maximum these distributions are well described by the function $J(\alpha, B/B_0) \propto (B/B_0)^{-A/2} \sin^{A} \alpha$ (Parker, 1957), where A is the index of an anisotropy of a fluxes, B is the induction of a magnetic field at the point of measurements of these fluxes and B_0 is induction of a magnetic field at the equatorial plane on the same magnetic line.



Figure 2. Empirical model of the anisotropy index $A(E, L)$ of the ERB proton fluxes averaged on the data of the satellites obtained near the plane of the geomagnetic equator. Values of A are given on iso-lines of the anisotropy: A = 1.5–8.5 with the step $\Delta A = 0.5$.

The ~~generalized~~ empirical model of an anisotropy $A(E, L)$ for the proton fluxes with $E \sim 0.1$–2 MeV on $L \sim 2$–5 near the equatorial plane for the stationary ERB ($Kp < 2$) is presented in Fig. 2. The anisotropy index A of ~~the proton~~ these fluxes is shown in Fig. 2, in the space $\{E, L\}$, in the form of iso-lines with the same values A from 1.5 to 8.0 and with a step $\Delta A = 0.5$. The integer values of this index are plotted on the corresponding iso-lines ~~in~~ as red numbers.

When constructing this model, we consider and analyze the data of the following satellites: Explorer-12 (Hoffman and Bracken, 1965), Explorer-14 (Davis, 1965), Explorer-26 (Søraas and Davis, 1968), OV1-14 and OV1-19 (Fennell et al., 1974), Explorer-45 (Williams and Lyons, 1974; Fritz and Spjeldvik, 1981; Garcia and Spjeldvik, 1985), ISEE-1 (Garcia and Spjeldvik, 1985; Williams and Frank, 1984), SCATHA (Blake and Fennell, 1981), Van Allen Probes (Shi et al., 2016), and other satellites. These data were obtained in 1961-2015.

~~Figure 2~~ Fig. 2 shows that for rather high energy (> 1 MeV) the anisotropy of a proton fluxes monotonically increases with decreasing L (from A ~ 3.5 to A ~ 8.0). For $E > 0.3$ MeV on $L < 3$ anisotropy is monotonically increases with increasing energy, but for $E > 0.5$ MeV on $L > 3$ it is almost energy-independent ~~on energy~~.

Some small irregularities of the iso-lines in Fig. 2 are ~~connect~~ due to the fact that experimental data were used for constructing this figure; these data were obtained in different years, with different instruments on different orbits of satellites, and during different intensity of the solar activity. At the same time, Fig. 2 demonstrates the important regularities of the pitch-angle distributions of the stationary ERB protons.

In the region $\{E > 0.5$ MeV, $L > 3\}$ the iso-lines of the anisotropy index are almost parallel to each other and to the energy ~~scale~~ axis. This adiabatic regularity refers ~~for~~ to protons belonging to the power-law tail of their energy spectra, the exponent of which practically does not change when L changes (at $L > 3$). In Fig. 2, the red lines correspond to the lower boundary of the power-law tail of the ERB protons energy spectra: $E_b = (36\pm11)\,L^{-3}$ MeV (see Kovtyukh, 2001, 2020).

The pattern of $A(E, L)$ in the region on $L > 3$ at E ~ 0.2–0.5 MeV and the local minimum at L ~ 3 (E ~ 0.2 MeV) are connected with local maximum in the stationary proton energy spectra of the ERB which corresponds to $E = (17\pm3)\,L^{-3}$ MeV (see Kovtyukh, 2001, 2020).

These regularities in the pattern of $A(E, L)$ are explained within the framework of the theory of radial transport (diffusion) of the ERB protons with conservation of the adiabatic invariants μ and K of their periodic motions (these ~~questions~~ issues were most fully considered in Kovtyukh, 1993).

~~Local~~ Both the local maximum at L ~ 2.5 ($E < 0.1$ MeV) and the region of low anisotropy at L ~ 2 (E ~ 0.1 MeV) in Fig. 2, are ~~connected with~~ related to the ionization losses of protons.

On the data of the satellites, the pitch-angle distributions of the ERB proton fluxes strongly depend on MLT at $L > 5$: the average index A values on the day side are larger than on the night side, and this dependence becomes more distinct with increasing energy (see, e.g., Shi et al., 2016). These results indicate that drift shells splitting (see Roederer, 1970) play an important role in the formation of these distributions at $L > 5$. In the calculations performed here, it was assumed that near the equatorial plane the pitch-angle distributions of the ERB proton fluxes at $L > 6$, averaged over MLT, at $\alpha_0 \sim 90^{\circ}$ are nearly isotropic.

High anisotropy for the fluxes of protons at E = 5–50 MeV and a strong dependence $A(L)$ at the inner boundary of the inner belt (L = 1.15–1.40, B/B_0 = 1.0–1.7) were obtained on the satellite DIAL (Fischer et al., 1977). According to these data, an anisotropy index increase from A ~ 12 at L = 1.25 to A ~ 60 at L = 1.15, and do not depends on L at L = 1.25–1.40. These results are supported by the data of the satellite Resurs-01-N4 for the protons with E = 12–15 MeV which were obtained at h ~ 800 km (Leonov et al., 2005). They will be taken into account in our calculations.

The experimental results on the pitch-angle distributions of the ERB proton fluxes and their anisotropy indexes were discussed in detail in (Kovtyukh, 2018).

2.3 Drift frequency distributions of the ERB protons

Based on the results shown in Fig. 1 and 2, one can calculate the distributions of the ERB protons over the drift frequency f_d. In these calculations, the dipole model of the geomagnetic field was used, according to which (see, e. g., Roederer, 1970) the point of the magnetic field line at geomagnetic latitude λ is located from the center of the dipole at a distance

$$R(L,\lambda) = R_E L \cos^2 \lambda ,$$

where R_E is the Earth's radius, and the field induction at a given L changes with changing λ as

$$B(L,\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{4-3\cos^2\lambda}}{\cos^6\lambda} B_0(L),$$

where $B_0(L) = 0.311$ Gs $\times L^{-3}$.

It was also taken into account that the drift frequency f_d of the nonrelativistic particles depends essentially only on their kinetic energy E and on L. This value depends very slightly on the particle pitch-angle: with an increase in the geomagnetic latitude of the mirror point of the particle trajectory from 0 to 10^{o}, it increases by only 1.5%, and in the range from 0 to 20–30^{o} it increases by 5.8–12.5%.

The number of protons with energies from E to $E+dE$ per unit volume n is equal to the differential flux of these particles J (falling per unit time per unit area of the detector per unit solid angle), divided by the velocity v of these particles: $n = J/v$. For nonrelativistic protons with mass m, this velocity is $(2E/m)^{1/2}$.

Then in the near-equatorial region, between L and $L+dL$ and within geomagnetic latitudes from 0 to $\pm\lambda_0$, the total number of nonrelativistic protons with mirror points within this region and with energy from E to $E+dE$, drifting on a given L with frequency $f_d(L,E)$ around the Earth, is

$$\Delta N(L,f_d) = 2\int_0^{\lambda_0} 2\pi R_E^2\, L\, dL\, \frac{B_0(L)}{B(L,\lambda)}\, R_E L \cos\lambda \sqrt{4-3\cos^2\lambda}\, d\lambda \times$$
$$4\pi \int_{\alpha_{01}}^{\alpha_{02}} \frac{J\big(L,\, E(L,f_d)\big)dE}{\sqrt{2E(L,f_d)/m}} \sin^A\alpha_0\, \cos\alpha_0\, d\alpha_0 \qquad ,$$

where m is the rest mass of a proton, $J(L,E(L,f_d))$ is the differential fluxes and $E(L,f_d)$ is the protons energy. The first integral takes into account that the magnetic flux in the layer between shells L and $L+dL$ it conserved when latitude λ changes, i. e. $2\pi R_E L \cos\lambda\, R_E dL = 2\pi R_E L\, \frac{B_0(L)}{B(L,\lambda)}\, R_E dL$.

As result of integrating the last expression over α_0 and replacing $\cos\lambda \equiv t$, we obtain:

$$\Delta N(L,f_d) = 4\pi R_E^3 L^2 dL\, \frac{J\big(L,\, E(L,f_d)\big)dE}{\sqrt{2E(L,f_d)/m}} \times \frac{4\pi}{A+1} \times$$
$$\int_{\cos\lambda_0}^{1} t^7 \left[\left(\frac{t^6}{\sqrt{4-3t^2}} \right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} - (0.565)^{A+1} \right] dt \qquad .$$

When integrating over equatorial pitch-angles α_0, Liouville's theorem and the conservation of the first adiabatic invariant (μ) are taken into account: $\sin^2\alpha_{01} = B_0(L)/B(L,\lambda_0)$ and $\sin^2\alpha_{02} = B_0(L)/B(L,\lambda)$, where $B(L,0) = B_0(L)$.

With an increase λ from 0 to $\lambda_0 = 30^{\text{o}}$, the value of the function $\sqrt{4-3t^2}$ increases from 1 to 1.32, i.e. deviates from the average value (1.16) by only 16%. Most part of the ERB protons are concentrated at these latitudes. Therefore, when calculating the last integral, we will assume that $\sqrt{4-3t^2} \approx 1.16$.

Then you can get the following expression:

$$\Delta N(L,f_d) = k\, \frac{J\big(L,\, E(L,f_d)\big)}{\sqrt{E(L,f_d)}}\, F(A)\, L^2 dL\, dE \ ,$$

where

$$F(A) = \frac{1}{A+1}\left[\frac{(1.16)^{-(A+1)/2}}{3A+11}\left(1-0.21\cdot 0.65^{A}\right) - 0.085(0.565)^{A+1}\right]$$

and

$$k = (4\pi)^2 R_E^3 \sqrt{m/2} = 2.945\cdot 10^{19}\ \text{cm}^2\ \text{s sr MeV}^{1/2}.$$

When calculating the values of ΔN, we will take that $dL/L = dE/E = 0.1$. Finally, for the indicated ERB region near the equatorial plane, we obtain:

$$\Delta N(L, f_d) = 2.945\cdot 10^{17} J\big(L, E(L, f_d)\big)\sqrt{E(L, f_d)}\ F(A)\ L^3\ , \qquad (1)$$

where J, the differential fluxes of protons with equatorially pitch-angle $\alpha_0 \approx 90^{\circ}$, is given in units of $(\text{cm}^2\ \text{s sr MeV})^{-1}$, and the energy of protons E is given in MeV. The dependence $F(A)$ is shown in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Dependence of the factor $F(A)$ in formula (1) on the anisotropy index A of the proton fluxes.

For protons of the ERB, the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ for $f_d = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5. 10, 20$, and 30 mHz, calculated ~~by~~ using the formula (1) together with ~~using~~ Figs. 1–3 are shown in Fig. 4, and the frequency spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ at $L = 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5$, and 6 are shown in Fig. 5. Near each curve in Fig. 4, the corresponding value of f_d(mHz) is indicated, and each spectrum in Fig. 5 have the corresponding L value (these values are highlighted in red). For clarity, in Figs. 4 and 5, thin curves alternate with thick curves and in Fig. 5 spectra at $L = 2$ and 2.5 are highlighted in red.



Figure 4. Radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ for protons of the ERB with drift frequencies f_d = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5. 10, 20 and 30 mHz, plotted for periods of maximum solar activity ~~maxima~~. The f_d values corresponding to each curve are highlighted in red. For clarity, thin curves are interspersed with thick curves.



Figure 5. Frequency spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ for protons of the ERB at L = 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5 and 6, plotted for periods of maximum solar activity ~~maxima~~. The values L corresponding to each spectrum and spectra at L = 2 and 2.5 are highlighted in red. The red dotted line shows the spectrum $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons at L = 2, constructed from data ~~for~~ during minimum periods of solar activity ~~minima~~ (see Kovtyukh, 2020). For clarity, thin curves are interspersed with thick curves.

The errors of these calculations consist mainly of the errors of the averaged experimental data shown in Figs. 1 and 2 (these errors are most significant at $L < 2$), and because of the deviations of the geomagnetic field from the dipole model at $L > 5$.

As λ_0 decreases, the errors in our calculations will decrease. These errors can be reduced also by using numerical computer calculations. However, it should be taken into account that the fluxes of the ERB protons, as well as the energy spectra and pitch-angle distributions of these fluxes, may experience changes that exceed the errors of our calculations even in very quiet periods of observations.

3 Discussion

In agreement with the results of experimental and theoretical studies, at $L > 2.5$, the main mechanism for the formation of the ERB ~~for~~ protons is the radial diffusion of particles from the outer boundary of the geomagnetic trap to the Earth under conservation the adiabatic invariants μ and K (see, e.g., Lejosne and Kollmann, 2020; Kovtyukh, 2016b, 2018).

~~Figures~~ Figs. 1 and 2 presented here make it possible to determine in which regions of the space $\{E,L\}$ near the equatorial plane the ionization losses of ions during their radial diffusion can be neglected and where this cannot.

The iso-lines of ~~the~~ proton fluxes in Fig. 1 at sufficiently large E and L go up with decreasing L, in the direction of increasing energy, in strict agreement with the adiabatic laws of radial transport of particles. At lower L these iso-lines ~~reject deflect to the low energies~~ do change the direction of their course, under the influence of ionization losses, which increase rapidly with decreasing L (see in Kovtyukh, 2020 for details).

At sufficiently large values of E and L, iso-lines of the anisotropy index in Fig. 2 pass practically parallel to each other and parallel to the energy axis, in agreement with the laws of adiabatic transport of particles with power-law energy spectra (see Kovtyukh, 1993). At lower E and L, a more complex picture is formed under the influence of ionization losses (for more details see in Kovtyukh, 2001, 2018).

With decreasing L, the radial diffusion ~~are~~ is decreased very rapidly, and the belt of protons with $E > 10$–20 MeV on $L < 2$ is generated mainly as result of decay a neutrons of albedo which are knocked from the atmospheric atoms nuclei by the Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCR) protons. This mechanism (CRAND) is simulated in many contemporary studies based on the experimental data (see, e. g., Selesnick et al., 2007, 2013, 2014, 2018).

The mechanisms of formation of the ERB under the action of radial diffusion and CRAND are manifested and clearly differ both in the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ and in the frequency spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of protons.

Let us consider the manifestations of these mechanisms in Fig. 4 and 5 and related effects.

In contrast to the radial profiles of fluxes $J(L, E)$, the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ for protons with $f_d > 10$ mHz (see Fig. 4) have much less steeper ~~of the~~ outer edges and their steepness decreases with decreasing frequency f_d. This effect is connected mainly with an increase in the volume of magnetic tubes (factor L^3 in formula (1) from Section 2.3) and with a decrease in the anisotropy index of proton fluxes with increasing L.

At the same time, in comparison with the radial profiles $J(L, E)$, the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ have more steeper inner edges. This effect is mainly connected ~~mainly with~~ to the large anisotropy of proton fluxes in the corresponding region of space $\{E, L\}$ and with the rapid growth of the anisotropy index with decreasing L in this region. It is especially expressed in the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ at $f_d \sim 0.3$–1 mHz (see Fig. 4); this is due to the fact that in the corresponding region of space $\{E, L\}$ the anisotropy index of proton fluxes strongly depends on E and L (see Fig. 2).

Radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ at $f_d > 10$ mHz are formed by the mechanism CRAND. They have a maximum at $L \sim 1.5$–2.0, and the steepness of their inner and outer edges does not differ as much as for lower frequencies f_d (see Fig. 4). When constructing these profiles, it was taken into account that at $E = 5$–50 MeV an anisotropy index A of proton fluxes do not depend on L at $L = 1.25$–1.40: $A = 12\pm2$ (Fischer et al., 1977; Leonov et al., 2005).

The shape of the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ at $L > 3$ is determined, first of all, by the shape of the energy spectra of proton fluxes $J(E, L)$ at the outer boundary of the geomagnetic trap. Gradually, as the particles diffuse to the Earth, their energy spectra are transformed under the action of betatron acceleration and ionization losses of particles.

In contrast to the energy spectra of proton fluxes $J(E, L)$, distributions $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons over their drift frequency f_d (Fig. 5) differ much less from each other at $L > 3$. Such convergence of the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ is driven by increase in the volume of magnetic tubes and a decrease in the anisotropy index of the ERB proton fluxes with increasing L. ~~Figure 5 testify for close~~ Fig. 5 demonstrates the closeness to the adiabatic transformations of the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ when L changes at $L > 3$.

The energy spectra of near-equatorial proton fluxes $J(E, L)$ with $E > 10 \cdot L^{-3}$ MeV at $L > 3$ in quiet periods have a local maximum at $E = (17 \pm 3) \cdot L^{-3}$ MeV and a power-law tail ($J \propto E^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma = 4.25 \pm 0.75$) at $E > (36 \pm 11) \cdot L^{-3}$ (Kovtyukh, 2001, 2018, 2020).

The frequency spectra of the ERB protons at $L > 3$ weakly depend on L and over the considered range Δf_d have a close to power-law shape with an exponent $\gamma = 4.71 \pm 0.43$ (at $f_d > f_d^*$, where $f_d^* \sim 0.5$ mHz at $L \sim 3$–6, ~ 2 mHz at $L = 2.5$ and ~ 5 mHz at $L = 2$). Note that the spread of the parameter γ for the frequency spectra of protons is almost 2 times less than for their energy spectra. These spectra become more rigid (flattened) at $f_d < f_d^*$.

Thus, the average exponents of the power-law tail of the energy and frequency spectra of protons differ by $\Delta\gamma = 0.46$, and there is no local maximum in the frequency spectra at $f_d > 2$ mHz at $L > 2.5$. The main role in such differences in the shape of the energy and frequency spectra of protons was played by the factor $F(A)$ in formula (1), in which the anisotropy index A is a function of E and L (see Figs. 2 and 3). Note that in the region $\{E > 0.5 \text{ MeV}, L > 3\}$ the anisotropy index A, as well as the protons energy, is transformed according to adiabatic laws when L changes (see Fig. 2 and comments to it).

These results confirm our hypothesis about the ordering of the distributions of protons over their drift frequency f_d in the outer regions of the ERB, at $L > 3$, where most of the ERB protons are located and where the radial diffusion of protons overpowers their ionization losses.

At all L, the frequency spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ become more flat at small f_d and E under influence ionization losses. However, in the range of high f_d (from 3–5 mHz to 30 mHz), for protons with high energies and low ionization losses, the protons frequency spectra ~~save~~ have a power-law tail even at $L = 2$ (see Fig. 5).

For protons with $f_d < 0.5$ mHz, which correspond to the ERB protons of the lowest energies, ionization losses lead to the same consequences at higher L-shells: the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ approach each other, and the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ flatten out (see Figs. 4 and 5).

In the region of the steep inner edge of the radial distributions $\Delta N(L, f_d)$, spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons ~~gradually, with decreasing L,~~ become gradually increasingly rigid with decreasing L, and rapidly diverge from each other (see Fig. 4 and 5). In the range of small f_d at $L < 2.5$, the connection between these distributions and the shape of the boundary energy spectra of protons is gradually lost.

These results indicate a violation of the order in the distributions of protons under the influence of ionization losses.

In Fig. 5, the dotted line also shows the spectrum $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons at $L = 2$, constructed from experimental data for periods of low solar activity (see Fig. 1 in Kovtyukh, 2020). ~~Figure~~ Fig. 5 show that at $L = 2$ for $f_d > 10$ mHz there were more protons at the minimum of solar activity, and for $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz there were more protons at the maximum of solar activity.

The effect of a decrease in the $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ values for protons with $f_d > 10$ mHz at $L < 2$ with an increase in solar activity is mainly connected with a decrease in the fluxes of protons with $E > 10$–20 MeV here. This effect is well known. It is described by the CRAND mechanism (see, e.g., Selesnick et al., 2007) and was considered in detail in (Kovtyukh, 2020). With an increase in solar activity, the densities of atmospheric atoms and ionospheric plasma on small L-shells significantly increase, which leads to an increase in ionization losses of the ERB protons, but the power of their main source (CRAND) practically does not change. As a result, the equilibrium fluxes and $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ for protons with $f_d > 10$ mHz are established at lower levels.

However, the effect of an increase in $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ for $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz at low L with increasing solar activity, corresponding to the protons of lower energies, was discovered here for the first time.

With decreasing ~~in~~ E (and f_d) of protons their ionization losses increase, and if the fluxes of low-energy protons in the inner belt were also formed by the CRAND mechanism, one would have observed even stronger increase of their fluxes with decreasing solar activity ~~decreasing~~, than for protons with $E > 10$–20 MeV ($f_d > 10$ mHz). But for protons with $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz, we see in Fig. 5 ~~reverse~~ the opposite effect in the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ at $L = 2$, which is not described by the CRAND mechanism.

On the other hand, it was proved that stationary fluxes of protons with $E < 15$ MeV at $L \sim 2$ are formed mainly by the mechanism of protons radial diffusion from the external region of the ERB (Selesnick et al., 2007, 2013, 2014, 2018). These fluxes and $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ values for $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz at $L = 2$ are formed as a result of a balance of competing processes radial diffusion of protons and their ionization losses.

The rates of transport of the ERB protons to the Earth (radial diffusion) rapidly increase with decreasing particles energy (see Kovtyukh, 1916b). In addition, with an increase in solar activity, the average level of geomagnetic fluctuations in the ERB increases. Under the influence of these factors, one can expect a significant increase in the intensity of radial diffusion of the low-energy protons at low L with an increase in solar activity. As a result, the effect of increasing in the density of a dissipative medium with an increase in solar activity is overpowered by a more significant effect of increasing in the rates of radial diffusion of protons.

According to ~~a~~ numerous experimental data, during magnetic storms, a wide variety of complex ~~and varied~~ spectra of powerful pulsations of magnetic and electric fields in the considered frequency range ~~considered here~~ (ULF) can be generate in the geomagnetic trap, which are non-regularly distributed over L; these pulsations can lead to local acceleration and losses of the ERB particles (see, e.g., Sauvaud et al., 2013). Such effects will violate the regular characteristics of the protons distributions shown in Fig. 4 and 5. However, ~~in~~ during quiet periods, the amplitudes of such pulsations are small and they lead only to radial diffusion of particles.

4 Conclusions

~~On the basis of~~ ~~generalized~~ Starting from the data on ~~the fluxes of~~ near-equatorial ~~protons of the~~ ERB proton fluxes (with energy from ~~$E \sim$~~ 0.2 ~~MeV~~ to 100 MeV ~~at~~ and drift L shells ~~L~~ ranging from ~~$\sim$~~ 1 to 8), their stationary distributions ~~of the ERB protons~~ over the drift frequency of particles around the Earth (f_d) were constructed. The results of calculations of the number ~~of protons~~ ΔN of the ERB protons within 30° in geomagnetic latitude at different L and f_d for periods of maximum solar activity ~~maximum~~ are presented. They differ from the corresponding distributions of the ERB protons for periods of low solar activity only at $L < 2.5$ (for comparison, the spectra of these distributions are given at $L = 2$).

The radial profiles of these distributions $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ have only one maximum that shifts toward the Earth with increasing f_d. In comparison to the proton fluxes profiles $J(L, E)$, the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ at $f_d < 5$ mHz have steeper inner edges and flatter outer edges. However, the radial profiles $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ at $f_d > 10$ mHz, which are formed by the CRAND mechanism, have inner and outer edges with only slightly difference from each other ~~in~~ for what concerns the steepness of their profiles.

In contrast to the energy spectra of proton fluxes $J(E, L)$, the frequency spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons at $L > 3$ are weakly dependent on L and, for sufficiently large f_d they have a nearly power-law ~~form~~ shape with an exponent $\gamma = 4.71 \pm 0.43$. There is no local maximum in these spectra in the region $\{f_d > 2$ mHz, $L > 2.5\}$, as in the corresponding $J(E, L)$ spectra.

Distributions $\Delta N(L, f_d)$ and $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of the ERB protons in the region $\{f_d > 0.5$ mHz, $L > 3\}$ have a more ~~orderly form~~ regular shape than in the corresponding region of the space $\{E, L\}$, and the main physical processes in the ERB manifested more clearly in these distributions. In these regions, there is ~~most~~ the majority of the ERB protons, ~~are located~~ and their radial diffusion ~~of protons~~ overpowers their ionization losses during the transport of particles to the Earth.

In the region of the steep inner edges of the radial distributions $\Delta N(L, f_d)$, the spectra $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of protons rapidly diverge from each other with decreasing L, and at low frequencies these spectra become flatten. These results indicate a violation of the order in these distributions of protons under the influence of ionization losses.

With increasing ~~in~~ solar activity, the number of protons $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ at $L \sim 2$ decreases for $f_d > 10$ mHz and increases for $f_d \sim 1$–10 mHz. The effect at high f_d, corresponding to protons with $E > 15$ MeV, is well known and is described in the framework of the CRAND mechanism.

However, the opposite effect, at low f_d corresponding to the lower-energy protons, is discovered here for the first time. This effect can be associated with the fact that the low-frequency part of the spectrum $\Delta N(f_d, L)$ of protons, even at $L \sim 2$, is mainly formed ~~mainly~~ by the mechanism of protons transport from the outer regions of the ERB. This effect may indicate that with increasing ~~of the~~ solar activity, the average rates of radial diffusion of protons increase ~~also~~ as well. For low-energy protons at $L \sim 2$, the effect of increasing density of a dissipative medium with increasing solar activity is overpowered by the ~~increasing~~ increase of the rates of radial diffusion of particles.

Data availability. All data from this investigation are presented in Figs. 1–5.

Competing interests. The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the reviewers.

References

Alfvén, H., and Fälthammar, C.-G.: Cosmical Electrodynamics, Fundamental Principles, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1963.

Davis, L. R.: Low energy trapped protons and electrons, Proc. Plasma Space Sci. Symp., Eds. D. B. Chang and C. Y. Huang, Washington, P. 212–226, 1965.

Fennell, J. F., Blake, J. B., and Paulikas, G. A.: Geomagnetically trapped alpha particles, 3. Low-altitude outer zone alpha-proton comparisons, J. Geophys. Res., 79(4), 521–528, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA079i004p00521 , 1974.

Fischer, H. M., Auschrat, V. W., and Wibberenz, G.: Angular distribution and energy spectra of protons of energy $5 \leq E \leq 50$ MeV in the lower edge of the radiation belt in equatorial latitudes, J. Geophys. Res., **82**(4), 537–547, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA082i004p00537, 1977.

Fritz, T. A., and Spjeldvik, W. N.: Steady-state observations of geomagnetically trapped energetic heavy ions and their implications for theory, Planet. Space Sci., **29**(11), 1169–1193, https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(81)90123-9, 1981.

Garcia, H. A., and Spjeldvik, W. N.: Anisotropy characteristics of geomagnetically trapped ions, J. Geophys. Res., **90**(A1), 359–369, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA090iA01p00359, 1985.

Hoffman, R. A., and Bracken, P. A.: Magnetic effects of the quiet-time proton belt, J. Geophys. Res., **70**(15), 3541–3556, https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ070i015p03541 , 1965.

Ilyin, B. D., Kuznetsov, S. N., Panasyuk, M. I., and Sosnovets, E. N.: Non-adiabatic effects and boundary of the trapped protons in the Earth's radiation belts, Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences: Physics, **48**(11), 2200–2203, 1984.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Relation between the pitch-angle and energy distributions of ions in the Earth's radiation belts, Geomagn. Aeron., **33**(4), 453–460, 1993.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Geocorona of hot plasma, Cosmic Res., **39**(6), 527–558, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013074126604, 2001.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Radial dependence of ionization losses of protons of the Earth's radiation belts, Ann. Geophys., **34**(1), 17–28, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-17-2016, 2016a.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Deduction of the rates of radial diffusion of protons from the structure of the Earth's radiation belts, Ann. Geophys., **34**(11), 1085–1098, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-1085-2016, 2016b.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Ion Composition of the Earth's Radiation Belts in the Range from 100 keV to 100 MeV/nucleon: Fifty Years of Research, Space Sci. Rev., **214**(8), 124:1–124:30, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-018-0560-z, 2018.

Kovtyukh, A. S.: Earth's radiation belts' ions: patterns of the spatial-energy structure and its solar-cyclic variations, Ann. Geophys., **38**(1), 137–147, doi:10.5194/angeo-38-137-2020, 2020.

Lejosne, S., and Kollmann, P.: Radiation Belt Radial Diffusion at Earth and Beyond, Space Sci. Rev., **216**(1), 19:1–19:78, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-020-0642-6, 2020.

Leonov, A., Cyamukungu, M., Cabrera, J., Leleux, P., Lemaire, J., Gregorie, G., Benck, S., Mikhailov, V., Bakaldin, A., Galper, A., Koldashov, S., Voronov, S., Casolino, M., De Pascale, M., Picozza, P., Sparvolli, R., Ricci, M.: Pitch-angle distribution of trapped energetic protons and helium isotop nucei measured along the Resurs-01 No.4 LEO satellite, Ann. Geophys., **23**(8), 2983–2987, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-23-2983–2005, 2005.

Northrop, T. G.: The Adiabatic Motion of Charged Particles, Wiley-Interscience, NY, USA, 1963.

Parker, E. N.: Newtonian development of the dynamical properties of ionized gases of low density, Phys. Rev., **107**(4), 924–933. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.107.924, 1957.

Roederer, J. G.: Dynamics of Geomagnetically Trapped Radiation, Springer, NY, USA, 1970.

Sauvaud, J.-A., Walt, M., Delcourt, D., Benoist, C., Penou, E., Chen, Y., and Russell C. T.: Inner radiation belt particle acceleration and energy structuring by drift resonance with ULF waves during geomagnetic storms, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, **118**(4), 1723−1736, https://doi:10.1002/jgra.50125, 2013.

Selesnick, R. S., Looper, M. D., and Mewaldt, R. A.: A theoretical model of the inner proton radiation belt, Space Weather, **5**(4), S04003, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006SW000275, 2007.

Selesnick, R. S., Hudson, M. K., and Kress B. T.: Direct observation of the CRAND proton radiation belt source, J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., **118**(12), 7532–7537, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JA019338, 2013.

Selesnick, R. S., Baker, D. N., Jaynes, A. N., Li, X., Kanekal, S. G., Hudson, M. K., and Kress, B. T.: Observations of the inner radiation belt: CRAND and trapped solar protons, J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., **119**(8), 6541–6552, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020188, 2014.

Selesnick, R. S., Baker, D. N., Kanekal, S. G., Hoxie, V. C., and Li, X.: Modeling the proton radiation belt with Van Allen Probes Relativistic Electron-Proton Telescope data, J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., **123**(1), 685–697, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024661, 2018.

Shi, R., Summers, D., Ni, B., Manweiler, J. W., Mitchell, D. G., and Lanzerotti, L. J.: A statistical study of proton pitch-angle distributions measured by the Radiation Belt Storm Probes Ion Composition Experiment, J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., **121**(6), 5233–5249, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JA022140, 2016.

Søraas, F., and Davis, L.R.: Temporal variations of 100 keV to 1700 keV trapped protons observed on satellite Explorer 26 during first half of 1965, Rep. X-612-68-328, NASA Goddard Space Flight Cent., Greenbelt, Md., 1968.

Williams, D. J., and Lyons, L. R.: The proton ring current and its interaction with plasmapause: Storm recovery phase, J. Geophys. Res., **79**(28), 4195–4207, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA079i028p04195, 1974.

Williams, D. J., and Frank, L. A.: Intense low-energy ion populations at low equatorial altitude, J. Geophys. Res., **89**(A6), 3903–3911, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA089iA06p03903, 1984.