

## Response to Referee 1

We thank Referee 1 for thorough reading of the manuscript and helpful comments. Below are the point-by-point responses (blue) to each of the comments (black). During the revision process a small timing error was found in the analysis of the spectrometer data. Thus, the temperature and brightness time series plots in Figure 1 and 2 have been re-plotted and have changed a little. This update has resulted in another event to be classified as stable, so there are now 6 cases showing a temperature decrease and 2 showing no change in temperature as an immediate response to the particle precipitation onset. The temperature super-posed epoch plot is re-done in Figure 3, leading to no significant change. Figure 3 now also includes a superposed epoch plot for the airglow brightness as well.

1. The authors set out to investigate whether electron precipitation events lead to changes in the temperature of the mesopause region. They note that there are conflicting reports on this subject, and they set out to resolve the question.
2. To do so they use electron density values from the EISCAT radar, and temperatures from the mesopause region derived from OH emissions both of which are recorded from Svalbard.
3. They start off with over 10,000 hours of radar data which sounds very impressive, but when the selection criteria are applied, it turns out that only eight events remain with which to carry out the investigation. The criteria are clearly stated and the reasons for choosing them are also clear. However, the authors must realise the weakness of undertaking a statistical study with so little data.

We do recognise the weakness. At the same time 8 events with co-located measurements is more than a case study, and it is a more direct comparison than temperatures versus indirect all-sky particle precipitation measures. Our main point is to demonstrate that even if there is a change in the temperature it recovers fast and is not going to have a major effect on the larger scale mesospheric temperature, which is often speculated in case of EPP.

4. The next and biggest problem lies in the time resolution of the temperatures. The authors state that the effect of the EPP on temperature (if it exists) is short lived of the order of 30 minutes (page 12, line 217), and they use 30-minute averages of the OH\* temperature instead of the more usual 1-hour averages in an attempt to overcome this. Unfortunately, temperature values are missing either immediately before or immediately after five of the eight EPP events selected for study. Why are there so many missing temperature values? Are the OH spectra contaminated by auroral emissions caused by the precipitating electrons?

Missing values in temperature data mean that fitting the synthetic spectrum to the measured spectrum has not given reliable results. This can happen for several reasons: cloudiness, clouds together with light pollution (for instance the Moon), technical problems (for instance interference), or strong auroral contamination by oxygen emission at 844.6 nm, which is in the middle of the OH(6-2) spectrum. These things are discussed in, for instance, Sigernes et al. (2003), which is cited. The main reasons for missing data have now been mentioned in the text. All these factors can vary very fast within the narrow field-of-view of the spectrometer, and thus cause SNR to change from measurement to another. We have used threshold values for the fit covariance and variances to define a good fit, according to previous work (Sigernes et al. 2003 and Holmen et al. 2013, also cited), which cover long-time series and much observer expertise. Admittedly some of the missing values may be due to the auroral precipitation, but the most dominant reason is clouds, which the Eiscat radar does not care about. Shorter integration times than 30 minutes were tested but that resulted in more missing values due to lower SNR. The observed lifetime of the temperature changes is, of course, limited by the temporal resolution of the temperature data, but the main point is that it explains why earlier observations with daily averages have not shown any changes. Observing the shorter-term temperature changes also gives some confidence to conclude that these changes will not add up to climatologically significant contributions.

5. The authors claim to have detected a decrease in OH temperature greater than 10 K (10–50 K) following the onset of an EPP in seven out of eight cases.

45 Our events have been re-plotted due to an unnecessary time shift found in the plotting script. With this slightly different averaging there are now 6 cases out of 8 showing a decrease in temperature, typically of the order of 10–20 K. The temperature change of 50 K during the first event is an extreme, which cannot be explained by the thinning of the airglow layer alone. This is explained in the new version.

- 50 6. The authors classify the fifth event as one of decreasing temperature. This is very strange since the temperature decrease occurs before the occurrence of the EPP, while the temperature has increased by 22 K only ~11 minutes (~02:31 UT) after the maximum value of the EPP (~02:20 UT). This is one of the three events in which there are no missing temperature values either immediately before or immediately after the onset of the EPP. This event should be classified as one of increasing temperature. It is also a pity that no OH temperatures are available after 03:10 UT, since the electron density values remain consistently high until at least 05:10 UT.

55 This has changed due to the time averaging mistake we found in the plotting script. The minimum temperature happens shortly after the onset. It is also more explicitly explained in the revised version that the comparison for the classification is done between the data point before the EEP onset and the one at the EEP onset, where the onset data point is the time-wise closest to the EEP onset time. As for the unfortunate timings for missing data points we can only agree.

- 60 7. The mechanism suggested to explain the perceived temperature decrease, originally proposed by Suzuki et al. (2010), envisages a depletion in the number of emitting radicals at the upper part of the OH layer, the effect of which depends on the mesopause region temperature profile at that time. The time resolution of the Suzuki et al. (2010) report was 1 minute which is in stark contrast with the present study. Suzuki et al. (2010) found support for their proposal from OH VER profiles from the SABER instrument onboard the TIMED satellite. As an absolute minimum, the authors of the present manuscript should at least search for SABER temperature and OH VER profiles, or alternatively, Aura MLS temperature profiles close to the time of the eight events to try to support their argument.

65 The temporal resolution of the OH data used in Suzuki et al. is indeed much higher than the one employed in the study. However, that paper analysed one event, where absorption of the cosmic radio noise was used as the particle precipitation proxy. While the OH spectrometer field-of-view is narrow, that of a riometer is nearly all-sky. Thus, their comparison only works for events where the energetic precipitation fills the whole sky with a uniform precipitation spectrum, which was probably true for that one event. We have looked for SABER/TIMED profiles for temperatures for these events, and found nothing particularly close to Svalbard. Since there are large variations in the temperature profiles during the winter it is not helpful to compare to a measurement half an hour or several hundreds of kilometers away from the ground-based station.

- 75 8. A depletion of OH emitters in the upper part of the layer, leading to probing temperatures at a lower altitude could have the effect of increasing or decreasing the temperature depending on the gradient of the temperature at the time of the measurement (lines 258/259). The winter mesopause temperature is indeed quite variable as pointed out in (lines 213/214). On average (see e.g., MSISE-90) however, the gradient in the high-latitude winter temperatures profile tends to be small, and the altitude of temperature minimum tends to be above the OH layer. In this situation, a depletion in the upper part of the layer would give rise to a small increase in the OH temperature, with a corresponding decrease in the integrated emission signal.

80 A model temperature profile (MSIS or CIRA, as shown in Suzuki et al.) indeed gives polar night temperature profiles with very small gradients, and on average OH layer is located below the major temperature minimum, based on random SABER profiles in the vicinity of Svalbard. However, random profiles we inspected also showed quite large amplitude variations, with Earthward negative gradients of 5–10 K/km not being unusual at the heights from about 80 to 90 km.

9. However, average conditions may not be a lot of help here. At any given time, the mesopause region temperature profile is rarely at the average value, and since the time scale of the EPP effect is expected to be short, and with only eight events

85 available for this study, it is unlikely that assuming average conditions would lead to the correct prediction. Nevertheless,  
it would be surprising to find a temperature decrease in all cases. As stated already, the OH temperature data do not have  
sufficient time resolution to make a convincing case.

We agree with the average temperature profile not being very realistic (see the reply to point 8.). With the number of  
90 events we have, it is still possible that the randomness of the variable atmosphere gives the same sign for the temperature  
change for 6 out of 8 events. As mentioned earlier the temporal resolution is a limiting factor but it is more important to  
make the point that the temperature changes do not have much longer lifetimes than the temporal resolution of the OH  
data. The changes are seen in 30-min resolution but would be averaged over by 1-h resolution.

10. The mechanism proposed for the temperature change (decrease), namely depleting the OH layer from above by the pre-  
cipitating electrons, would be unlikely to give rise to the magnitude of the changes claimed (20–50 K). An approximate  
95 calculation based on a 10 K/km vertical gradient over the entire width of a typical Gaussian layer (which would be an  
extreme case) with a total depletion of say 30 % would only change the recovered temperature by ~11 K. At most, one  
might expect only a few K change in temperature one way or the other with the proposed mechanism. The authors should  
address this question in detail, i.e., how much of a depletion would be required for a given temperature profile to achieve  
the temperature changes claimed with the mechanism proposed.

100 Since there were no measured temperature and OH profiles close to Svalbard during the events analysed in this study,  
we looked for any winter and nighttime temperature and OH profiles measured over Svalbard by SABER to see if the  
mechanism we propose is realistic. First of all, the typical OH brightness change for our events is about 20% decrease  
at the EEP onset as suggested by the superposed epoch of the OH band brightness in the new Figure 3. In the attached  
105 sample profile plot from January 2019 (orbit 92668, top panel of Figure 1 of this document) the temperature is the blue  
curve as a function of height. The red curve is an average of the two OH bands measured by SABER/TIMED at 2.0 and  
1.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , which correspond to OH bands at higher (9–7 and 8–6) and lower (4–2 and 5–3) vibrational level transitions than  
the ground-based measurement (6–2). Averaging is done to follow the procedure by Suzuki et al. (2010). The OH volume  
emission is scaled to bring it to the same X axis with the temperature values for illustration purposes. This OH layer  
110 peaks at 86 km. An OH depletion of 20% would remove the top 2 km of the layer. Assuming that this reduction brings the  
weighted average of the measured temperature down about 2 km from the peak height, it would decrease the temperature  
by 20 K, because the temperature under the OH peak height decreases by about 10 K/km. As the temperature epoch plot  
of our EEP events suggests (new versions of the epoch plots in Figure 2 of this document), the typical temperature change  
is -20 K. Although the profile shown here is not measured during our EEP events, it illustrates the height variation in the  
115 winter and nighttime mesosphere, which based on viewing of several tens of profiles is not uncommon. In the bottom  
panel of Figure 1 the Earthward negative temperature gradient at the heights of the OH peak is about 7 K/km. However,  
the temperature decrease of 50 K during the first event cannot realistically be explained by this mechanism alone.

11. The ideas contained in the manuscript have merit, but the data presented is insufficient to support the claim. The temper-  
ature data does not have the time resolution needed, and more data are needed to support the premise before publication  
is warranted.

120 The temporal resolution is not high enough to follow the evolution of these events in detail, but the point of the study is to  
show that the immediate change is so short-lived that a longer averaging would not allow to see any change at all. While  
a larger number of events will be available in the future, the 8 events studied here show complementary temperature  
evolution as compared to earlier case studies. Here we further employ co-located data with mesospheric temperatures  
and direct measurements of electron precipitation.

- 125 12. Minor comments

The following comments have been implemented as suggested:

P1, line 4; replace “exited” by “excited”.

P1, line 15; replace “events” by “event”.

P2, lines 30/31; suggest moving “was found” from the end of the sentence to after “40 K”.

130 P2, line 41; is “deepest” the most appropriate word here? Consider “largest” or “strongest.”

P2, line 46; to what does “earlier” refer in this sentence. Do you mean previous reports of EPP events? Is it necessary to include “earlier”?

P3, line 71; be consistent in the use of uppercase- or lowercase-R in “EISCAT Svalbard Radar”; see e.g., P1, lines 5 and 6; P14, line 283.

135 P4, line 93; replace “field of view” by “field-of-view”.

P4, line 107; replace “field aligned” by “field-aligned”.

P4, lines 115/116; replace “M. S. Lehtinen (1996)” by “(Lehtinen and Huuskonen, 1996)”.


P5, line 138; insert “2019” after “January”.

P6, Figure 1, upper panel; omit the text “Produced@DESKTOP- ... 2020”.


140 P10, line 166; replace “(18°)” by “(18 K)”.

P12, line 213; the sentence beginning “At high latitudes the ...” restates information provided already on page 4 in lines 95-97.

P13, line 243; “Figure 3” should be “Figure 2”.



**Figure 1.** Two examples SABER/TIMED measurements of OH emission rate (red, scaled to temperature axis) and temperature (blue) over Svalbard in January 2019. Top panel: Orbit 92668, bottom panel: orbit 92624.



**Figure 2.** This figure illustrates the average temperature and intensity response to the EPP onset. The upper left panel shows a scatter plot of the electron density and the airglow temperature values (before, at and after the EPP onset). The superposed epoch of the airglow temperature in the lower left panel (airglow intensity, lower right panel) includes the 25% (lower red line), 50% (blue) and 75% (upper red line) percentiles of the temperature (intensity) for all eight events. The zero epoch time corresponds to the EPP onset. Each 30 min epoch time bin contains 3–7 temperature (intensity) values, maximizing around the zero epoch time.

## Response to Referee 2

We want to thank Referee 2 for careful reading of the manuscript and thoughtful comments. Below are the point-by-point responses (blue) to each of the comments (black). During the revision process a small timing error was found in the analysis of the spectrometer data. Thus, the temperature and brightness time series plots in Figures 1 and 2 have been re-plotted and have changed a little. This update has resulted in another event to be classified as stable, so there are now 6 cases showing a temperature decrease and 2 showing no change in temperature as an immediate response to the particle precipitation onset. The temperature super-posed epoch plot is re-done in Figure 3, leading to no significant change. Figure 3 now also includes a superposed epoch plot for the airglow brightness as well.

1. General comments: The reviewer evaluates and agrees with the objective and motivation of this study. Quality of data set and analysis direction is also fine. However, the reviewer does not think the current version of the manuscript merits the publication because of lack of substantial verifications to their results and analysis.

We have extended discussion and analysis according to the suggestions, as detailed below.

2. Discussion and further analysis focusing on variation in OH intensity are required. The authors mainly show variation in T<sub>OH</sub> before, during, and after EPP. However, they do not show quantitative verification for intensity of OH airglow (I<sub>OH</sub>) before, during, and after EPP as well. Since modulation of height profile of OH\* airglow is an essential phenomenon to explain the observed T<sub>OH</sub>, the authors have to show more detail and quantitative verifications for observed I<sub>OH</sub>. For example, relative amplitude of decrease in I<sub>OH</sub> during EPP is necessary to be quantitatively addressed. And then the amplitude has to be verified whether it is enough to change the T<sub>OH</sub> with observed level. Empirically Modeled or observed background atmospheric temperature profile and typical profile of OH\* intensity would be necessary for this verification. For background temperature profile, satellite data (MLS/AURA or SABER/TIMED) are best to be hired. If coincide temperature profile data are difficult to collect on event days, empirical model (e.g. CIRA) is another choice to know the typical background temperature profile. Anyway, the reviewer strongly recommends authors to check the typical temperature gradient during events weather observed decrease in I<sub>OH</sub> can reproduce observed T<sub>OH</sub>.

We agree this being a weakness of the study and have been collecting more evidence. As pointed out by Referee 1 and as also shown by Suzuki et al. (2010), the model temperature profiles tend to be constant over the height range of the mesospheric OH. We searched for SABER/TIMED temperature measurements for the events analysed in this study but found nothing particularly close to the ground-based observation sites. Since the temperature is very variable at the heights of interest in the polar night, a large temporal (> 30min) or spatial (hundreds of km) separation between the ground- and space-based measurements is not giving a reliable comparison. Thus, we looked for random polar night temperature profiles over Svalbard in the SABER measurements. We further prepared a superposed epoch for the OH band brightness. It shows a typical decrease of brightness of about 20% at the EEP event onset. An example of an OH layer profile (red) and temperature (blue) over Svalbard in January 2019 is shown in an attached Figure 1. Here, the OH volume emission rate is an average of the two OH bands SABER measures at 2.0 and 1.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , which corresponds to vibrational transitions above (9–7 and 8–6) and below (5–3 and 4–0) the one measured from the ground (6–2). As OH bands originate from slightly different heights we assume that averaging provides a reasonable estimate. The OH emission rate values are scaled to bring the profile comparable to the temperature values for illustration purposes. The peak of this average layer is at 86 km. A reduction of about 20% in the brightness would deplete about 2 km from the top of the layer. If the weighted average temperature is brought downwards by 2 km, the resulting temperature is about 20 K lower, as the temperature gradient under the peak height is about -10 K/km. This agrees with the typical change suggested by the epoch evolution of the temperature. However, this mechanism alone cannot explain the temperature decrease of 50 K during the first event, which has been pointed out in the discussion. By browsing temperature profiles from SABER measurements during polar night, it seems that an Earthward negative temperature gradient of 5–10 K/km is not uncommon, but a gradient steeper than that is rare. In the bottom panel of Figure 1, as an additional example, the Earthward negative temperature gradient at the heights of the OH peak is about 7 K/km.

3. Insufficient discussions to explain the observed variations in T<sub>OH</sub>. As the authors mentioned in the manuscript, atmospheric parameters are highly variable in polar mesopause mainly due to existence of many kinds of atmospheric waves. In particular, small scale (~10–100 km) atmospheric gravity wave is known to be major source causing large fluctuations with a period of hours to minutes. Authors excluded this possibility since the correlation between observed I<sub>OH</sub> and T<sub>OH</sub> is poor and amplitude of T<sub>OH</sub> is greater than 10 K for all cases. Nevertheless, the authors also say that decrease in I<sub>OH</sub> is shown in most cases (L260). In addition, authors also say that ‘While a positive correlation can be seen between the two parameters in case of the fourth and fifth event, no significant correlation across the entire event set was found (data not shown).’ (L243). Thus, it seems little bit inconsistent in their context explaining a relationship between T<sub>OH</sub> and I<sub>OH</sub>. Thus, the reviewer recommends the authors to re-organize their discussion about relationship between T<sub>OH</sub> and I<sub>OH</sub>. As the reviewer already pointed in former comments, authors must show more details for observed I<sub>OH</sub> during EPP events. The amplitude over 10 K is possible and often seen in T<sub>OH</sub> due to atmospheric gravity waves in a polar mesopause region. The phase between I<sub>OH</sub> and T<sub>OH</sub> is roughly positive but can shift each other depending on a vertical wavelength, damping factor, and a sign of vertical wavenumber of atmospheric gravity waves [Liu and Swenson, 2003]. Thus, authors should discuss more carefully to evaluate and exclude the effect of atmospheric gravity waves. For example, in a first event (29 Dec, 2007), there seems large fluctuation with period of 2-hours over the night in both T<sub>OH</sub> and I<sub>OH</sub>. In this case, phase of T<sub>OH</sub> seems to lead the I<sub>OH</sub>. This kind of feature is very common and typically observed in variation of T<sub>OH</sub> even on no EPP days [e.g. Suzuki et al. EPS., 2010].

This part was indeed confusing and has been re-phrased to:

*"As the scatter plot includes data points from one hour before to two hours after the onset time, the lack of scatter correlation suggests that there is no longer-term or periodic coherent behaviour between temperature and brightness within the examined time period. The synchronous decrease in temperature and brightness seen in the epoch curves is a short-term feature, which does not dominate the scatter. A periodic out-of-phase relationship between temperature and brightness, which has been observed for non-EPP conditions (Suzuki et al. EPS (2010)) would result in low correlation but would not explain the synchronous decrease at onset."*

The length of the time series of T<sub>OH</sub> and I<sub>OH</sub> data of the first event in Figure 2 is not long enough for reliably detecting periods of 2 hours. Even if it may seem that the temperature is leading the brightness variation, they still both show a minimum value at the same time after the particle precipitation onset. Time shifted scatter plots were explored and do not show an improved correlation.

4. Lack of verification on auroral contamination to OH spectrum data. During the night with active EPP, bright aurora feature would covers entire sky in typical. Since Meinel OH(6–2) band sits on wavelength between 825 nm and 860 nm, strong contamination from aurora light (including strong OI line at 844.6 nm) can disturb OH spectrum. Since T<sub>OH</sub> is very sensitive to relative intensity of P lines, authors should address the how they judge the spectrum data is free from auroral contamination. The authors mentioned about accuracy of T<sub>OH</sub> observation in section 2.2 as  $\pm 2$  K. However, data shown in Fig 1 and Fig 3 have much larger error than this. The authors also should clarify about this point.

*This is a good point. The auroral contamination part has been clarified in the new version: "An oxygen auroral emission line at 844.6 nm lies in the middle of the OH(6–2) spectrum. The times when that emission intensity overtakes the OH emission intensity (fit covariance greater than 0.5) are excluded in the temperature analysis due an inaccurate fit. Other things causing poor fits and missing temperature values are cloudiness, high background illumination (e.g. scattered moonlight) or technical issues with the instrument. The threshold values for the fit variances have been determined empirically by viewing and fitting large datasets over decades. For consistency we have employed the same threshold values as in the earlier work (Sigernes et al. 2003, Holmen et al. 2013)."*

During the events studied here the auroral activity reached near the zenith where the radar beam was looking along the magnetic field line (about 7 degrees south of zenith), but did not fully cover the zenith-pointing spectrometer field-of-view. The auroral contamination is responsible for some of the missing data points (such as the one close the onset time of event 8), but mostly the optical measurements are collected right next to the energetic precipitation.



The error values have been further clarified to distinguish between the accuracy of the method itself and the range given in STD: “*The accuracy of the method in estimating rotational temperatures is  $\pm 2$  K. The error bars and uncertainties given for the data in this study represent the standard deviations (STD) over the averaged time, which are typically somewhat larger (see values in Table 1).*”

95 5. Minor comments:

Fig 1. Include a plot of I\_OH as well as Fig 3. Fig 1. Include a vertical line to show the onset time in the plot.


OH band brightness evolution has been included in Figure 1, and a vertical line showing the onset time as been added.

Table 1. Add uncertainties in each value.

Temperature values in Table 1 have been supplemented with  $\pm$ standard deviation.

100

L251 Reference Maeda [1967] is old. The reviewer suggests to add a recent paper modeling O3 destruction during EPP events. (e.g. <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2016JD0250154010.1002/28ISSN292169-9402.EEL15>)  
The old reference has been replaced by the recommended one.



**Figure 1.** Two examples SABER/TIMED measurements of OH emission rate (red, scaled to temperature axis) and temperature (blue) over Svalbard in January 2019. Top panel: Orbit 92668, bottom panel: orbit 92624.

# On the relationship of energetic particle precipitation and mesopause temperature

Florine Enengl<sup>1,2</sup>, Noora Partamies<sup>2,3</sup>, Nickolay Ivchenko<sup>1</sup>, and Lisa Baddeley<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>The University Centre in Svalbard, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Birkeland Centre for Space Science, Norway

**Correspondence:** Florine Enengl (florine@kth.se)

**Abstract.** Energetic Particle Precipitation (EPP) has the potential to change the neutral atmospheric temperature at the mesopause region. Recent results, however, are inconsistent leaving the mechanism and the actual effect still unresolved. Here we have searched for electron precipitation events and investigated a possible correlation between D region electron density enhancements and simultaneous neutral temperature changes. The rotational temperature of the excited hydroxyl (OH) molecules is retrieved from the spectrum of the OH airglow. The electron density is monitored by the EISCAT Svalbard Radar from the International Polar Year (IPY) in 2007–2008 when the EISCAT Svalbard Radar was run continuously, until February 2019. Particle precipitation events are characterized by rapid increases in electron density by a factor of 4 at an altitude range of 80–95 km, which overlaps with the nominal altitude of the OH airglow layer. The OH airglow measurements and the electron density measurements are co-located. Most of our 8 electron precipitation events are associated with a temperature decrease of 10–20 K. ~~Only one~~ Two events were related to temperature change less than 10 K. We interpret the results in terms of the change in the chemical composition in the mesosphere. Due to EPP ionisation the population of excited OH at the top of the airglow layer may decrease. As a consequence, the airglow peak height changes and the temperatures are probed at lower altitudes. The observed change in temperature thus depends on behaviour of the vertical temperature profile within the airglow layer. This is in agreement with conclusions of earlier studies, but is, for the first time, constructed from electron precipitation measurements as opposed proxies. The EPP related temperature change recovers very fast, typically within 30 minutes. We therefore further conclude that this type of particle precipitation event would only have a significant impact on the longer-term heat balance in the mesosphere if the lifetime of the precipitation was much longer than that of a typical EPP event found in this study.

*Copyright statement.* TEXT

## 30 1 Introduction

Space weather phenomena can affect the dynamics and the heat balance of the atmosphere by depositing energy in the form of energetic particle precipitation. In particular, investigating the mesopause region (at 80–100 km), the boundary between

the mesosphere and the thermosphere (Andrews, 2010), is important. At the lower boundary of the ionosphere and the upper boundary of the neutral atmosphere, the behaviour of neutral gas and ionized particles differ, which is why complex interactions between dynamics, photochemistry, heating and transport mechanisms take place and the atmospheric energy budget can be altered.

Several studies have investigated the effects of energetic particle precipitation (EPP) on neutral temperatures in the mesopause region. Nesse Tyssøy et al. (2010) compared particle precipitation observed by NOAA POES satellites with neutral temperatures derived from the TIMED satellite. They used a dataset of 80 days within May–June and October–November in 2003 over the northern hemisphere. Temperature profiles were averaged over all local time hours for four flux levels of precipitating energetic protons. A temperature increase of about 40 K was found at 115–120 km associated with strong fluxes of 80–250 keV protons in October–November. The strongest temperature increase of 15–20 K in May–June at the altitudes of 110–115 km was reported due to high fluxes of 30–80 keV protons. As particle precipitation events change the Pedersen conductivity, Joule heating may contribute to the increase in temperature at these altitudes. Further down in the atmosphere, at 85–90 km, only a minor cooling of 3–4 K during periods of high Kp values was observed due to precipitation of 250–800 keV protons (an intense solar proton event).

The rotational hydroxyl (OH) airglow temperature was observed during six nights of the austral winter in 2008 by Suzuki et al. (2010b). These nights were selected to include high auroral activity and clear weather. In their study, the temperature was derived from spectra recorded at Syowa Station in Antarctica by a high-sensitivity spectrometer. During only one of these nights (27–28 March 2008), an increase in the temperature of 10 K over a time period of 15 minutes was observed. Furthermore, a decrease in the relative intensity of the OH(8–4) Q branch of  $\sim 23\%$  was found by comparing the pre-EPP level to that half an hour after the largest magnetic deflection. No such coherent behaviour was seen during the other nights. Suzuki et al. (2010b) suggested a relationship between EPP and the OH temperature based on measured disturbances in the horizontal magnetic field and variations in the cosmic radio noise absorption (CNA) over the course of several hours of activity. The average energy of the precipitating electrons during this night reached 10–20 keV. They discussed different mechanisms for causing the change in the temperature. Joule heating was concluded to not contribute much, as the estimated heating rate required to explain the observed temperature increase at the mesopause height was three orders of magnitude higher than particle precipitation observations suggested. Direct particle heating, on the other hand, is produced by precipitating particles colliding with the atmospheric neutrals. This heating process is dependent on the incident particle energy and their deposition altitude. Similarly to the Joule heating, the authors estimated the energy deposition rate of EPP at the mesopause height, and concluded that the high-energy particle flux required to explain the temperature change was unrealistically high. Atmospheric gravity waves were also excluded, as the intensity of the OH airglow and the rotational temperature did not show a positive correlation, which is characteristic for dynamics driven conditions, as described and modelled by Cho and Shepherd (2006). Suzuki et al. (2010b) further discussed the possibility of a change in the height distribution of the OH airglow emission during auroral events. The initial profile of OH volume emission rates retrieved by the SABER instrument onboard the TIMED satellite was compared to an example of the disturbed layer. The comparison showed a decrease in the upper part of the disturbed layer as the thickness of the OH layer had decreased by 20%. If a change in the height distribution of the OH airglow emission occurred during an

auroral event, an increase in temperature is not necessarily observed, as the outcome would depend on the temperature gradient in the mesosphere.

70 The connection between the geomagnetic activity and the long-term temperature at the mesopause region during the solar cycle 23 and 24 was studied by Gavrilieva and Ammosov (2018). The OH rotational temperature was measured by the ground-based infrared spectrograph at Maimaga station (63°N,129.5°E), and ascribed to an altitude of 87 km, which is commonly assumed to be the peak height of the OH layer. The seasonally averaged temperatures from 1999 to 2015 were included in the analysis. The results showed that the mesopause temperature from October to February is about 10 K higher during the  
75 years with high solar activity ( $A_p > 8$ ) than during low activity years ( $A_p \leq 8$ ). A solar activity dependence of OH airglow temperatures was also reported by Holmen et al. (2014). They concluded on a temperature change of about 4 K per 100 solar flux units (SFU) of the F10.7 radio flux. The question on the detailed relationship of EPP and the mesopause temperature was still left open.

The purpose of this study is to characterize the effects of the EPP on the mesopause temperature in more detail, using co-  
80 located measurements of precipitating electrons and the mesospheric temperature. The instrumentation is further described in section 2. Section 3 outlines the data used in this study, as well as the analysis of the EISCAT Svalbard Radar data. Finally, the results are shown and discussed in sections 4 and 5. The conclusions of the effects of the EPP on the mesopause temperature are summarized in section 6.

## 2 Instrumentation

85 Following Cresswell-Moorcock et al. (2013) we use the European Incoherent Scatter Scientific Association (EISCAT) radar data to identify electron precipitation events as electron density enhancements. Simultaneous and co-located neutral temperature measurements are determined using the spectrometer measurements of the OH airglow. The derived rotational OH temperature is taken as the neutral temperature of the mesopause region, assuming that the excited OH molecules are in thermal equilibrium with the ambient atmosphere.

### 90 2.1 EISCAT Svalbard Radar

For this study the EISCAT Svalbard Radar (ESR, Wannberg et al. (1997)) in Longyearbyen, Norway (situated at a geographic latitude of 78.15°N and a geographic longitude of 16.02°E and at corrected geomagnetic coordinates of 75.43° and 110.68°) is used. The radar operates at the 500 MHz band and has a 32 m steerable parabolic dish antenna and a 42 m fixed parabolic antenna aligned to the local geomagnetic field. For our purpose, we searched for experiments with good height resolution at D  
95 region altitudes. The `manda` experiment (Tjulin, 2017) resolves altitudes of 80 to 100 km with 1–2 km height resolution and was therefore chosen for the radar campaigns in January and February 2019 for this study. In addition to `manda`, all previously run `ipy` experiments were analyzed, as that experiment also covers the mesopause region with a vertical resolution of 4–5 km. The `manda` experiments utilize the 32 m dish and the `ipy` data are collected on the 42 m dish.

## 2.2 Ebert-Fastie airglow Spectrometer

100 The Ebert-Fastie spectrometer at the Kjell Henriksen Observatory (KHO) in Longyearbyen, Svalbard, is used to retrieve the winter temperature of the mesopause (Sigernes et al., 2003). The observatory is located only a kilometer away from the radar site, so it is practically co-located. The spectrometer scans the near infrared wavelength region, from 824 to 871 nm, which includes the rotational OH(6–2) band of the OH airglow. The spectrometer points to the zenith with a field-of-view of 5 degrees. The spectral resolution of the OH(6–2) band is 0.4 nm. One scan of the wavelength range takes 25 seconds  
105 but to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio several scans are averaged during post-processing of the data. Most earlier studies use 1-hour averages. In this study, half-hour averaging is used for better temporal resolution. The spectrometer measures whenever the Sun is more than 12 degrees below the horizon, which at Svalbard latitudes (78.2°N) gives an optical observation season from the beginning of November until the end of February. The rotational OH temperatures are obtained by fitting a synthetic spectrum to the measured band of emission lines and using the intensities of four different emission lines of the best  
110 fit to determine the temperature. An oxygen auroral emission line at 844.6 nm lies in the middle of the OH(6–2) spectrum. The times when that emission intensity overtakes the OH emission intensity (fit covariance greater than 0.5) are excluded in the temperature analysis due an inaccurate fit. Other things causing poor fits and missing temperature values are cloudiness, high background illumination (e.g. scattered moonlight) or technical issues with the instrument. The threshold values for the fit variances have been determined empirically by viewing and fitting large datasets over decades. For consistency we have  
115 employed the same threshold values as in the earlier work (Sigernes et al., 2003; Holmen et al., 2013). The accuracy of the method in estimating rotational temperatures is  $\pm 2$  K. The error bars and uncertainties given for the data in this study represent the standard deviations (STD) over the averaged time, which are typically somewhat larger (see values in Table 1). In addition to the fitted temperature values, we use relative band brightness (non-calibrated arbitrary units) of the P branch of the OH(6–2) transition. These intensity values are routinely calculated and saved together with the rotational temperatures.

## 120 3 Data description and event selection

A total of 10220 hours of ESR data were initially inspected. The `ipy` and `manda` experiment contribute 10144 hours and 76 hours of data respectively. These experiments provide a sufficient height resolution to detect enhanced electron densities at the mesopause. Here the mesopause region is defined from an altitude of 80 to 100 km to include the hydroxyl (OH) peak height at 76–90 km (Mulligan et al., 2009). Experiments run in field-aligned direction (42m: `ipy`, 32m: `manda`) or vertical pointing  
125 (32m: `manda`) are chosen. The data set starts at the International Polar Year 2007–2008 when the ESR was run continuously from 1 March 2007 to 29 February 2008 (Blelly et al., 2010). This year includes 8784 hours in the `ipy` experiment mode. Additionally, all `manda` and `ipy` experiments from December, January and February every year until February 2019 are included in the analysis. The total of 1388 hours of ESR data between 2008–2019 were analyzed in more detail. Finally, the ESIRI experiment (ESR Ionospheric D-Region Experiment for Investigation of EPP) `manda` mode was run for a total of 48  
130 hours in January (24 hours) and February 2019 (another 24 hours) to specifically collect data for this study. The experiment was run for six evenings between 16 to 22 UT (19 to 01 MLT).

The EISCAT raw data files (Auto-Correlation Functions (ACFs)) are analysed using the GUISDAP data package (Lehtinen and Huuskonen, 1996). This provides an iterative fitting of the ACFs and then, using a model ionosphere, produces the electron densities examined in this study. The post-integration time is 60 s. Data gaps are shown by white areas in the plots (Figures 1 and 2) and occur where GUISDAP has not managed to provide a fit. 10-minute averaged electron densities are calculated for the altitude ranges of 87–90 km and 91–94 km separately. The error of the electron density is averaged to give a mean error for further analysis.

The search for the EPP events in the radar data is based on an earlier study by Cresswell-Moorcock et al. (2013). The onset is found by a sudden increase of the electron density (median value) by a factor of 5 over 5 minutes. For this study the electron density is averaged to 10 min resolution with an altitude resolution of 4 km. This criterion was slightly adapted to better suit the data from the two different EISCAT experiments. The previous study's factor of 5 was attempted but since there were a number of events just below this acceptance threshold the factor was adjusted to 4. We searched for an increase of electron density by a factor of 4 within 20 minutes from the precipitation onset. If onsets are found only 10 minutes apart, the latter onset is ignored.

The events are detected automatically but inspected and sorted visually based on the temporal evolution of the electron density and the OH temperature. Events with large electron density errors of more than  $5 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^{-3}$  are excluded. Events which lack more than one temperature measurement within a  $\pm 3$ -hour analysis window from the event onset are also excluded. Events which show a particle precipitation signature of electron density enhancement through the whole ionospheric column (87–126 km) are sorted into the EPP category. Events which show an electron density enhancement limited to horizontal layer at the bottom of the E region (around 100 km) are categorized as sporadic E layers (Rapp et al., 2011). The remaining data not showing clear EPP or sporadic E layer behaviour are excluded from the analysis. The sporadic E layer events are not discussed further in this paper. The EPP events with a full coverage of the temperature data, and those only missing one temperature data point are analyzed in more detail. This selection results in a set of eight EPP events, which were categorized into groups of increasing, decreasing and stable (no change) temperature evolution over the EPP onset.

The electron density during the radar run on 6 January 2019 from 16:00 UT to 22:00 UT is shown in Figure 1 (top panel). The onset of the EPP is at 19:50 UT and the event lasted until 20:20 UT. The electron density at the lower part of the ionosphere during this experiment was low (mainly below  $10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ ) but abruptly increased at the EPP onset time. The OH rotational temperature (middle panel) does not change at the event onset. However, it experiences a total decrease of 19 K half an hour after the EPP onset time. The relative OH band brightness (bottom panel) decreased half an hour before the precipitation started and remained at a constant level until an hour after the EPP onset. During this experiment the radar was pointed to zenith, which is aligned with the spectrometer field-of-view. The particle precipitation within the spectrometer field-of-view caused the oxygen auroral emission line to enhance so that one data point was missed in the temperature analysis.


## 4 Results

Our eight EPP events are listed in Table 1. The criterion for a ~~decreasing or increasing~~ changing mesopause temperature is that the change has to be larger than the standard deviation (STD) of the temperatures averaged over half an hour. An event is classified as stable (no change), if the temperature change from the value before the onset to that closest to the onset time is within the STD of the averaged temperatures. Apart from the eighth event, all other events were measured by a field-aligned pointing radar experiment. Thus, the auroral emission does not obscure the temperature calculations as the two parameters were measured side by side rather than in exactly the same column. The events associated with a temperature decrease show a variable magnitude of the change, from 10 to 50 degrees.







#	Event date and time [UT]	T before	T at onset	T after	change in T
1	2007/12/29 23:30	234 ± 8 [K]	179 ± 5 [K]	245 ± 16 [K]	decreasing
2	2008/02/28 02:50	227 ± 10 [K]	207 ± 6 [K]	215 ± 3 [K]	decreasing
3	2011/02/07 22:50	205 ± 4 [K]	187 ± 5 [K]	213 ± 8 [K]	decreasing
4	2013/12/06 21:50	223 ± 9 [K]	210 ± 0 [K]	196 ± 4 [K]	decreasing
5	2014/01/24 02:10	210 ± 5 [K]	198 ± 2 [K]	220 ± 4 [K]	decreasing
6	2014/01/24 15:20	218 ± 14 [K]	217 ± 7 [K]	222 ± 13 [K]	stable
7	2014/01/25 02:40	221 ± 4 [K]	211 ± 5 [K]	213 ± 6 [K]	decreasing
8	2019/01/06 19:50	196 ± 6 [K]	196 ± 7 [K]	177 ± 2 [K]	stable









**Table 1.** Airglow temperature values (degrees in Kelvin in 30 min resolution) before, at and after the event onsets for each EPP event. All temperature values are accompanied with the 30-min STDs. The last column indicates the observed change in the temperature over the EPP onset. The onset temperature is taken as the closest available point to the onset.






**Figure 1.** The upper panel shows the electron density as a function of altitude and time for the manda experiment on 6 January 2019, at 16:00–22:00 UT. Particle precipitation event found at 19:50 UT. The data are post-integrated to 0.6 km resolution at 80 km height to 3–4 km resolution at 100 km height and 60 s time resolution. The middle panel contains the 30-minute resolution OH temperature data and the bottom panel displays the evolution of the relative band brightness. The vertical red lines in the mark the time when the electron density enhanced at the OH airglow height.







**Figure 2.** The left column shows the averaged electron density as a function of altitude and time. The right column illustrates the electron density time evolution at the height range where the electron density enhancement was detected. The temporal evolution of the airglow temperature (middle panel) and the relative OH(6–2) band intensity in arbitrary units (bottom panel) are also included. [The temperature and intensity measurements are shown at the end of their half-hour integration time.](#) All time axes are given from 3 hours before to 3 hours after the EPP onset, which then takes place in the middle of the plot. The white gaps in the electron density plots indicate missing data.

Figure 2 shows all the EPP events for clarity. The left panels present average electron density as a function of time and altitude, for a 6 hour interval centered at the EPP onset. The right column includes the temporal evolution of the D region electron density (top panel), the airglow temperature (middle panel) and the relative OH(6–2) band intensity (bottom panel). In the line plots the electron densities are from the lowest height range where the increase by a factor 4 was detected. Brief descriptions of each event are given in the following paragraphs.

*The first event* commences at 23:30 UT on 29 December 2007 and is detected in the altitude range of 91–94 km. The electron density at the EPP event start time is  $1.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The electron precipitation lasts for about 30 min. The mesopause temperature decreases by 55 K (from 234 to 179 K) over the EPP onset time, and recovers within half an hour. The relative OH(6–2) band intensity is 148 before the event and only slightly diminishes to 133 over the EPP onset, but recovers within about 30 minutes similarly to the temperature.

*The second event* starts at 02:50 UT on 28 February 2008. The electron density enhancement is detected in the height range of 91–94 km with an onset time value of  $1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The precipitation lasts for about 40 min and is followed by another increase lasting for about 50 min (from 04:00 until 04:50 UT). An airglow temperature decrease of 20 K (from 227 K to 207 K) is observed at the EPP onset time. There is only one temperature data point available after the event onset, suggesting an increase of 10 K an hour after the EPP onset. The relative OH(6–2) band intensity decreases gradually from 2 hours before the event until the event onset time. Thus, during this event the intensity behavior is much smoother than that of the temperature. Little or no correlation is seen between the two parameters.

*The third event* is detected at 22:50 UT on 7 February 2011 at the altitude range of 91–94 km. The electron density at the EPP onset is  $1.8 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and the enhancement lasts for about 30 min. The mesopause temperature decreases from 205 K prior to the EPP to 187 K at the onset time (18 K). The minimum temperature is measured at the time of the electron density

maximum. Again, the temperature change recovers within 30 minutes. There is a mild decrease in the relative OH(6–2) band intensity (of 80 arbitrary units) during this event, but the minimum of the emission intensity is reached about half an hour after the temperature minimum, when the temperature and the electron density changes have already recovered.


195 *The fourth event* starts at 21:50 UT on 6 December 2013. It is detected in the altitude range of 87–90 km with an electron density value of  $9.9 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The temperature decreases by 13 K (from 223 to 210 K) over the event onset time. Both temperature and electron density values recover within ~~30 minutes~~ one hour. The relative band intensity peaks at 1319 half an hour before the onset, decreases to 1011 at the onset and minimizes at 864 after the electron density maximum. A positive correlation between the temperature and band intensity is found in this case.

200 *The fifth event* starts at 02:10 UT on 24 January 2014 at an altitude range of 87–90 km. The electron density at the precipitation onset is  $9.5 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^{-3}$ , and stays slightly elevated for about two hours after the initial enhancement, which only lasts for about 30 minutes. The mesopause temperature undergoes a decrease of about 12 K, from 210 K prior to the event to 198 K at the EPP onset. The temperature recovery follows that of the initial enhancement in electron density. The OH(6–2) band intensity decreases from 1890 to 1551 already an hour prior to the EPP onset. Similar to the temperature evolution, the emission intensity recovers within half an hour after the onset. There is a mild positive correlation between the temperature  
205 and band intensity values.

*The sixth event* commences at 15:20 UT on 24 January 2014 in the altitude range of 87–90 km. The electron density enhancement is seen in a single profile only (10 min lifetime), with the value of  $1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The mesopause temperature undergoes mild fluctuations, but all changes are well within the errors and thus, this event is classified as stable. The relative OH band intensity strongly increases (from 460 to 1079) from one hour before to half an hour before the event. After the event onset  
210 a more steady and gentle increase in the emission intensity is seen. A more persistent mesospheric electron density enhancement below 95 km may refer to an evolution of sporadic E layer which can affect the temperature evolution. Furthermore, the short lifetime of the electron density enhancement is a good candidate to explain the temperature stability.

*The seventh event* begins at 02:40 UT on 25 January 2014. It is detected at an altitude range of 87–90 km with an electron density value of  $2.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The electron density enhancement is strong for about 20 min and remains at an elevated level  
215 for the next couple of hours. The mesopause temperature undergoes a minor decreases of 10 K (from 221 K to 211 K) over the event onset. The OH band intensity values keep constant with respect to the intensities an hour before the EPP event.

*The eighth event* starts at 19:50 UT on 6 January 2019 and is detected in the altitude range of 91–94 km. The electron density at the EPP onset is  $1.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$ , and the enhancement lasts for about 30 minutes. The mesopause temperature does not initially decrease when comparing the level before the precipitation to that when the electron density is first enhanced.  
220 Nevertheless, there is a decrease of 19 K (from 196 K to 177 K) at about an hour past the event onset. The relative OH(6–2) band intensity at the event onset is 54 units higher than the level before the event onset time, but stays at a constant level throughout the analysis window.



**Figure 3.** This figure illustrates the average temperature and intensity response to the EPP onset. The upper left panel shows a scatter plot of the electron density and the airglow temperature values (before, at and after the EPP onset). The superposed epoch of the airglow temperature in the lower left panel (airglow intensity, lower right panel) includes the 25% (lower red line), 50% (blue) and 75% (upper red line) percentiles of the temperature (intensity) for all eight events. The zero epoch time corresponds to the EPP onset. Each 30 min epoch time bin contains 3–7 temperature (intensity) values, maximizing around the zero epoch time.

An overall temperature response to the EPP onsets is shown in Figure 3 by a scatter plot (upper panel) and superposed epoch analysis (lower panel). The scatter plot includes simultaneous temperature and electron density value pairs around the EPP onset time, from 1 hour before to 2 hours after, for all eight events. The result is an anti-correlation between the electron density and the airglow temperature with a large range of variation. The average temporal evolution of the temperature response is seen in the superposed epoch analysis from 3 hours before to 3 hours after the precipitation onset (bottom left). The zero epoch time (EPP onset) shows the lowest temperature both in the median (blue) and the lower percentile (lower red) curves. This immediate temperature decrease is of the order of 10 degrees and recovers within half an hour after the EPP onset. The upper percentile does not show a clear signature of a temperature decrease, which may be due to slightly different timing of the minima as well as the averaging over a time comparable to the temperature change (30 min). The median, upper percentile and lower percentile temporal intensity evolution (bottom right) shows local minima at zero epoch time.

## 5 Discussion

We have found and analyzed eight electron precipitation events which reached the mesopause and had a good coverage of co-located OH airglow temperature data. Table 1 shows that 7 out of 8 EPP events analyzed in this study were accompanied by a decrease in the mesopause temperature by 10–50 K at the EPP onset. The pre-EPP temperature level (temperature values 1 hour before the event) varied between 196 and 234 K, and the temperature decreased to the range of 177–217 K.

The winter mesopause is characterized by a large temperature variability (of the order of 10 K, Suzuki et al. (2010b)) due to gravity wave propagation. It is thus understandable that there is no consensus of the temperature response to the energetic particle precipitation when any minor change is likely to be lost in the highly variable background conditions. The temperature responses found in this study, however, are often larger than 10 degrees. The short lifetime (<60 minutes) of the observed temperature responses does not allow this signature to be detected in any analysis utilizing hourly or daily averaged temperature data. For instance, the fast temperature decrease and equally quick recovery shown by the superposed epoch analysis (bottom left panel of Figure 3) would not be seen as a change in hourly or daily averaged epoch.

The first event (top panel of Figure 2) shows a strong decrease in the mesopause temperature at the time of the EPP onset. Similarly, in the third and fourth event very quiet ionospheric conditions (low electron density) are seen prior to the EPP onset, and the connection between the electron density enhancements and the temperature changes is particularly clear. This is a typical behavior in our set of events. It is further demonstrated by the anti-correlation between the airglow temperature and the electron density seen in the top panel of Figure 3.

In the second, fifth, and seventh event the short-lasting electron density enhancements are followed by longer lasting but gently elevated levels of electron densities. In all these cases the electron fluxes reaching the E region heights (above 100 km) are high for a couple of hours after the EPP event, but only at the very beginning of the precipitation event did they reach the altitudes below 100 km. Consequently, the temperature behaviour is smooth and steady apart from the short-term change around the EPP onset.

255 Our *sixth event* and *eighth event* was classified as stable in terms of the mesospheric temperature response. The electron density increase in *sixth event* has the shortest lifetime of all our events, as it is seen in one 10-min profile only. The lifetime of the electron bombardment may thus be the key factor determining whether a measurable neutral temperature response is seen.

A statistical study on high-latitude OH airglow temperatures and emission intensities by Shepherd et al. (2007) shows a strong positive correlation between the two parameters in the time scales from hours to seasons. This is explained by vertical motion of the airglow layer driven by atmospheric dynamics. For instance, as an airglow layer undergoes downward motion the adiabatic heating increases its temperature. The lower peak emission height coincides with higher mixing ratio of oxygen and therefore, enhances the production of the excited OH. The temperature changes observed in our study take place in shorter time scales. The correlation between the OH(6–2) temperature and the relative band intensity of 30-minute averaged data can be visually inspected in Figure 2. While a positive correlation can be seen between the two parameters in case of the *fourth* and *fifth* event, no significant correlation across the entire event set was found (data not shown). As the scatter plot includes data points from one hour before to two hours after the onset time, the lack of scatter correlation suggests that there is no longer-term or periodic coherent behaviour between temperature and brightness within the examined time period. The synchronous decrease in temperature and brightness seen in the epoch curves is a short-term feature, which does not dominate the scatter. A periodic out-of-phase relationship between temperature and brightness, which has been observed for non-EPP conditions Suzuki et al. (2010a) would result in low correlation but would not explain the synchronous decrease at onset.

An increase in the mesospheric temperature during particle precipitation would agree with the Joule heating effect suggested by earlier studies (Nesse Tyssøy et al., 2010). In fact, a temperature increase of about 10 K was observed in the study by Suzuki et al. (2010b). In our study, however, the mesopause temperature responded to the particle impact with a decrease of about 20 K in 6 out of 8 events. A way to explain the temperature change Suzuki et al. (2010b) discussed was that the EPP ionisation changes the mesospheric chemical composition by decreasing the population of excited OH at the top of the layer. As a consequence, the peak height of the airglow changes and the temperatures are probed at weighted by lower altitudes than before. The energetic electron impact can dissociate oxygen and ozone molecules in the mesosphere (e.g. Turunen et al., 2016). When less O<sub>3</sub> is available, less excited OH molecules are produced as ozone is a key ingredient in the production of excited hydroxyl:



where  $v'$  corresponds to the upper vibrational level of the OH molecule, which in our case is 6. The dissociation of molecular oxygen and ozone by energetic electrons can therefore lead to a decrease in the emission of the OH airglow. The fitted rotational OH temperature corresponds to the height of the airglow layer. The peak is assumed to be at about 87 km. If, however, the production of excited OH is temporarily prohibited at the top part of the airglow layer, the temperature will then represent the layer, which is now centered at lower heights. Depending on the local gradient in the mesospheric temperature profile, this may lead to increased or decreased temperature value. In this scenario, the relative OH(6–2) band intensity would decrease as the airglow layer becomes thinner, which is true for most of the events analyzed in this study. In particular at the top of the mesosphere the temperature can vary on the order of 10 K over a height range of a few kilometers.



According to the superposed epoch behaviour in Figure 3, a typical temperature decrease at the event onset is about 20 K, while that for the relative band brightness is about 20%. On a Gaussian airglow profile (as for instance depicted by Suzuki et al. (2010b) in their Figure 4) an intensity reduction of 20% would correspond to thinning of the airglow layer by about 2 km, and a gradient in the temperature profile of about 10 K/km. While no measured mesospheric temperature profiles were available during the events analysed here, browsing polar night temperature measurements by SABER/TIMED spacecraft showed that a downward temperature decrease by 5–10 K/km at the airglow height is not uncommon. However, the first event with a temperature decrease of 50 K is not realistically explained by the depletion of OH alone.

Our results together with the previous results by Suzuki et al. (2010b) present an inconsistent temperature response to EPP. Therefore, a larger number of events should be collected and examined to conclude if the OH airglow layer favors a height region with a positive temperature gradient upwards. Furthermore, an immediate temperature response and its fast recovery suggests that the longer-term and larger-scale heat balance in the mesosphere is little affected by EPP, unless the actual precipitation has a substantial lifetime (hours to days).

## 6 Conclusions

A total of 10220 hours of electron density measurements were browsed in the search of enhancements due to energetic electron precipitation (EPP) events with simultaneous temperature calculations from OH airglow measurements. A total of eight events of electron density enhancements were found and analyzed in this study. Although the number of events is not statistically sound, the results are systematically pointing to a very short-term EEP effect on neutral temperature based on co-located measurements and in particular, direct electron precipitation measurements. We investigated the existence of any coherent behaviour between the electron density enhancements at the D region heights and the mesopause temperature. The response of the mesopause temperature on the EPP energy deposition is predominately (7 out of 8 events) an immediate decrease of 10–50 K, which recovers within ~~30 to~~ less than 60 minutes after the EPP onset. The temperature decrease found in this study, together with a temperature increase in a previous study is interpreted as an EPP ionisation decreasing the production of the excited OH at the top of the airglow layer. As a consequence, the airglow layer becomes thinner, the peak height is reduced and the airglow temperatures correspond to lower altitudes. Investigating the change in the relative OH(6–2) band intensity shows a decrease during the majority of our EPP events and thus supports the thinning scenario as a valid mechanism for changing the measured temperature. Furthermore, the relative OH brightness values are only poorly correlated with the temperatures in the time scales of a few hours, which is not in agreement with purely dynamically driven temperature changes. Given the short-lived characteristic of atmospheric temperature change, EPP may not have climate effects except for long-lasting events.

*Data availability.* The temperature data are available as quicklooks plots online at [kho.unis.no](http://kho.unis.no). The EISCAT Svalbard Radar data have been downloaded from <https://eiscat.se> and are the intellectual property of the EISCAT Scientific Association. The EISCAT raw data files are analyzed by using the Grand Unified Incoherent Scatter Design and Analysis Package (GUISDAP) Lehtinen and Huuskonen (1996)

*Author contributions.* Florine Enengl carried out the analysis of the data and the writing of the paper. Noora Partamies proposed the idea of the study, fitted and pre-analyzed the airglow temperature data, shared her expertise and together with Nickolay Ivchenko took part in the discussions, interpretations, planning and structure of the work. Lisa Baddeley advised and helped describing and analysing the EISCAT data. All co-authors helped in the writing process with comments, suggestions and edits on the paper.

325 *Competing interests.* No competing interests are present.

*Disclaimer.* The data and figures have been used in the MSc thesis by F. Enengl, available through <http://kth.diva-portal.org/>

*Acknowledgements.* The work by NP & LB is supported by the Research Council of Norway (NRC) under CoE contract 223252, and NP is further supported by the NRC contract 287427. The authors thank Fred Sigernes and Mikko Syrjäsuo for the maintenance of the OH airglow spectrometer. EISCAT is an international association supported by research organisations in China (CRIRP), Finland (SA), Japan (NIPR and  
330 ISEE), Norway (NFR), Sweden (VR), and the United Kingdom (UKRI). The authors thank Ingemar Häggström for his assistance with the EISCAT data.

## References

- Andrews, D. G.: An Introduction to Atmospheric Physics Second Edition, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS, 2010.
- 335 Blelly, P. L., Alcayd , D., and van Eyken, A. P.: A new analysis method for determining polar ionosphere and upper atmosphere characteristics from ESR data: Illustration with IPY period, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 115, A09322, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JA014876>, 2010.
- Cho, Y. and Shepherd, G. G.: Correlation of airglow temperature and emission rate at Resolute Bay (74.68 N), over four winters (2001–2005), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, n/a–n/a, 2006.
- 340 Cresswell-Moorcock, K., Rodger, C. J., Kero, A., Collier, A. B., Clilverd, M. A., Haggstrom, I., and Pitkanen, T.: A reexamination of latitudinal limits of substorm-produced energetic electron precipitation, *Journal of Geophysical Research. Space Physics*, 118, 6694–6705, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50598>, 2013.
- Gavriljeva, G. and Ammosov, P.: Influence of geomagnetic activity on mesopause temperature over Yakutia, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 3363–3367, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3363-2018>, 2018.
- 345 Holmen, S., Dyrland, M., and Sigernes, F.: Mesospheric temperatures derived from three decades of hydroxyl airglow measurements from Longyearbyen, Svalbard (78 N), *Acta Geophysica*, 62, 302–315, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JA009023>, 2014.
- Holmen, S. E., Dyrland, M., and Sigernes, F.: Mesospheric temperatures derived from three decades of hydroxyl airglow measurements from Longyearbyen, Svalbard (78N), *Acta Geophysica*, 62, <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11600-013-0159-4>, 2013.
- Lehtinen and Huuskonen: First experiences of full-profile analysis with GUIDAP, *Annales Geophysicae, European Geosciences Union*, pp. 1487–1495, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-996-1487-3>, 1996.
- 350 Mulligan, F., E. Dyrland, M., F. S., and S. Deehr, C.: Inferring hydroxyl layer peak heights from ground-based measurements of OH(6–2) band integrated emission rate at Longyearbyen (78 N, 16 E), *Annales Geophysicae*, 27, <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-4197-2009>, 2009.
- Nesse Tyss y, H., Stadsnes, J., S rb , M., Mertens, C. J., and Evans, D. S.: Changes in upper mesospheric and lower thermospheric temperatures caused by energetic particle precipitation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015427>, 2010.
- 355 Rapp, M., Leitert, L., Latteck, R., Zecha, M., Hoffmann, P., H ffner, J., Hoppe, U., La Hoz, C., and Thrane, E. V.: Localized mesosphere-stratosphere-troposphere radar echoes from the E region at 69 N: Properties and physical mechanisms, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 116, n/a–n/a, 2011.
- Shepherd, G., Cho, Y.-M., and Liu, G.: Correlations of mesospheric variability and their relation to the large-scale circulation during polar winter, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 69, 2279–2291, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.06.007>, 2007.
- 360 Sigernes, F., Shumilov, N., Deehr, C. S., Nielsen, K. P., Sven e, T., and Havnes, O.: Hydroxyl rotational temperature record from the auroral station in Adventdalen, Svalbard (78N, 15E), *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 108, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JA009023>, 2003.
- Suzuki, H., Tomikawa, Y., Taguchi, M., Nakamura, T., and Tsutsumi, M.: Variations of OH rotational temperature over Syowa Station in the austral winter of 2008, *Earth, Planets and Space*, 62, 655–661, 2010a.
- 365 Suzuki, H., Tsutsumi, M., Nakamura, T., and Taguchi, M.: The increase in OH rotational temperature during an active aurora event, *Annales Geophysicae*, 28, 705–710, <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-28-705-2010>, <https://www.ann-geophys.net/28/705/2010/>, 2010b.
- Tjulin, A.: EISCAT experiments, <https://www.eiscat.se/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Experiments.pdf>, 2017.

- 370 Turunen, E., Kero, A., Verronen, P. T., Miyoshi, Y., Oyama, S.-I., and Saito, S.: Mesospheric ozone destruction by high-energy electron precipitation associated with pulsating aurora, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 11,852–11,861, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025015>, <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JD025015>, 2016.
- Wannberg, G., Wolf, I., Vanhainen, L. G., Koskenniemi, K., Röttger, J., Postila, M., Markkanen, J., Jacobsen, R., Stenberg, A., Larsen, R., Eliassen, S., Heck, S., and Huuskonen, A.: The EISCAT Svalbard radar: A case study in modern incoherent scatter radar system design, *Radio Science*, 32, 2283–2307, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97RS01803>, 1997.