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Abstract. We have derived the behavior of decadal temperature trends over the 24 hours of local time, based on zonal averages of SABER data, years 2012 to 2014, 20 to 100 km, within 48º of the equator. Similar results have not been available previously. We find that the temperature trends, based on zonal mean measurements at a fixed local time, can be different from those based on measurements made at a different fixed local time. The trends can vary significantly in local time, even from hour to hour. This agrees with some findings based on night-time lidar measurements. This knowledge is relevant because the large majority of temperature measurements, especially in the stratosphere, are made by instruments on sun-synchronous operational satellites which measure at only one or two fixed local times, for the duration of their missions. In these cases, the zonal mean trends derived from various satellite data are tied to the specific local times at which each instrument samples the data, and the trends are then also biased by the local time. Consequently, care is needed in comparing trends based on various measurements with each other, unless the data are all measured at the same local time. A similar caution is needed when comparing with models, since the zonal means from 3D models reflect averages over both longitude and the 24 hours of local time. Consideration is also needed in merging data from various sources to produce generic, continuous longer-term records. Diurnal variations of temperature themselves, in the form of thermal tides, are well known, and are due to absorption of solar radiation. We find that at least part of the reason that temperature trends are different for different local times is that the amplitudes and phases of the tides themselves follow trends over the same time span of the data. Much of past efforts have focused on the temperature values with local time when merging data from various sources, and on the effect of unintended satellite orbital drifts, which result in drifting local times at which the temperatures are measured. However, the effect of local time on trends has not been well researched. We also derive estimates of trends by simulating the drift of local time due to drifting orbits. Our comparisons with results found by others (AMSU, lidar) are favorable and informative. They may explain at least in part, the bridge between results based on daytime AMSU data and night time lidar measurements. However, these examples do not a pattern make, and more comparisons and study are needed.

1 Introduction

The understanding of decadal temperature trends in the middle and upper atmosphere is interesting scientifically and important for practical reasons. Global temperature trends have been researched for decades based on a variety of satellite and ground-based measurements. However, relatively few studies have focused on the behavior of trends as a function of local time. Past efforts have focused more on the local time variations of temperature themselves in
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comparing or merging various data sets, and on accounting for drifts in local time of
measurements due to satellite orbital stability.

Diurnal variations of temperatures themselves, in the form of thermal tides, are well known,
and are a result of absorption of solar radiation (see Brasseur and Solomon [2005] and references
therein).

Understanding the behavior of trends with local time can be important because the large
majority of global temperature measurements, especially in the stratosphere, are made by sun-
synchronous satellites whose instruments measure temperature at only one or two fixed local
times, for the duration of their missions. In these cases, the zonal mean trends derived from
various satellite data are tied to, and biased by the specific local times at which each instrument
samples the data.

Care is then needed in comparing results of trends derived from various measurements which
sample data at different local times. It is also needed when merging data from various sources to
produce generic, continuous, longer-term records. In addition, the zonal means of 3D models are
averages of temperatures over both longitude and the 24 hours of local time, and comparisons
with trends based on data taken at fixed local times, or a subset of local times, can be
problematic.

In the following, based on data from the Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband
Emission Radiometry (SABER) instrument on the Thermosphere-Ionosphere-Mesosphere-
Energetics and Dynamics (TIMED) satellite, we derive the local-time dependence of decadal
temperature trends over the 24 hours of local time, from 2002 to 2014, from the stratosphere into
the lower thermosphere (20 to 100 km), within 48° of the equator.

Comparable results for temperature trends have not been available previously.

In a previous paper, Huang and Mayr [2019] had analyzed the effects of local time on the
response of temperature (and ozone) to the solar cycle (~ eleven years).

We find that the temperature trends, based on zonal mean measurements at a fixed local time,
can be different from those based on measurements made at a different fixed local time. These
variations of trends can be significant in all regions of our study, and can vary significantly even
from hour to hour.

Our results suggest that part of the reason that temperature trends are different for different
local times is that the tidal amplitudes and phases of the tides also follow trends over the time
span of the data.

In the following, we compare with results of trends by others. Because trends vary with the
time span considered, comparisons should cover similar times, and the opportunities are limited.
Our results compare favorably in the stratosphere with those by Funatsu et al., [2016], based on
the Advanced Microwave Sounder (AMSU) and night-time lidar measurements. In the lower
thermosphere, we compare with results by She et al.,[2019], also based on night-time lidar
measurements. Our corresponding results agree well with the Funatsu et al., [2016] AMSU
trends, but neither agrees as well with their night-time lidar results. However, our trends derived
for night times agree much better with the lidar night-time results. This is also true for
comparisons in the lower thermosphere with the night-time lidar results of She et al., [2019].
Although the comparisons support our results of local time variations in trends, the examples do
not yet a pattern make, and more comparisons are needed.

Global stratospheric data are largely from the NOAA series of operational satellites and the
Earth Observing System of satellites. These are generally in sun-synchronous orbits, so that data
are sampled at only one or two local times, which are fixed for the duration of the missions. The
operational satellites are meant in part to monitor the atmosphere over the longer term, and have been making measurements since the 1970s. Over the years, they are replaced as needed, in order to maintain a continuous record of data. However, there have been issues of data continuity and compatibility among the different satellites, related to data sampling, instrument calibration, and operation. Also, over the years, the orbits of some satellites have drifted from their planned sun-synchronous state, so that the local times at which the measurements are made have also drifted over several hours or more.

There have been group and individual efforts to combine and merge the data from different sources to obtain uniform, consistent, decades-long data bases for temperature (and others). Parts of the issues are concerned with differences due to local times when merging data. For example, Mears and Wentz [2016] have considered the sensitivity of temperature trends to “diurnal cycle adjustment”, and improved the consistencies of the different data sets caused by orbital drifts in local time, based on cross information from other satellites, and on general circulation models. Keckut et al., [2015] have also shown that considering atmospheric tides to account for differences among measurements of successive operational polar orbiting satellites would improve matters. Funatsu et al., [2008] have studied the differences among night time lidar data and daytime sun-synchronous satellite data. Randel et al. [2016], McLandress (2015), Zou et al.,[2014, 2016], among others, have also considered the issue of merged data from various sources, with consideration for differences due to effects of local time.

These merged long-term datasets have general advantages of providing for studies of trends and responses to solar activity. However, as noted earlier, if the various data sets do not represent uniform sampling in local time, the merged data could be tagged by the biases in local times.

2 Previous results

Because we make use of our previous results of temperature diurnal variations and trends, we briefly review for the convenience of the reader. Our previous results on temperature trends were based only on zonal means that are averages over both longitude and local time. See Huang et al., [2014, 2010a].

The SABER instrument was launched in December 2001 on the TIMED satellite (Russell et al., 1999). The data used here is version 2.0, level2A. The values are interpolated to 4-degree latitude and 2.5 km altitude grids, and zonal averages are taken for analysis.

SABER temperature measurements have been analyzed with success by us and by others. Zhang et al. [2006] and Mukhtarov et al. [2009] have derived temperature diurnal tides using SABER data, and Nath and Sridharan [2014] have derived temperature trends using the same SABER data, but without accounting for diurnal variations. We have derived variations with periods from less than one day (diurnal variations) up to multiple years (semianual oscillations (SAO), quasi-biennial oscillations (QBO)), and one decade or more (responses to the solar cycle). See Huang et al. [2010a, 2014, 2016a, b, 2019].

2.1 Diurnal variations

Due to the orbital characteristics of TIMED, SABER samples over the 24 hrs of local time and that can be used to estimate diurnal variations of temperature. As described in Huang et al. [2010a], we do this by performing a least squares fit of a two dimensional Fourier series, where the independent variables are local time and day of year.
Figure 1 shows an example of temperature diurnal amplitudes (left panel) and phases (right panel) of the diurnal tide on altitude-latitude coordinates (20 to 100 km, 48°S to 48°N), for day 85 of 2009. Although not shown, higher components, such as the semidiurnal tides can also be significant. Our derivation includes 5 Fourier components.

Figure 1. Temperature tides from 20 to 100 km, 48°S to 48°N, day 85, year 2009. Left panel (a): diurnal amplitudes (K). Right (b): diurnal phases (hr maximum values).

2.2. Mean variations.

The zonal mean variations, which are averages over both longitude and local time, consistent with 3D models, are obtained together with the diurnal variations.

Based on these zonal means, our earlier results of trends and decadal responses to solar activity had been presented in Huang et al. [2014, 2016a, 2016b, 2019].

3 Current analysis

In the following, we generate

1) Monthly zonal means that are averages over longitude, but at specific local times, to correspond to measurements by sun-synchronous satellites and night-time lidar measurements.

2) Monthly zonal means to simulate satellite orbital drifts, with local times that vary from month to month.

3) Monthly zonal means that are averages over longitude and the 24 hours of local time, as previously done.

From 1), 2), and 3) we estimate temperature trends using Equation (1), in a similar manner as previously done by others, and by us, using a multiple regression analysis that includes solar activity, trends, seasonal, quasi biennial oscillations (QBO), and local time terms, on monthly values. Specifically, the estimates are found from the equation

\[ T(t) = a + b*t + c*S(t) + l*lst(t) + g*QBO(t) + d*F107(t) \]  

(1)
where \( t \) is time (months), \( a \) is a constant, \( b \) is the trend, \( d \) the coefficient for solar activity (10.7 cm flux), \( c \) is the coefficient for the seasonal \((S(t))\) variations, \( l \) the coefficient for local time \((lst)\) variations, and \( g \) the coefficient for the QBO. As is often done, the seasonal and local time variations are removed first, but we include them in Equation (1) for completeness. The F107 stands for the solar 10.7 cm flux, which is commonly used as a measure of solar activity, and the values used here are monthly means provided by NOAA.

\( T \) stands for the various input temperature zonal means described in 1), 2), and 3), above.

The multiple regression is applied to the monthly zonal-mean values from June 2002 through June 2014 from 48°S to 48°N latitude, and from 20 to 100 km. The analysis of uncertainties is the same for this study as for the previous study of the mean variations just described. Here the zonal means are generated at specific local times. Details and results of the statistical analysis are given in Huang et al.,[2014, 2106a].

### 4 Current results: temperature trends as a function of local time

Before presenting our overall trend results as a function of local time, we first compare some specific results with those by others. The merged data sets noted earlier do not represent uniform averages over the range of local times nor do they represent specific fixed local times. In addition, they span a longer time interval than the SABER data, and we will not use them for comparisons. Because trends can significantly depend on the particular time period, comparisons are limited to the time span ~ 2002 to 2014.

Figure 2(a) (left panel) shows examples of our estimates of monthly SABER values of temperature (K) from mid 2002 to mid 2014, without the diurnal and seasonal variations. The black line shows zonal mean values that are averages over both longitude and local time at 40 km and 16° latitude, with a trend of ~ -0.6 K/decade, found from a linear fit. The red line shows monthly values of zonal means at a fixed 12 hrs local time, with a trend of ~ -0.91 K/decade. The blue line represents monthly values of zonal means at a fixed local time of 18 hrs, with a trend of ~ + 0.94 K/decade. Figure 2(b) (right panel) shows the temperature tidal diurnal amplitude (black line, left hand scale) and the diurnal phase (red line, hour of maximum value, right hand scale).

The trends of the diurnal amplitudes and phases themselves contribute to the different temperature trends at different local times. Although not shown, we note that semidiurnal tides are not negligible.

---

**Figure 2**
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**Figure 2(a)** (left panel) shows examples of our estimates of monthly SABER values of temperature (K) from mid 2002 to mid 2014, without the diurnal and seasonal variations. The black line shows zonal mean values that are averages over both longitude and local time at 40 km and 16° latitude, with a trend of ~ -0.6 K/decade, found from a linear fit. The red line shows monthly values of zonal means at a fixed 12 hrs local time, with a trend of ~ -0.91 K/decade. The blue line represents monthly values of zonal means at a fixed local time of 18 hrs, with a trend of ~ + 0.94 K/decade. **Figure 2(b)** (right panel) shows the temperature tidal diurnal amplitude (black line, left hand scale) and the diurnal phase (red line, hour of maximum value, right hand scale).
Figure 2. Left panel (a): Monthly SABER temperature (K) from 2002 to 2014, 40 km, 16°N latitude. Black line: zonal mean values (averages over longitude and local time); red line: zonal mean at 12 hrs local time; blue line: zonal mean at 18 hrs local time. Right panel (b): left axis scale: black line: tidal diurnal amplitude (K); red line, right axis scale: diurnal phase (hr of maximum value).

4.1 Stratosphere.

For the stratosphere, we compare with trends given by Funatsu et al.,[2016], based on data from the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU) on the NASA Aqua satellite and from night-time ground-based lidar measurements. The results of Funatsu et al.,[2016] are suitable for comparison because the time span of the data are similar to ours (2002 to 2014), and AMSU samples data near specific local times, namely, 13:30 and 1:30 local times. Following Funatsu et al.,[2016], the AMSU is a cross-scanning microwave-based sounder and the channels 9–14 sample with weighting functions peaking at approximately 18, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 km. The horizontal resolution at the near-nadir field of view is approximately 48 km, and the vertical half width of the weighting functions is about 10 km.

Although the lidar measurements presented by Funatsu et al.,[2016] also cover a similar time span (2002-2013), they are made only during night time from the Observatoire de Haute Provence (OHP, 43.91°N, 5.71°E) and the Mauna Loa Observatory (MLO, 19.51°N, 155.61°W). Figure 3 shows our results of temperature trends (K/decade) based on SABER data (2002 to 2014) and those from Funatsu et al.,[2016], based on AMSU and lidar measurements. For AMSU, Funatsu et al.,[2016] provide trends as a function of channel numbers for the low and mid latitude composite trends, so following McLandress et al.,[2015], we use the altitudes of the weighting function peaks, namely 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 km, for comparison. They do provide altitudes in km for comparison with lidar. We note that where the values and altitudes are given by others such as Funatsu et al.,[2016], we have transferred them manually to our figures, as needed.

In the top left panel (a) of Figure 3, the black line plots trend results based on SABER zonal means found by averages over both local time and longitude. The blue diamonds and squares are from Funatsu et al.,[2016], based on AMSU data, presumably averages taken near 1:30 and 13:30 hours. The blue diamonds denote zonal mean trends for mid latitudes (30° to 60°N), and the blue squares represent trends at 44°N to correspond to OHP. The blue squares are available only from 30 to 40 km (~ 30, 32.0, 36.2, 40.0 km), but match our results (black line) at 44°N extremely well. The blue diamonds (from Funatsu AMSU, an amalgam to represent mid latitude) match our results almost exactly from 20 to 30 km, but are larger from 30 to 40 km. This could simply be that the blue diamonds represent mid latitudes (30° to 60°N) while the blue squares and our black line represents trends at 44°N specifically. The magenta asterisks, also provided by Funatsu et al.,[2016], based on night-time lidar measurements at 44°N, are significantly more negative from 30 to 40 km than our results and those of the Funatsu et al.,[2016] AMSU. The top right panel (b) of Figure 3 shows our night time results from SABER at 21, 22, 23 hrs. It can be seen that our night time results agree better with the night-time lidar trends (magenta asterisks) in the left panel Figure 3(a). We do not know the details of the night-time hours of the lidar data.

The bottom row left panel (c) of Figure 3 shows our daytime trends at 9, 10, 11 hrs, and agree less well with the lidar trends.

The average of all our night and daytime trends gives the zonal mean average shown by the black line. The bottom right panel (d) compares our results at 1, 2, 13, and 14 hrs with the AMSU results. They are near the local times of the AMSU data (presumably 1:30 and 13:30 hrs). It can be seen that the averages over the 4 local times compare favorably with those of Funatsu et
al., [2016], based on AMSU data. It is not clear if Funatsu et al., [2016] differentiated night from day measurements.

We believe that, by taking into account trends with local time, our results compare favorably with both the Funatsu et al., [2016] AMSU trends and their results based on night time lidar data.

Figure 3. Temperature trends (K/decade, 2002-2014) vs altitude. Top left (a): Black asterisks: based on SABER zonal means (over longitude and local time) at 44ºN; blue diamonds: Funitsu Aqua trends for mid latitudes (30º-60ºN); blue squares: Funatsu Aqua trends at 44ºN; magenta asterisks: based on night-time lidar measurements at OHP (44ºN). Top right (b): Black asterisks: same as (a), blue, green, red: our estimates at 21, 22, 23 hrs local time, based on SABER data. Bottom left (c): Black asterisks: same as (a), blue, green, red: our estimates at 9, 10, 11 hrs local time; bottom right (d): Black asterisks, same as (a); blue diamonds and squares: as in panel (a), Funatsu AMSU, blue asterisks, green diamonds, red plusses, magenta triangles: SABER trends at 1, 2, 13, 14 hours.

The left panel of Figure 4 corresponds to that of Figure 3, but for 20ºN to compare with results of Funatsu et al., [2016] based on AMSU low-latitude and night-time lidar results at the
Hawaiian Mauna Loa Observatory (MLO, 19.51ºN). As in Figure 3 for OHP, the lidar results show a diversion to more negative trends near 30-35 km. Here, our results, as represented by trends based on zonal means that are averages over local time also show a decrease, although not as pronounced, near 30-35 km. As in Figure 3, both the blue diamonds and blue squares are from Funatsu et al., [2016] based on AMSU data, but for low latitudes (0 to 30ºN), and 20ºN latitude, respectively. They are smoother than our results between 25 and 40 km and do not show the notch near 30 km that we and the lidar-based trends show. This could be due to the differences in altitude resolution between AMSU and lidar and SABER data.

As can be seen in the right panel (b) of Figure 4, the decrease on our trends near 30 km is due in large part to the behavior at 21 and 22 hours (green diamonds, red plusses).

Figures 3 and 4 show that by taking into account the different trends with local time, our results compare more favorably with those of the Funatsu et al., [2016], based on AMSU and lidar data. Figures 3 and 4 also show that trends can change significantly with local time, even from hour to hour.

However, our comparisons do not a pattern make, and more comparisons are of course needed.

We note that the results of Khaykin et al.,[2017] based on analysis of GPS Radio Occultation (GRO) measurements are in excellent agreement with AMSU (based on a slightly longer period (2002-2016). Khaykin et al.,[2017] state that,” after down sampling of GRO profiles according to the AMSU weighting functions, the spatially and seasonally resolved trends from the two data sets are in almost exact agreement with trends based on AMSU data.”

Figure 4. Temperature trends (K/decade) vs altitude. Left (a): Black asterisks:trends based on SABER zonal means (over longitude and local time) at 20ºN; blue diamonds: Funitsu et al.,[2016] Aqua; data at 13.5 and 1.5 hrs, low latitudes (0º to 30ºN); blue squares: Funitsu Aqua at 20ºN; magenta asterisks: lidar measurements at Mauna
4.2 Lower Thermosphere

In Figure 5, we compare our results (K/decade) with the lidar night-time measurements of She et al.,[2019], at Fort Collins, CO. (41°N, 105°W)/Logan Utah (42°N, 112°W), from 2002-2014. They actually made nocturnal temperature observations between 1990 and 2017, but divided their analysis into various time periods, and smaller time intervals within the night time hours. This provides valuable information regarding trends and local time. In the left panel (a) of Figure 5, the magenta squares denote the mean night time trends derived by She et al.,[2019]. The black line represents our trend results based on zonal means (averages over longitude and local time), while the blue asterisks, green diamonds, and red plusses show our zonal mean trends at 19, 20, and 21 hours, respectively. In contrast, the right panel (b) of Figure 5 shows corresponding results based on Saber data in the day time at 15, 16, 17 hours local time. We have not included more local times due in part that the plots become busy, and some lines reach maximum and minima at different altitudes. Overall, the averages of day time and night trends result in the black line.

It can be seen in Figure 5 that, as in Figures 3 and 4, changes in trends over as little as an hour of local time can be significant. These results show that there are systematic differences in derived trends at different local times. This agrees with those of She et al., [2019], who have also derived trends averaged over 2 hrs at midnight, and they are significantly different from those found from the all-night mean measurements. She et al., [2019] provide midnight results only for a much larger time span (March 1990 to December 2017), so we do not compare.

Considering that the lidar data are not zonal means, and the details of the night-time sampling are probably different from ours, we believe that our results generally support those of She et al., [2019].

Figure 5. Temperature trends (K/decade) vs altitude, at 40°N latitude. Left (a): Black asterisks: trends based on SABER zonal mean (over longitude and local time); blue asterisks, green diamonds, red plusses: trends based on SABER zonal means at 19, 20, 21 hrs local time. Magenta squares: trends based on night-time lidar measurements by She et al.,[2019]; Right (b): as in (a) but for SABER results at 15, 16, 17 hrs local time.
4.3 Orbital drift and generic

As noted earlier, over years, the orbits of some operational satellites have drifted from their intended sun-synchronous state, so that the local times at which measurements are made have also drifted, by several hours. We have simulated the potential changes in temperature trends. As a simple example, Figure 6 shows our results for temperature trends (K/decade) versus altitude, at the Equator (left panel) and at 36°N, from 20 to 60 km. The red squares denote trends where local times increased linearly from 12 to 18 hrs from 2002 to 2014, to simulate orbital drift. Black asterisks denote trends based on SABER data.

This exercise is only meant to provide order-of-magnitudes that can result when local times at which measurements are made are not controlled.

**Figure 6.** Temperature trends (K/decade) vs altitude at the equator (left panel) and 36°N latitude (right panel). Black lines: trends based on SABER data (averaged over longitude and local time); red squares: estimated trends for cases where local times of measurements increase linearly from 12 to 18 hrs from 2002 to 2014.

Figure 7 shows more generally our derived trends (K/decade), based on SABER data, at 20°N (left panel) and 44°N (right panel), from 20 to 100 km. The blue asterisks, green diamonds, red plusses, and magenta triangles represent 0, 6, 12, and 18 hrs, respectively. A detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this study. The salient features are that the trends can vary significantly as a function of local time, even from hour to hour. These variations are also different with altitude and latitude.
5 Summary and conclusion.

Using SABER data, we have investigated the local time variations of temperature trends (K/decade) from 2002 to 2014, 20 to 100 km, and 48ºS to 48ºN latitude. Our results show that the values of temperature decadal trends for a fixed local time are different from trends at another fixed local time. The temperature diurnal variations themselves are due to thermal tides. We find that the amplitudes and phases of the tides also display decadal trends and are then likely a contributor to the local time variations of temperature trends. These results have not been available previously.

The dependence of trends on local time is significant throughout the region of analysis, and can be significant even from hour to hour, as can be seen in Figures 3, 4, 5, and 7.

In the lower thermosphere, this agrees with the trend results by She et al.,[2019], based on lidar night-time measurements. She et al.,[2019] found that trends based on a two-hour average near midnight show systematic differences from the average over other hours. Our comparisons with the overnight results of She et al.,[2019] are seen in Figure 5, where our trends at 19, 20, and 21 hours compare favorably, while our day time trends at 15, 16, and 17 hours compare less favorably.

In the stratosphere, our comparison with trends found by Funatsu et al.,[2016], based on lidar and AMSU measurements, are even better, as seen in Figures 3 and 4. At 44ºN (AMSU and OHP lidar), Funatsu et al.,[2016] provide AMSU trend results only from 30 to 40 km, but they match our results almost exactly. Their results from 20 to 40 km, representing mid latitudes (30º to 60ºN) also match our results almost exactly from 20 to 30 km, but are larger from 30 to 40 km.

Between ~ 30 to 40 km, the night-time lidar trends are significantly smaller (more negative) than both our and that of Funatsu et al.,[2016]. However, when the comparison is between night time lidar and our night-time results (21, 22, and 23 hours, see Figures 3a, 3b), the agreements are better. At 20ºN (AMSU and MLO lidar), similar comments apply.
These examples all suggest that at least some of the differences between night time lidar trends and those based on other measurements that are not made at night, can be explained at least partly, through variations of trends with local time.

Because our results show that the data sets representing measurements at different fixed local times can result in varying trends, merging those data can result in trends that cannot be tied to specific local times, or to averages over the 24 hours of local time, as in 3D models, and can result in biases. Although there have been previous studies related to variations with local time, they focused on mitigating differences when merging data from different sources, and on accounting for temperature variations with local time due to orbital drifts.

Our three examples of course do not a pattern make, and more direct comparisons are needed. Our current comparisons are limited because the various results should be based on the similar time spans, and also not based on merged data from various sources, as the identity in local time would not be clear for merged data.

Our results for temperature tidal amplitude and phase trends shown in Figure 2 are also derived from the same SABER data, and have not been available previously. This supports the conclusion that the dependence of temperature trends on local time is due, at least in part, to the behavior of tidal trends.
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