Terrestrial ion escape and relevant circulation in space

Masatoshi Yamauchi

Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Box 812, S-98128 Kiruna, Sweden **Correspondence:** M. Yamauchi (M.Yamauchi@irf.se)

Abstract. Observations of the terrestrial ion escape to space the transport of escaping ions in the magnetosphere are reviewed, with main stress on subjects that were covered in reviews over past two decades although Cluster significantly improved out knowledge of them. Here, outflowing ions from the ionosphere are classified in terms of energy rather than location: (1) as cold ions refilling the plasmasphere faster than Jeans escape, (2) as cold supersonic ions such as the polar wind, and (3) as

5 suprathermal ions energized by wave-particle interaction or parallel potential acceleration, mainly starting from cold supersonic ions. The majority of the suprathermal ions above the ionosphere become "hot" at high altitudes with much higher velocity than the escape velocity even for heavy ions. This makes heavy hot ions more abundant in the magnetosphere than heavy ions transported by cold refilling ions or cold supersonic flow.

The immediate destination of these terrestrial ions varies from the plasmasphere, the inner magnetosphere including those entering the ionosphere in the other hemisphere and the tailward outer boundaries, the magnetotail, and the solar wind (magnetosheath and cusp/plasma mantle). Due to time variable return from the magnetotail, ions with different routes and energy meet in the inner magnetosphere, making it a zoo of different types of ions in both energy and energy distribution. While the massindependent drift theory has successfully disentangled this zoo of ions, there are many poorly understood phenomena, e.g., mass-dependent energization. Half of the heavy ions in this zoo also finally escape to space, mainly due to magnetopause shad-

15 owing (overshooting of ion drift beyond the magnetopause) and charge exchange near the mirror altitude where the exospheric neutral density is at its highest.

The amount of heavy ions mixing directly with the solar wind is already the same or larger than that entering into the magnetotail, and is large enough to extract the solar wind kinetic energy in the cusp/plasma mantle through the mass-loading effect and drive the current system near the cusp independently from the global current system. Considering the past solar and solar wind conditions, ion escape might even have influenced the evolution of the terrestrial biosphere.

20

Copyright statement. TEXT

1 Introduction

Circulation and roles of ionospheric heavy ions have long been an important subject in the magnetospheric physics since they are found almost everywhere in the magnetosphere (Chappell et al., 1972, Shelley et al., 1972), including the high-latitude

- 25 magnetosheath and plasma mantle (Lundin, 1985, Eklund et al., 1997). There are many studies on this problem with many reviews, for examples, on refilling and dynamics of the plasmaspheric cold ions (Darrouzet et al., 2009; Welling et al., 2015), the polar wind and cold supersonic ion outflow (Yau et al., 2007; Moore and Horwitz, 2007; André, 2015), the other outflow from the ionosphere to the magnetosphere (Moore et al., 1999a; Lotko, 2007; Maggiolo, 2015; Welling et al., 2015), and plasma sheet dynamics including energization in the magnetotail and resultant ring current ions in the inner magnetosphere
- 30 (Blanc et al., 1999; Walker et al., 1999; Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003; Kronberg et al., 2014). However, very few reviews mention the total escape rate of the ionospheric ions to space (Moore and Horwitz, 2007; André, 2015) and no review discusses its quantitative importance on the atmospheric evolution, in contrast to the cases for Mars (Jakosky et al., 2015). To estimate this "ion budget" problem, two key subjects have been missing from the reviews during the past two decades: the outflowing ions directly accessing the solar wind in the magnetosheath, cusp, and outer part of plasma mantle, and the fate of trapped hot ions
- 35 that have much lower energy than the ordinary ring current, although a substantial amount of these ions will be lost to space (Dandouras et al., 2018).

The Cluster orbit and instrumentation allowed us to investigate these missing subjects with statistical significance, making it possible to synthesize these observations in the context of this ion budget problem. This paper synthesizes these missing parts that have been revealed by Cluster, to obtain the ion escape rate to space and its consequences that have been overlooked in

40 the past. Acceleration mechanisms for the outflowing ions such as wave-particle interactions (Lundin and Guglielmi, 2006), electrostatic field and centrifugal acceleration (Cladis, 1986) are not covered in this paper, and hence the roles of electrons (e.g., Strangeway et al., 2005) are not discussed. Similarly, numerical simulations of O⁺ tracing (e.g., Moore et al., 2014) are not covered

Since the Cluster Ion Spectrometory COmposition DIstribution Function (CIS/CODIF) instrument is not designed to separate more than four main species H⁺, He⁺⁺, He⁺, and atomic ions of the CNO group (Rème et al., 2001), all heavy ions are pedagogically called oxygen ions O⁺ as is the usual convention, although nitrogen ions (N⁺ and N₂⁺) become significant during geomagnetic storms (Hamilton et al., 1988; Yau and Whalen, 1992). The paper is organized based on my Bartels Medal lecture at EGU General Assembly 2019, as following with stress on Sects. 4 and 5.

- 1. Introduction
- 50 2. Ion outflow from the ionosphere
 - 3. Destinations of the outflow
 - 4. Inner magnetosphere at L < 6: zoo of many processes
 - 5. Consequences of large amount of direct ion escape
 - 6. Discussion
- 55 7. Conclusion

2 Ion outflow from the ionosphere

Outflow of ionospheric heavy ions are commonly observed at high latitudes (e.g., Moore et al., 1999a, André, 2015). These outflowing ions are often classified by the source localtion, but they can also be classified by detection method: (1) cold filling to the plasmasphere (Park, 1974; Welling et al., 2015), (2) cold supersonic outflow to the inner magnetosphere and magnetotail such as polar wind (Su et al., 1998; Engwall et al., 2006; 2009), and (3) ions with suprathermal energy above the

ionosphere (e.g., Eliasson et al., 1994) or with higher energy at higher altitude (e.g., Möbius et al., 1998). This paper follows this classification.

2.1 Cold filling

60

The cold filling flow along the magnetic field to the plasmasphere has long been implied from the time profile of the local

- 65 plasmaspheric density with a drastic decrease during substorms and gradual recovery over days during quiet periods (Craven et al., 1997; Darrouzet et al., 2009; Sandel, 2011). In addition, continuous refilling of the plasmaspheric outward wind is also expected (Dandouras et al., 2013). Direct detection of refilling flow has been tried (Singh and Horwitz, 1992; Watanabe et al., 1992), using the distribution function method and an assumption of the same E×B drift velocity between different species to overcome the spacecraft potential. The estimated field-aligned flow velocities was less than 1 km/s (which means less than 0.02)
- 70 eV for He⁺), i.e., subsonic, while this could be underestimated (S. Watanabe, private communication, 2019) and this problem is still an open issue.

The refill rate has been estimated from the loss rate of the plasmaspheric ions for both sporadic plumes of 10^{27} s⁻¹ for about 5-10% of time (Sandel and Denton, 2007; Darrouzet at al., 2008) and continuous loss of maximum 5×10^{26} s⁻¹ (Dandouras et al., 2013), leading to a total rate of about 6×10^{26} s⁻¹. The composition is also estimated as 90% of thermal H⁺ and 10% of

75 He⁺, with only 1-5% of O⁺ abundance (Darrouzet et al., 2009; Gallagher et al., 2016). The abundance of O⁺ in the refilling flux indicates that the process is much more effective than that of the Jeans escape. However, the refilling mechanism is not yet completely understood (Darrouzet et al., 2009; Gallagher et al., 2016).

2.2 Cold supersonic outflow

The cold supersonic outflow here means the flow of ions with kinetic energy much higher than thermal energy but lower than the satellite potential when it is positive, i.e., inside dense plasma under sunlit conditions. For the Cluster case, active potential control did not help detect it (Sauvaud et al., 2004). In addition to the methods that are described in the previous subsection, Engwall et al. (2006) found a new method to obtain the bulk velocity and flux of this outflowing cold supersonic outflow in the lobe region where the density is very low. The obtained typical velocity is about 25 km/s (3 eV) at $10-15 R_E$ from the Earth, as shown in Fig. 1 (Engwall et al., 2009). The increasing velocity with distance is consistent with centrifugal acceleration (Cladis,

85 1986), and with 10 km/s velocity at > 5000 km altitude in the dayside polar cap for H^+ (Abe et al., 1993; Su et al., 1998) and hence the polar wind (Pollock et al., 1990; Yau et al., 2007, and references therein). This flow increases with increases of F10.7



Figure 1. Altitude dependence of outward parallel velocity of cold supersonic flow observed by Cluster (Engwall et al., 2009)

flux, solar wind dynamic pressure, Kp, and southward IMF (B_z), in a consistent manner with DE-1 and Akebono observations (Yau et al., 2007 and references therein).

The O⁺/H⁺ density ratio decreases quickly with altitude, while the upward velocity ratio $(V_{O\parallel}/V_{H\parallel})$ gradually increases 90 toward the unity with altitudes above > 5000 km (Abe et al., 1993, Yau et al., 2007). Slow O⁺ stays at low-altitude longer and thus experiences the wave-particle interaction longer than fast H⁺, and low-frequency waves accelerate different species by the same velocity kick (Lundin and Guglielmi, 2006). Therefore, the velocity-filtered O⁺ has a velocity similar to H⁺ at higher altitude, and a higher fraction of O⁺ than H⁺ becomes hot before it is convected to the lobe region.

2.3 Suprathermal and hot outflows

- 95 The last category (suprathermal ions and hot ions) is the one that can be directly detected by the ion instruments. In this paper, suprathermal ions and hot ions are not subdivided because the former is further accelerated to become the latter at > 6 R_E distance (Lennartsson et al., 2004; Arvelius et al., 2005; Nilsson et al., 2006) or during travel to the other hemisphere (Cattell et al., 2002; Hultqvist, 2002; Yamauchi et al., 2005b). On the other hand, cold supersonic outflow and hot outflow are well separated in the magnetotail or plasma sheet according to the very few direct observations that identified both components 100 (Olsen, 1992; Seki et al., 2003). The largest ionospheric sources of the suprathermal and hot ion outflows are around the
- dayside cusp and the nightside auroral oval (Moore et al., 1999a; Peterson et al., 2001).

For the dayside hot outflow, statistics at mid and high altitudes indicate that different species (H⁺ and O⁺) are accelerated by the same velocity kick rather than by the same energy gain (Abe et al., 1993; Lennartsson et al., 2004; Nilsson et al., 2006). Polar apogee observations at 8 R_E, which is normally in the polar cap, showed $V_{O\parallel}/V_{H\parallel}$ about 0.3-0.6 (Su et al., 1998),

which is also larger than 0.25 that corresponds to the same energy. The outflow flux is higher for O^+ than He⁺ (e.g., Abe et al., 1993), with a flux ratio (F_O/F_H) of about 0.1 for the suprathermal energy range (thermal ion instrument for < 50 eV) and is close to 1 for the hot ion energy range of > few eV up to tens of keV (Moore et al., 1999a; Curry et al., 2003; Peterson et al., 2001; Sandhu et al., 2016). Unless the velocity filter effect makes such a variation, all these observations indicate non-thermal



Figure 2. Energy-time spectrograms for heavy ions (marked as O^+), protons, and electrons on 21 February 1994 observed by Freja in the dayside. Kp was 7+ and the IMF $B_Y < -50$ nT, which moved the northern cusp dawnward and southern cusp duskward (Yamauchi et al., 2005b)

energization mechanisms (Moore et al., 1999a; Lennartsson et al., 2004; Lundin and Guglielmi, 2006; Waara et al., 2011) such as wave-particle interaction (with minor contribution by the centrifugal acceleration).

There is also one case study that observed the outflowing ions both before and after such accelerations, when the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) was extremely dawnward. This condition shifted the northern cusp toward prenoon and the southern cusp toward postnoon, and hence the ion outflow from the southern cusp was detected as the ion inflow in the northern postnoon, well separated from the northern cusp signature, as shown in Fig. 2 (Yamauchi et al., 2005b).

- While the outflowing energy from the northern cusp is similar between O^+ and H^+ , the energy ratio of inflowing O^+ and H^+ 115 is nearly 15 when comparing the same location, and about ~ 20 when comparing the energies of the most intense injections. Thus the ions are accelerated to the same velocity rather than the same energy in the magnetosphere even after considering the possible velocity filter effect. Therefore, the energization of the dayside hot ion outflow at mid- and high-altitudes must be mainly by waves or other non-thermal processes. This applies even at low-altitudes because the majority of the dayside 120 outflowing ions at low altitudes are conic-like at low altitude rather than beam-like (Norqvist et al., 1996; Peterson et al.,

125

2008).

110

On the other hand, a substantial portion of the nightside hot outflow from the auroral zone, for which the total amount is already one orders less than the dayside outflow from around the cusp and prenoon auroral region (Peterson et al., 2001; Yau et al., 2007 and references therein), is in the beam form (Norqvist et al., 1998; Peterson et al., 2006), and is easier to return back to the ionosphere in the other hemisphere. For the velocity ratio, we expect $V_{O\parallel}/V_{H\parallel} = 0.25$ after acceleration by the parallel

electric potential, and hence O⁺ moving more downstream than H⁺ compared to the mapping location along the geomagnetic field due to the velocity filter effect.

3 **Destinations of the outflow**

This section describes the immediate destinations of the outflow but not the final destinations. Unlike above the ionosphere, cold ions in the magnetosphere have been observed by traditional hot ion instruments and hence on the very few occasions 130

when the number density is high; for examples in the eclipse (Seki et al., 2003) and during bulk motion by Pc5 pulsation (Hirahara et al., 2004) or strong $E \times B$ drift (Sauvaud et al., 2001, Yamauchi et al., 2009a).

3.1 Cold filling to plasmasphere

The destination of cold filling is mainly the plasmasphere as mentioned in Sect. 2.1. The refilling rate is estimated from 135 the recovery of plasmasphere after losing a massive amount of cold ions as plasmaspheric plumes (Sandel and Denton, 2007; Darrouzet at al., 2008) or outward wind (Dandouras et al., 2013) because they are most likely lost to space rather than returning.

3.2 Known destination for cold supersonic outflow

The destination of the cold supersonic outflow is not yet clear except the tail plasma sheet because measurement is possible only in the low-density lobe region (Engwall et al., 2006; André, 2015). Engwall et al. (2009) derived the total flux flowing in the lobe and its Kp dependency and solar wind dependency. Their results can be scaled to roughly $3 \exp(0.23 \text{Kp}) \times 10^{25} \text{ s}^{-1}$

for H⁺, with very low ($\leq 1\%$) O⁺/H⁺ ratio.

In the lobe, the plasma convection across the geomagnetic field cannot be ignored compared to the outflow velocity, making the destination significantly different between species by the velocity filter effect (Chappell et al., 1987) unless they accelerated to the same velocity. Therefore, O^+ is bent more toward the lower latitude than H^+ if they could reach the tail plasma sheet.

145 This explains the recent observations of higher O^+/H^+ flux ratios of trapped ions at lower energy and at lower geocentric distance in the inner magnetosphere (Claudepierre et al., 2016; Kistler and Mouikis, 2016) despite the low O^+ content in this flow, because adiabatic energization in the tail is larger for more distant start point, i.e., larger for H^+ than O^+ if the destination are different (Ejiri, 1978, Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003).

3.3 Various destinations for hot outflow

150 For the suprathermal and hot ions, the destination depends on the starting location and conditions in the ionosphere. Therefore, we consider the nightside outflow differently from the dayside outflow.

The dayside outflow has wide destinations, covering the tail plasma sheet, the plasma mantle, and even the magnetosheath (Shelley et al., 1972; Lundin 1985; Eklund et al., 1997). Among these destinations, direct loss to space through the plasma mantle and magnetosheath has been underestimated before the Cluster observations, which showed that the amount is signifi-

155 cant. The total hot O⁺ flux into plasma mantle and magnetosheath is as large as 10^{25-26} s⁻¹ (Nilsson et al., 2012; Slapak et al., 2017a) and is larger than the total hot O⁺ flux into the tail plasma sheet (Slapak et al., 2017b, Slapak and Nilsson, 2018). Geotail found mantle-like populations in the distant-tail plasma sheet beyond -150 R_E (Maezawa and Hori, 1998). They are flowing anti-sunward, suggesting that the heavy ions in the plasma mantle are generally lost to space.

160

140

The Cluster statistics of the solar (F10.7 flux), solar wind, and Kp dependences of these O^+ outflows in these regions show that solar wind dynamic pressure (Fig. 3), solar wind coupling function, and Kp are the most influencing parameters, with 1.5 orders of magnitude difference between quiet and active cases (Slapak et al., 2017a; Schillings et al., 2019). The IMF Bz



Figure 3. Cluster statistics of hot heavy ions (O^+) escape to space in the plasma mantle and magnetosheath. Total escape flux of the heavy ions is plotted as a function of the solar wind dynamic pressure for different IMF clock angles (CA), which is defined as 0° for northward IMF (Schillings et al, 2019)

dependence is not as drastic as the dependence solar wind dynamic pressure, as shown in Fig. 3. These results are generally consistent with previous statistics of suprathermal or hot ion outflow at lower altitudes near the cusp (Moore et al., 1999a; Cully et al., 2003; Lennartsson et al., 2004).

- 165 However, Schillings et al. (2019) also found that the dependence on F10.7 flux is very weak. This is quite different from drastic F10.7 dependence of the suprathermal O⁺ outflow at lower altitudes (Cully et al., 2003) or cold supersonic flow (Engwall et al., 2009). The difference indicates the following scenario: the extra acceleration by the wave-particle interaction and the wave activity reaching there does not depend on solar EUV but strongly on the solar wind's mechanical (dynamic pressure) and electric (coupling function) energies. Ions that received such acceleration may reach the exterior cusp and the
- 170 plasma mantle before the velocity filter effect bends them toward the nightside or low-latitudes. In fact, the X flares enhance only the ion density and temperature without enhancement of ion outflow, which increases after the arrival of solar energetic particle events or coronal mass ejections (Yamauchi et al., 2018).

For the O^+ outflow from the nightside ionosphere, the majority of ion beams after being accelerated by the parallel electric potential drops are expected to re-enter the ionosphere in the opposite hemisphere as mentioned in Sect. 2.3. In fact, Freja at

175 1700 km altitude detected injecting ions with similar energy between H⁺ and O⁺ ($V_{O\parallel}/V_{H\parallel} \sim 4$) in keV range, suggesting that they are accelerated by parallel electric potential (Hultqvist, 2002). The observation also indicates the velocity filter effect: the O⁺ injection events are found mostly inside or equatorward of the H⁺ injection events, ending up in what is traditionally



Figure 4. Summary of the destinations of ion outflow

called the central plasma sheet (CPS), a region of thermal unstructured electrons at several keV range (Winningham et al., 1975; Woch and Lundin, 1993, Yamauchi et al., 2005b), making O⁺ difficult to escape to space.

180 On the other hand, the majority of ion conics are expected to be trapped in the inner magnetosphere after mirror bouncing in the other hemisphere (Quinn and McIlwain, 1979). In fact, the inflow flux is much smaller than the outflow flux. Freja detected only about 50 O⁺ clear injection events over more than 5000 traversals over the auroral oval (Yamauchi et al., 2005b). For H⁺, statistics of DMSP at 840 km altitude of ion inflow (mainly H⁺) show 1-2 orders of magnitude smaller flux than outflow flux including the cusp (Moore et al., 1999a; Peterson et al., 2008; Newell et al., 2010), and this difference is larger in the nightside

Polar observation at 6000-9000 km altitude during quiet periods shows 30% of O⁺ escape is from the noon quadrant, while 20% as ion beams and 50% as ion conics from the other quadrant (Peterson et al., 2006; 2008). Here, the total O^+ outflow flux drastically increases with altitude due to wave-related accelerations (Lennartsson et al., 2004; Arvelius et al., 2005; Waara et al., 2011) which is strongest near the cusp (Norqvist et al., 1998; Lennartsson et al., 2004). Furthermore, dayside/nightside escape ratio increases with geomagnetic activities (Moore et al., 1999a). This makes the nightside contribution of O^+ outflow 190 to the magnetosphere much smaller than that of the dayside. In fact, Cluster observation of the inner magnetosphere (see next subsections) detected much higher fluxes of returning ions with drift signature from the tail plasma sheet than directly entered ions. Therefore, we do not include the nightside source of the O^+ when considering the total escape that becomes important only during high geomagnetic activities. This route is marked as (b2) in Fig. 4.

195 3.4 Secondary destinations from the near-Earth tail

Major destinations of different types of outflowing ions are summarized in Fig. 4. A significant number of ions enters the tail plasma sheet (marked as (c) in Fig. 4) as the primary destination for both the cold supersonic outflow (Engwall et al., 2009; André, 2015) and the suprathermal/hot outflow (Sauvaud et al., 2004; Maggiolo and Kistler, 2014; Slapak et al., 2017b). This makes the plasma sheet a mixture of terrestrial ions and solar wind ions, with time-variable O^+/H^+ ratio and fluxes for

- 200 terrestrial ions and relatively stable He⁺⁺/H⁺ ratio and fluxes for the solar wind (Lennartsson, 1997, 2001; Nosé et al., 2009). Some of these terrestrial ions keep flowing anti-sunward, particularly those which have large anti-sunward velocities along the geomagnetic field, either as continuous flow (Hirahara et al., 1996; Opitz et al., 2014) or as plasmoid (Christon et al., 1998; Kistler et al., 2010).
- The rest of the terrestrial ions in this region return to the Earth mainly as either slow convection or bursty/sporadic flows 205 (Ohtani et al., 2004; Slapak and Nilsson, 2018), with higher He⁺⁺/O⁺ ratio than the anti-sunward flow that is lost to space (Lennartsson, 2001). Once the ionospheric ions enter the plasma sheet, they are energized by heating (Sergeev et al., 1993; Lennartsson, 1997: Kistler et al., 2005) and $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$ force (Walker et al., 1999; Ohtani et al., 2004). Even the cold ions are heated to ~ 100 eV according to both direct and indirect case studies (Seki et al., 2003; Ebihara et al., 2008). Ions returning to the Earth in the plasma sheet also undergo adiabatic energization as mentioned above. Thus, cold ions in the plasma sheet gain
- 210 sufficient energy to be detected by hot ion instruments before they reach the earthward boundary of the magnetosphere, and returning ions from the tail plasma sheet are found at wide energy ranges at wide latitudes as shown in Fig. 5a. Nevertheless, it is possible to separate these two components in the inner magnetosphere because of different temporal variations between the cold source and hot source, as will be described in Sect. 4.3.

While the majority of returning O^+ enters the inner magnetosphere, some O^+ in the earthward boundary of the plasma sheet

- returns to the ionosphere (Hirahara et al., 1997, Sauvaud et al., 1999). The amount of the latter is small compared to the former because the majority of returning ions have finite pitch angles, and hence can be ignored in estimating the total escape rate. Using Cluster hot ion composition data (covering > 28 eV), Slapak et al. (2017b) derived the total sunward (earthward) and anti-sunward fluxes in the plasma sheet at X ~ -10 R_E (they actually used the Cluster crossing between -20 R_E and -10 R_E), for both H⁺ and O⁺, respectively. Slapak and Nilsson (2018) further examined O⁺ flux in the lobe. The average net flux
 (budget) of hot O⁺ in the nightside magnetosphere (lobe and plasma sheet) is 1 × 10²⁴ s⁻¹ in the anti-sunward direction as the
- 220 (budget) of hot O^+ in the nightside magnetosphere (lobe and plasma sheet) is 1×10^{24} s⁻¹ in the anti-sunward direction as the result of the high return rate (6×10^{24} s⁻¹ out of 7×10^{24} s⁻¹ anti-sunward flux). A complete result with Kp dependence is summarized in Table 1, where the average value corresponds approximately to Kp=3, which gives the exponential factor in the table as about 3.3-3.9. The amount escaping through the tail plasma sheet is one order of magnitude smaller than that through the plasma mantle and magnetosheath (Slapak et al., 2017a, Schillings et al., 2019).
- The Cluster result of the O^+ return flux near the Earth is smaller than the indirect "guess" by Seki et al. (2001), who estimated the return flux from the decrease of anti-sunward hot ion flux with distance. In addition to the orbital limitation to equator that barely covers the largest escape route established by Cluster, upper energy limit in their study was only 17 keV whereas the escaping O^+ has a wide energy range around the solar wind speed (450 km/s for 17 keV O^+) in the distant tail, as was demonstrated for the downstream of Venus (Grünwaldt et al., 1997). Thus their study missed the majority of O^+ escape flux during fast solar wind conditions, i.e., when the escape flux is high (Schillings et al., 2017, 2019).

The return flow compared to detectable anti-sunward flow in the plasma sheet is much smaller for H⁺ (earthward 1.3×10^{26} s⁻¹ with anti-sunward 5×10^{25} s⁻¹ in visible energy range) than O⁺ (Lennartsson, 2001; Slapak et al., 2017b), supporting

Table 1. Major	outflow destinatio	ns and flux of O ⁺	observed by Cluster
----------------	--------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------

primary destination	Fig. 4	energy	average (×10 ²⁵ s ⁻¹)	range (× 10^{25} s^{-1})	escape?
magnetosheath	(d)	$0.03-40 \text{ keV}^{*1}$	0.7	0.14 exp(0.45Kp)	all
plasma mantle	(d)	$0.03-40 \text{ keV}^{*1}$	2	0.82 exp(0.45Kp)	mostly
lobe	(c)	$0.03-40 \text{ keV}^{*2}$	0.3	0.07 exp(0.43Kp)	>half
lobe	(c)	supersonic*3	< 0.1	< 0.03 exp(0.23Kp)	>half
magnetotail (tailward)	(c)	$0.03-40 \text{ keV}^{*2}$	0.5	0.15 exp(0.40Kp)	all
magnetotail (earthward)	(c)	$0.03-40 \text{ keV}^{*2}$	0.6	0.18 exp(0.42Kp)	half
boundary plasma sheet	(b2)	0.03-40 keV	small		<half< td=""></half<>
inner magnetosphere	(b1)	< 10 keV	small		half
plasmasphere	(a)	cold	<2*4		mostly

*1 Slapak et al. (2017a), *2 Slapak and Nilsson (2018), *3 Engwall et al. (2009), *4 assuming ~3% abundance (no direct O⁺ observations).

the assumption of extremely low O^+/H^+ ratio for the hidden cold supersonic flow. In other words, we may safely ignore O^+ in the cold supersonic flow into the plasma sheet when discussing the total amount of escape and return, although Slapak et al. (2017b) and Slapak and Nilsson (2018) could not include such hidden flow (covering O^+ with returning velocity of > 60 km/s only).

4 Inner magnetosphere at L < 6: zoo of many processes

As illustrated in Fig. 4, all types of outflow may enter the inner magnetosphere directly or indirectly, making the inner magnetosphere a mixture of ions from different sources. Among these, ion population and dynamics in the inner magnetosphere with only one or two sources have long been studied, with good reviews for cold plasmaspheric ions (Darrouzet et al., 2009), ordinary ring current ions at > 10 keV (Daglis et al., 1999), radiation belt (Blanc et al., 1999), and theories (Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003; Kronberg et al., 2014). However, sources and dynamics of hot sub-keV ions were much less understood, partly because ions in this energy range have many different sources.

The hot ion source is not only from the plasma sheet, but can be directly supplied from the ionosphere, as mentioned in 245 Sect. 3.3. Horwitz and Chappell (1979) found field-aligned "warm" ions of about 10 eV temperature with small bulk velocity in the inner magnetosphere and its high-temperature cases are also observed by Cluster (Yamauchi et al., 2013). Local heating of the cold ions, particularly in the equatorial plane can also produce hot ions from the cold plasmaspheric ions (Olson et al., 1987). Combining together, the inner magnetosphere becomes rich in various types of sub-keV ions (Yamauchi et al., 2013). Fig. 5 shows typical Cluster observations at different magnetic local times (MLT). Thank to Cluster's quick traversal near the

250 perigee (about 4 Earth radius R_E) along the same longitude in a symmetric manner during 2001-2006, one can organize the data as a latitude-energy pattern. However, the sub-keV ion data are still complicated and vary significantly with local time.



Figure 5. Cluster examples of the energy-time spectrograms of hot ion differential energy fluxes (keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹) at different local times in the inner magnetosphere before and after its perigee (nearly 4 R_{*E*}). The heavy ions (marked as O⁺) eventually include all CNO group ions. Orbits during these observations are nearly north-south symmetric along nearly the same local time starting from the southern hemisphere.

255

This is one of the reasons why the formation mechanisms of these sub-keV ions in the inner magnetosphere have not been well studied before Cluster, particularly at L < 6 (L is equatorial distance in R_E when mapped along the geomagnetic field), although many magnetospheric missions 50-30 years ago had already detected these ions (Sauvaud et al., 1981; Chappell et al., 1982; Newell and Meng, 1986; Yamauchi et al., 2005a and references therein). This section mainly reviews this energy range that Cluster significantly improved our knowledge. Accordingly, "inner magnetosphere" means L < 6 (or invariant latitude (Inv) < 65°) in this section. For the other phenomena, readers may refer other review papers that are listed in the introduction.

4.1 Energy-dependency of ion drift

To deconvolve different sources and mechanisms, prediction of morphologies from all the known sources using ion drift simu-

260 lation is useful because ion motions in the inner magnetosphere are, thanks to the strong geomagnetic field, basically dominated by magnetic (gradient-B and curvature) drift and the $E \times B$ drift. The magnetic drift (velocity V_B) moves ions westward nearly steadily and is energy-dependent. For ion energy (W) in a dipole field (strength at equator B), it is approximately

$$V_B \propto \frac{g(\alpha) \cdot W}{B \cdot L} \tag{1}$$

where the factor $g(\alpha)$ is 2 for pitch angle $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 3 for $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$.

- The E×B drift varies in time although it is energy independent, with its velocity (V_E) proportional to E/B where E = E(t) is the electric field component perpendicular to magnetic field. The E×B drift moves ions eastward during quiet time (corotation), but a sunward drift component (westward in the dusk and eastward in the dawn) is added by an externally driven dawn-to-dusk electric field during active periods. This motion is dominant for cold plasmaspheric ions that have zero magnetic drift velocity (Goldstein, 2006; Darrouzet et al., 2008). Both drifts are mass independent, i.e., the drift velocity is the same if
- 270 the energy is the same.

285

Adding both the magnetic and $E \times B$ drifts, westward ion drift is expected for high energy (generally > 20 keV; so called ring current) or in the evening sector, and eastward for low energy (generally > 5 keV) and in the morning sector. The combination of these drifts also adiabatically energizes ions through the conservation of the adiabatic invariant as

$$\frac{W}{W_0} = \left(\frac{L}{L_0}\right)^{-g(\alpha)} \tag{2}$$

where suffix 0 denotes initial energy and location (Ejiri, 1978). Assuming a dipole field $(B \propto L^{-3})$, V_B is larger for larger L, particularly for high α .

Using a simple dipole field and dawn-dusk symmetric electric field, Ejiri et al. (1980) simulated the drift motion starting from 10 R_E and re-constructed the energy-*L* spectrogram of virtual satellite observation over *L*=2-6 for both ions and electrons. In their simulation, nose-like diminishing of the westward drifting keV ions toward the lower latitude (nose structure) and boundary of the forbidden region for the eastward drifting ions are qualitatively reproduced at 5-10 hours after the start of injection. Examples of the nose structure are shown in Figs. 5b and 5c (H⁺ 10 keV band), and examples of forbidden regions are shown in Figs. 5d–5f (< 5 keV ions).

At the energy between the westward and eastward drift regimes, we expect no ion population (Jordanova et al., 1999), as has been observed (Collin et al., 1993; Kovrazhkin et al., 1999). This "gap" energy is estimated by setting the ratio of azimuthal (ϕ) drift velocities

$$\left(\frac{V_E}{V_B}\right)_{\phi} \sim \frac{6.6 \cdot L \cdot E_r [\text{mV/m}]}{\text{g}(\alpha) \cdot \text{W}[\text{keV}]} \tag{3}$$

as unity, where E_r is the radial (duskward in the dawn sector) electric field (Yamauchi et al., 2006a). To have a new injection from the magnetotail, we need a duskward external electric field, during which the inward electric field $-E_r$ and hence W_{gap}



Figure 6. Cluster hot ion energy-time spectrograms of differential energy fluxes (keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹) during perigee traversal on 3 January 2002 (Yamauchi et al., 2012). The most field-aligned sector and the most perpendicular sectors to the geomagnetic field are plotted. The vertical arrow at the bottom indicates the crossing of the equatorial plane that is identified by the wave activity observed by WHISPER.

decrease with increasing local time starting from the dusk sector, as seen in Fig. 5. Such a local-time dependence is statistically confirmed by Viking and Cluster (Yamauchi and Lundin, 2006, Yamauchi et al., 2006a).

4.2 Dynamics of cold plasmaspheric ions

For plasmaspheric dynamics, readers may refer to the review by Darrouzet et al., (2009). Here are some extra notes from the viewpoint of the drift motion of hot but low-energy ions (< 100 eV). Since the magnetic drift velocity is zero for cold ions, the majority of the plasmaspheric ions move according to time dependent E×B drift which is a summation of convection by the external electric field and the co-rotation. A sudden enhancement of the duskward external electric field adds an inward motion in the midnight sector and outward motion in the noon sector. As the result of such a pumping force, the plasmasphere is eroded in the nightside and plume is formed in the dayside, as has been reported half a century ago. However, overall plasmaspheric dynamics (including erosion, plume formation, its detachment, and even smaller structures) had not been synthesized until IMAGE FUV succeeded taking a "photograph" of the plasmaspheric He⁺ (Goldstein, 2006). The detachment starts near

300 noon, with the outer part reaching the magnetopause and escaping to the magnetosheath (called magnetopause shadowing as described in Sect. 4.7) in the entire afternoon sector, as is also confirmed with the ion data and plasma wave data (Sauvaud et al., 2001; Darrouzet et al., 2008), while rest of the plume might detach in the nightside. This agrees with the local time

dependence of the demarcation energy between westward drift and eastward drift as mentioned above. The cold ion density at the magnetopause can be as high as $>100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ during quiet periods (Sauvaud et al., 2001).

- In addition to these cold ion convections, ions are often heated in the perpendicular direction to the magnetic field near 305 the equatorial plasmapause within a few degree latitudinal range (Olsen, 1987). These equatorially-trapped warm ions are observed during nearly all geomagnetic conditions, and are mainly found at $<5 R_E$ at all local times, i.e., in the outer part of the plasmasphere (Darrouzet et al., 2009). The development time is estimated as about one hour but it decays before drifting over a few hours in local time. Fig. 6 shows one such example observed by Cluster (Yamauchi et al., 2012). Just limited to the
- 310 geocentric distance that Cluster traversed (4.0-4.5 R_E at equator) and to ions more than 30 eV (strong heating), these ions are found in nearly half the noon-dusk traversals during solar maximum, while the probability is slightly lower in the night-dawn traversals because these ions are normally found at shorter geocentric distances there. The upper energy is normally < 100 eVfor H⁺ and < 500 eV for He⁺ with variable He⁺/H⁺ ratio for both energy (1-5) and density (0-10%). In Fig. 6, both He⁺ and H⁺ show ring distribution with nearly 90° pitch angles, while simple pancake distribution with nearly 90° pitch angles is
- more commonly observed, particularly for H^+ , indicating that more than one energization mechanism are involved. The wave 315 modes that are responsible for such energization have not been identified.

Local perpendicular heating is not limited to the equator. Cluster also observed perpendicular He⁺ heating (without H⁺ heating) away from the equator, although it is rare. Fig. 7a shows an example (Yamauchi et al., 2014a). The location and the occurrence frequency suggests that the wave mode related to this heating is different from the perpendicular heating near the

equator. The absence of H⁺ strongly suggests a mass-dependent resonance frequency, although the associated wave mode has 320 again not been identified.

4.3 Dynamics of hot ions injected from the tail plasma sheet

Before examining outflowing ions reaching directly from the ionosphere to the inner magnetosphere, we consider ions injected from the tail plasma sheet ((c) in Fig. 4), where both cold and hot components co-exist as described in Sect. 3. The basic 325 motion of these injected ions is described in Sect. 4.1, and the L-dependence of the drift velocity for both magnetic and $E \times B$ drifts through the strength and curvature of magnetic field (cf. Eq. (3) for part of this L-dependence) causes the energy-latitude dispersions as shown in Fig. 5. The actual direction of the drift (westward or eastward) depends on the start energy and the start location, because the degree of adiabatic energization depends on the start location in both the dawn-dusk (Y) direction and the anti-sunward (-X) direction (Ejiri, 1978; Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003). For example, the same energy of the ions in the tail

330

may cause a wide energy range of injected ions at the edge of the inner magnetosphere (L=6 in the paper) depending on the start location, and this energy determines the drift direction either westward or eastward.

The externally driven electric field varies much faster than the drift time over a few hours in local time, making the injection time-variable. This situation can be approximated by multiple injections. Since higher energy ions have higher magnetic drift velocities on the same L-shell, these higher energy ions arriving at the same L-shell overtakes previously arrived lower energy

335 ions. The local time of such overtaking depends on L and the electric field. The multiple band at the same L-shell can also be formed if the westward drift of high-energy ions (> 10 keV) is fast enough to make more than one round of the Earth before



Figure 7. Cluster hot ion observation of He⁺ that shows different energy-time features from those of H⁺ and O⁺. The unit of the differential energy fluxes (JE) is keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹. (a) 4 May 2004 and (b) 30 April 2005 (Yamauchi et al., 2014a). For the first event, the energy-pitch angle spectrogram is also displayed.

decaying (e.g., during major magnetic storms), but this does not happen as often as for electrons that drift around the Earth much faster than ions. Multiple bands of westward drifting ions are indeed observed (Buzulukova et al., 2002; Ebihara et al., 2004; Vallat et al., 2007), like Figs. 5b–d at around 10 keV and above 30 keV.

340 The energy (latitude) of these bands can be different between different species because the start location of ionospheric ions in the tail plasma sheet can be different between different species when the lobe convection is strong as mentioned in Sect. 3.2. Fig. 7b shows one such example. However, the majority of observations show the same energy-latitude dispersion (same energy at the same L) between different species, indicating that the start location (density distribution) in the tail plasma sheet is similar between different species, and hence that the destination of outflowing ions into the plasma sheet is similar between different species. This is reasonable for suprathermal and hot outflow because parallel



Figure 8. Energy-time spectrograms of ion differential energy flux during perigee traversal of Cluster SC-4 on 22 December 2004, and reconstruction of energy-time spectrogram by particle drift simulation (Yamauchi et al., 2009a). The simulation shows dual populations ("sub-keV" and "hot" components) with the sub-keV component varying in time much more than the hot component. The ion signatures that are not reconstructed have different sources from the tail plasma sheet (see text).

velocity is similar between different species as mentioned in Sect. 2.3. For the cold supersonic flow, no direct observation exists to examine these indications, and we need future observations.

Contrary to westward drifting ions, eastward drifting ions occupy a rather wide energy range because the $E \times B$ drift is energy-independent. Since the externally driven electric field varies with time scales shorter than the drifting time scale as mentioned above, the morphology of eastward drifting ions significantly varies in time. As the result, the demarcation energy depends strongly on the external electric field and hence the substorm activity. For severe substorms, even ions > 40 keV may drift eastward at *L*=4-5 (Yamauchi et al., 2009a).

Overlaying these band structures, we sometimes see vertical stripes with wedge-like energy-latitude dispersions at energies below the westward drifting band in energy-time spectrograms ("wedge-like structure"), such as Fig. 8a. These vertical stripes with dispersions are found mainly from the midnight to the morning sectors, with smaller dispersion at closer to the local midnight, as in Fig. 5a. Sometimes, the westward drifting band also ends with similar wedge-like structure as these stripes, particularly in the noon to afternoon sectors, as in Fig. 5d.

In observations, there are three different dispersion patterns of these wedge-like structures (Ebihara et al., 2001; Yamauchi et al., 2005a): increasing energy with *L*, as in Figs. 5e and 8, decreasing energy with *L*, as in Fig. 5d, and first increasing and then decreasing energy with *L*, as in Fig. 5c. Since the electric field in the inner magnetosphere can be modelled (Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003; and references therein), one can even estimate the energy distribution at a specific boundary (e.g., 8-10 R_E where the azimuthal drift starts) using backward phase-space mapping. The estimated energy distributions have successfully reproduced

all three dispersion patterns by the forward ion drift simulation (Ebihara et al., 2001).



Figure 9. Cluster CODIF perigee observation on 9 July 2001 and its ion drift simulation using Volland-Stern electric field model (Yamauchi et al., 2014b). Three types of sub-keV ions (eastward drifting energy range) are convoluted in the ion data (i) recently injected nearly fieldaligned suprathermal ions during 05:15-05:35 UT, (ii) equatorially-trapped perpendicularly heated plasmasheric ion at 05:59-06:02 UT, and (iii) arch-like dispersed ions during 05:50-06:50 UT. The first one was observed at similar timings between SC-4 and SC-3 whereas the second one was observed at the local equator that is 30 min apart between SC-4 and SC-3. The simulation in the bottom panel reproduced only the last type. Locations of the simulated 0.1 keV H⁺ and Cluster are shown in the right panel.

Even a single simulation can reproduce three different drift components at different energies (westward drifting band above the energy gap, eastward drifting band below the energy gap, and sub-keV stripes at lower energies), as shown in Fig. 8b. The 365 simulation suggests that both the eastward and westward bands originate from a hot component in the near-Earth, with wide start locations in Y, whereas the sub-keV stripes most likely originate from "warm" ions < 100 eV, i.e., ions supplied as cold supersonic flow as mentioned in Sect. 3 (Ebihara et al., 2008; Yamauchi et al., 2009a). The duration of each stripe corresponds to that of the supply of these warm ions. The result suggests that the flux or the destination (X distance) to the tail plasma sheet 370 of the cold supersonic outflow varies significantly.

For example, start of the sunward convection after southward turning of the IMF predicts a sudden appearance of such "sub-keV stripes" of warm ion origin in the inner magnetosphere. The Cluster orbit allows us to detect such an appearance as inbound-outbound asymmetry, as shown in Fig. 9. The sub-keV ions that suddenly appeared after the equatorial perpendicular heating at 06:00-06:02 UT (Sect. 4.2) are successfully reproduced as a result of a warm source, using the same simulation

375 method as Fig. 8. The repeated H⁺ bursts from 05:07 UT to 05:55 UT, that are not reproduced, have lower pitch angles, and hence they must have a different source, i.e., directly from the ionosphere, as will be discussed in the next subsection.



Figure 10. Summary of the Cluster observation and its interpretation of the sudden appearance of hot ions starting at around 21:50 UT on 21 October 2001 (Yamauchi et al., 2006a). Left: Energy-time spectrograms of differential energy fluxes (keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹) for protons from (a) spacecraft (SC)-1, (b) SC-3, and (d) SC-4 and (e) for heavy ions (O⁺) from SC-4. For SC-4, (d) energetic proton flux is also plotted. Bottom panels show (f) a zoom up of (e), and (g) energy-pitch angle spectrograms of the same data.

380 space

Considering the velocity-filter effect of drifting ions, a satellite that slowly traverses the inner magnetosphere can also detect the time-of-flight effect of eastward drift because of the energy dependency of the drift velocity. This effect is seen in Fig. 10 (Yamauchi et al., 2006a). After extracting the temporal variation on the same *L*-shell using the differences between three spacecraft, and combining the observed electric field and average drift velocity, the elapsed time of the suddenly appeared hot H^+ at 0.1-10 keV is estimated as only 15-30 minutes (substorm onset was about 30 min before) after the start of the dispersion with a start local time at around 6-8 MLT.

385

Thus, dispersion may start in the dawn sector instead of the midnight sector as illustrated in Fig. 10h. This indicates that the electric field in the midnight-dawn sector can be stronger than was previously thought, particularly for severe substorms. Such a strong electric field is also suggested by the high demarcation energy of > 40 keV as mentioned above, and is theoretically possible when it is highly screwed.

4.4 Dynamics of outflowing ions directly supplied from the ionosphere

As mentioned in Sect. 3, some outflowing ions from the ionosphere enter the inner magnetosphere directly inside the geosynchronous orbit, particularly in the nightside (Quinn and McIlwain, 1979; Hultqvist, 2002). In fact, ions at < 100 eV with nearly

390

field-aligned pitch-angles are commonly found in the equatorial inner magnetosphere (Horwitz and Chappell, 1979; Yamauchi et al., 2013). They are normally without significant O^+ . In this sense, this is similar to the cold supersonic flow or cold filling, and can be ignored in amount compared to plasmaspheric cold ions.

These ions are not necessarily inside the loss-cone because energization to accelerate to suprathermal and hot energy involves perpendicular heating above the mirror point. Fig. 9 shows one such example at 05:15-05:35 UT. The very weak dispersion

395 (1 min from 30 eV to 200 eV) indicates short elapsed time (< 15 min), although it is still longer than the travel time from the ionosphere (<5 min) for H⁺ outside the loss-cone. This means that the source must be around 15 MLT and 63° Inv, which is lower latitude than where the beam-like outflow > 1 keV is often observed (Lundin et al., 1995) but still within the region of outflow (Peterson et al., 2008).

On the other hand, direct O^+ outflow into the inner magnetosphere with finite pitch angle does exist. For example, Fig. 10 shows the appearance of low-energy O^+ at <300 eV in SC-4 at 23:50-23:55 UT with a peak at 180° pitch-angle. SC-1 also detected the same O^+ signature at 23:44-23:51 UT at nearly the same latitude (*L* value). These O^+ signatures are without obvious energy-time dispersion (no time-of-flight effect), and thus limited to small source region, as illustrated in Fig. 10h. Considering the field-aligned velocity of low-energy O^+ (20-40 km/s for 50-200 eV O^+) and lack of mirrored ions outside the loss-cone, these O^+ must have left the northern ionosphere at 8-9 MLT about 10-30 minutes continuously before the detection,

- 405 with most likely no outflow before. This timing agrees with the substorm onset at around 23:10 UT, and with the elapsed time of eastward drifting ions that was calculated in Sect. 4.3. The late-morning to prenoon auroral and sub-auroral (closed geomagnetic field) region is known for strong ion outflow with high O^+/H^+ ratio and significant enhancement with substorm activity (Øieroset et al., 2000; Peterson et al., 2008). This event shows how quickly outflow starts after the substorm onset with O^+ dominance over H^+ .
- A similar field-aligned burst is also seen in Fig. 11 at 06:48:30 UT, first coming from the southern hemisphere for < 100 eV ions. The energy extends soon to nearly 1 keV with a bouncing (nearly field-aligned bi-directional) signature. Unlike the $E \times B$ drift 5 minutes before, these ions contain O⁺ (not shown here), indicating that they are directly coming from the ionosphere rather than heated locally. The ionospheric conjugate point along the geomagnetic field corresponds to where the transpolar arc and the auroral bulge meet, according to the IMAGE FUV data (Fig. 11d). Fig. 11 also shows a sudden change of pitch angles
- 415 for keV ions at around 06:44 UT, without any signature in the sub-keV energy range. The timing and location corresponds to the westward travelling auroral bulge, and keV O⁺ flux was also enhanced although it is less clear than the H⁺ signature (Yamauchi et al., 2009b). The energy and location indicates that these outflowing ions are accelerated by the parallel electric potential drop over the auroral bulge.



Figure 11. Summary of (a) Cluster energetic ion flux, (b) hot ion energy-time spectrograms and (c) energy-pitch angle spectrograms of differential energy fluxes (keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹), (d) IMAGE observations, and (e) interpretation of ion behaviors at around 06:43 UT on 19 Mays 2002 (Yamauchi et al., 2009b). These ions at the equator that is conjugate with westward auroral bulge are interpreted as a sunward propagation of high-amplitude DC electric field accompanied by polarization.

Once ions coming directly from the ionosphere start to drift, it is difficult to distinguish them from the magnetotail-origin 420 low-energy ions just from energy spectrum. However, they normally have a different composition (Fig. 10) or pitch-angle distributions (Fig. 9), as described above.



Figure 12. Cluster hot ion energy-time and energy-pitch angle spectrograms of differential energy fluxes (keV cm⁻²s⁻¹str⁻¹keV⁻¹) from SC-4 on 21 August 2001 (Giang et al., 2009; Yamauchi et al., 2014a).

The mixing occurs even during quiet times and in large scale, as shown in Fig. 12 (Giang et al., 2009). Wedge-like dispersed sub-keV H⁺ with low pitch angles during 00:55-01:18 UT is followed by the wedge-like dispersed sub-keV O⁺ with high pitch angles without H⁺ after 01:18 UT. Thus, H⁺ and O⁺ are anti-correlated with different pitch angles. Such anti-correlation is seen already from 00:20 UT. The dispersion and low energy indicates that these ions drifted eastward from the morning (or even from the midnight) sector, and the different pitch angles indicate that only O⁺ experienced substantial adiabatic energization and hence longer drift distance than H⁺. Since both magnetic and E×B drifts are mass-independent, this observation suggests that H⁺ injection was closer to the Earth than O⁺ injection, and that such a newer injection of H⁺ expelled the pre-existing O⁺. The low-pitch angles for H⁺ also suggest that the injection point is in the inner magnetosphere rather than in the tail plasma

430 sheet. Such anti-correlation events are not often observed and all the events are observed during relatively quiet time with AE < 100 nT (Giang et al., 2009).

4.5 Local energization of low-energy ions

Local energization of ions particularly at < few keV is important when considering the trajectory and final destination of inner magnetospheric ions, because they are substantially altered after even a small amount of non-adiabatic energization, e.g., by electric field (Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003). In addition to the equatorial perpendicular heating of cold ions (Fig. 6), local energization of hot ions is sometimes observed associated with substorms and interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICME).

For example, a high-amplitude electric field propagating in the inner magnetosphere energizes particles effectively for both sunward propagation (Yamauchi et al., 2009b) and anti-sunward propagation (Araki et al., 1997), although they are barely observed. Fig. 13 shows an example of ion energization by the anti-sunward propagation of shock in the inner magnetosphere

- 440 when ICME with 2000 km/s velocity arrived at the Earth at the beginning of the Halloween storm event on 29 October 2003 (Yamauchi et al., 2006b). The propagation velocity inside the inner magnetosphere was as fast as the ICME velocity without delay, and much faster than the propagation route through the ionosphere. Fast anti-sunward propagation of a shock is also reported in Zong et al. (2009) after which ultra-low frequency (ULF) waves are generated in its trail. For the Halloween event, spacecraft in the midnight sector near the equatorial plane detected a dipolarization of geomagnetic field starting only 20 sec
- after the arrival of this shock at midnight, indicating that this triggered the dipolarization. Note that this is a rather unique event

435

and this onset mechanism may not be generalized.

Fig. 11 shows the opposite example, i.e., sunward propagation of a large-amplitude polarization electric field in the equatorial inner magnetosphere after the substorm onset on 19 May 2002 (Yamauchi et al., 2009b). At about 06:43 UT, Cluster at around L=4 detected plasmaspheric cold H⁺ and He⁺ drifting duskward (perpendicular to the magnetic field) with high velocity up to

- 450 50-60 km/s. Electric field measurements show an anti-sunward DC field up to 10 m/V, in agreement with the E×B drift velocity for the observed geomagnetic field. The relative timing between four Cluster spacecraft indicates that this finite-amplitude E-field propagated sunward with a velocity of 5-10 km/s, and hence the electric field is the polarization field with positive charges leading on the front side as illustrated in Figs. 11e and 11f. In the ionosphere, this electric field is mapped to a substorm auroral bulge at 19 MLT that has exactly the same sunward propagation velocity as this equatorial polarization electric field.
- One unique feature is that the ring current ions at energy range 70-1000 keV showed a mass-dependent flux enhancement together with mass-dependent decrease of ions at energies above and below this range. The energy ratio of the enhanced O⁺, He⁺ and H⁺ are about 16:4:1.5, i.e., all species have nearly the same gyration speed of about 3000 km/s. The mass-dependent decrease of flux at higher energy also has a similar gyration velocity for all species (about 5000 km/s), whereas the decrease of flux at lower energy (Fig. 11c) was seen only in heavy ions (but not H⁺ that is below the lower energy threshold of the ring
- 460 current) at high pitch angles. Since both the magnetic and $E \times B$ drifts are mass-independent, the energy enhancement is less likely to be caused by drifting of new injections from the nightside. Therefore, the propagating polarization structure with large amplitude most likely caused the mass-dependent flux enhancement of ions. One possibility is that ions with gyrating velocity higher and lower than 3000 km/s are somewhat decelerated/accelerated by this structure, but the mechanism is unknown.



Figure 13. Top: Energetic proton flux (50-400 keV) observed by the LANL geosynchronous satellites (LANL-01A at 07 LT, LANL-02A at 11 LT, LANL-97A at 13 LT, 1994-084 at 16 LT, and 1991-080 at19 LT) during the initial phase of the 2003-10-29 storm (06:00-06:30 UT). Bottom: IMAGE FUV data that shows quick expansion of an auroral substorm at around 06:12 UT, which is confirmed by ground geomagnetic field data (Yamauchi et al., 2006b).

465

ULF waves like Pc5 pulsation of 10^{-2} Hz that are common after large substorms also energize ions and electrons by the associated electric field. Waite et al. (1986) found a case when He⁺ was modulated without H⁺ modulation for cold ions of < 10 eV. Yamauchi et al. (1996b) found that the drifting hot trapped ions are almost always modulated in energy by tens to hundreds of eV, forming a bundled structure when the Pc5 in the azimuthal geomagnetic field is present. Such energy modulation is mainly found at L≤4 (≤60° Inv) where Cluster does not cover. In addition, a case of strong conic-like energization near the loss-cone boundary of these trapped ions are found. The mechanism of the conic-like energization is still unexplained.

4.6 Composition 470

Since different sources and energization mechanisms have different $H^+/He^{2+}/He^{+}/O^+/N^+$ ratios at given energies, the composition of inner magnetospheric ions is quite different at different location (Wellings et al., 2011). Furthermore, even the same source such as the tail plasma sheet may cause the local time dependence of composition, because, for example, bursty O^+ return flow is not aligned to bursty H⁺ return flow (Nilsson et al., 2016). Relevant statistics together with the mass-dependent

475 energization in the inner magnetosphere as listed above remain as unsolved issues. On the other hand, general abundance of heavy ions (both O^+/H^+ ratio and N^+/O^+ ratio) is known to increase with geomagnetic activity (Hamilton et al., 1988; Daglis et al., 1999; Maggiolo and Kistler, 2014), as is expected from the higher outflow rate from the ionosphere for higher geomagnetic activities as described in Sect. 2.3. Geomagnetic dependence of the escaping O^+ to space is also very strong, as is described in Sect. 3.3 (Schillings et a., 2019).

480 4.7 Loss process

In the drift model (Sect. 4.1), all drift components except the $E \times B$ drift by the external electric field keep ions trapped in the inner magnetosphere, like the inner radiation belt where the effect of the external electric field is negligible. However, the $E \times B$ drift by the external electric field drives inner magnetospheric ions in one direction rather than rounding the Earth, making them reach the magnetopause. Once the ions reach the magnetopause, these drifting ions can leak outside the magnetopause, and start moving in the same direction as the anti-sunward (e.g., magnetosheath) flow through the ion pickup process.

This is called magnetopause shadowing and is most effective for ions with high pitch angle ($\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ in Equation 1) for the same energy (Blanc et al., 1999), making ion pitch-angle distribution at the equator oblique ("butterfly" distribution). Magnetopause shadowing does not require local magnetopause reconnection (Sibeck et al., 1987; 1999; Sauvaud et al., 2001; Marcucci et al., 2004), while it is most effective during strong sunward convection sustained by nightside activities or during magnetopause erosion, both of which take place during increasing solar wind dynamic pressure and southward turning of IMF.

490 Therefore, this process very often coexists with the local magnetopause reconnection (Toledo-Redondo et al., 2016; Fuselier et al., 2017).

Magnetopause shadowing is well known for detached cold plasmaspheric plumes as described in Sect. 4.2. In addition, hot sub-keV ions in the dayside inner magnetosphere experience the same evacuation mechanism from the inner magnetosphere 495 because the westward magnetic drift cancels the east cororation to stay in the same local time sector for a sufficiently long time to reach the magnetopause by the remaining sunward convection component. This means that, if no ions are supplied from the nightside plasma sheet, sub-keV (and even keV) ions will be evacuated through magnetopause shadowing. Such ion evacuations are observed at the start of magnetic storm before the first ions inject (Hultqvist et al., 1981, Yamauchi and Lundin, 2006). Even a substantial portion of tens keV ions are most likely lost through magnetopause shadowing because this explains 500 the observed butterfly pitch-angle distribution very well.

485

For high-energy ions, magnetopause shadowing becomes less important compared to the other loss mechanisms such as charge exchange, Coulomb collisions with thermal plasma, and pitch-angle scattering to the loss cone by waves (Blanc et al.,

1999; Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003). Efficiency of these local processes of ion loss is well understood for energy > 1 keV (Fox et al., 1991; Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003), with much shorter lifetime for the ordinary ring current (around 10 keV for H^+ and > 100

505 keV for O⁺) than the sub-keV ions. Here, Coulomb collision mainly decreases the ion energy rather than scattering ions into the loss cone, and hence contributes less to the ion loss from the inner magnetosphere than the other loss mechanisms (Fox et al., 1991). Among these local loss processes, scattering into the loss cone is dominant for radiation belt particles (Blanc et al., 1999; Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003).

On the other hand, the local loss process becomes less efficient for lower energy ions (Ebihara and Ejiri, 2003), and magne-510 topause shadowing becomes is the most effective loss mechanism for sub-keV ions, followed by charge exchange. In fact, the superposed statistics in terms of the delay-time from the substorm onset (injection of the sub-keV ions) showed a sudden decrease of the observation rate of "substorm fossil" sub-keV ions when these ions reach the noon sector (Yamauchi and Lundin, 2006), where magnetopause shadowing is the most efficient. Also, ion drift simulations with charge-exchange loss alone can reproduce the energy-latitude dispersion of sub-keV ions in the dayside (Ebihara et al., 2001, 2004; Yamauchi et al., 2009a, 515 2014b).

Among these loss mechanisms, magnetopause shadowing contributes the complete loss of ions from the magnetosphere whereas scattering into the loss cone brings ions back to the ionosphere. Charge exchange is in between when only trapped ions bouncing in the magnetic bottle are considered, because the energetic neutral atoms (ENA) produced by this mechanism may point both toward the Earth and toward space depending on timing with respect to mirror point (before or after). Here,

520 most of the ions even with low pitch angles that are observed by Cluster are bouncing because the loss cone at equator is on the order of only 1°. Since the neutral atmospheric density is maximum near the mirror point where the ions are gyrating in nearly horizontal directions, roughly half the ions move toward the Earth and half toward space. Altogether, approximately half the ENA is lost and half returns to the atmosphere.

5 Consequences of **O**⁺ escape to space

525 5.1 Summary of O⁺ budget

Fig. 4 summarizes the major ion escape routes that are described in this paper. The first route (marked as (d) in Fig. 4) is the direct mixing with the solar wind into the magnetosheath and plasma mantle. All hot O^+ in the magnetosheath and a large part of O^+ in the plasma mantle contribute to the net escape. Since hot O^+ observed in this region is normally energized to the low-energy threshold of Cluster/CIS (> 28 eV), one may ignore contributions from O^+ with less energies when discussing

530

destinations of the plasma mantle flow. The second route (marked as (c) in Fig. 4) is through the tail lobe and the tail plasma sheet, from where some ions move further anti-sunward and some return earthward. For hot O⁺, Slapak et al. (2017b) and Slapak and Nilsson (2018) estimated

the total flux. Slapak et al. (2013, 2017a) and Schilling et al. (2019) have estimated the O⁺ loss rate after considering the

the anti-sunward and sunward fluxes, respectively, in both the plasma sheet and tail lobe. For cold supersonic ions, Engwall et al (2009) estimated the total amount of ions flowing through the lobe into the tail plasma sheet as 8×10^{25} s⁻¹. Considering

25

the low O^+/H^+ ratio (< 10^{-2} , Sect. 3.2), this means that the total O^+ flux in the lobe is less than 0.1×10^{25} s⁻¹, and can be ignored compared to the first route when considering O^+ .

The retuning flow from the tail does not necessarily return to the ionosphere. Rather, a large portion (more than half for the sub-keV ions and nearly half for the ring current ions) escapes through magnetopause shadowing and charge exchange. The same loss mechanisms (to space) apply to ions coming from the nightside auroral zone. Although a substantial part of the outflowing O^+ is not precipitating into the other hemisphere (see Sector 3.3) and can follow a similar fate as the ions in the plasma sheet, this contribution to the total budget is very minor.

The third route (marked as (b1) in Fig. 4) is direct supply to the inner magnetosphere such as Fig. 10. While there are some statistics using geosynchronous satellites, so far no statistics exist for O^+ flux. On the other hand, the flux, particularly for O^+ ,

545 is very small, and can safely be ignored when discussing the total amount of escape.

540

The last route is through refilling the plasmasphere (marked as (a) in Fig. 4). Although dominated by H⁺ (90%) and He⁺ (10%) with only 1-5% for O⁺ (Sandel, 2011), the average O⁺ escape rate can be as much as 2×10^{25} s⁻¹, as described in Sect. 2.1. Because of their low energies with very small field-aligned velocities, a majority of them are expected to escape by magnetopause shadowing or tailward detachment.

- Table 1 summarizes the O^+ escape rate from the present Earth through these major escape routes. The direct escape from the magnetosheath and plasma mantle, after non-thermal heating in the dayside polar region, accounts for the majority of O^+ escape from the present Earth. The total escaping flux through this route drastically increases with increasing geomagnetic activity and the solar wind energy input, as summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 3 (Slapak et al., 2017a; Schillings et al. 2017, 2019). Since Kp is defined as a nearly logarithmic scale of geomagnetic disturbances in nT, the exponential dependence given
- 555 in Table 1 means nearly a power law dependence on the geomagnetic disturbance. The power law dependence is also seen between the solar wind energy input and the escape flux (Schillings et al., 2019).

Such a large amount of O^+ escape results many consequences. In the past, the importance of O^+ in magnetospheric dynamics, for example on the substorm onset, has long been discussed and studied in both observations and simulations (Kistler et al., 2005; Kronberg et al., 2014). Modelling of such a mass-loading effect in the magnetosphere has been improved recent years,

560 by expanding the destination to the low-latitude dayside magnetopause (Fuselier et al., 2017), or by improved simulation of O⁺ outflow flux, e.g., by treating cusp outflow separately from the other outflow in simulation (Glocer et al., 2018). Even the importance of the feedback to the auroral ionosphere in modelling has long been pointed out (e.g., Moore et al., 2014). However, the importance of O⁺ in the cusp and plasma mantle, its feedback to the ionosphere, and the effect on a geological time scale have not been well studied because these have been underestimated until recently. These topics will be briefly explained 565 below.

5.2 Importance of O⁺ escape on solar wind interaction

The active role of the mixing of O^+ into the solar wind in the flow dynamic in the magnetosheath and plasma mantle have long been overlooked, although its importance has previously been suggested (Yamauchi and Lundin, 1997; Winglee et al., 2002). This is partly because the O^+ density had been considered small compared to the incoming solar wind density before Cluster

made quantitative statistics of the O^+ abundance in the incoming solar wind in the magnetosheath, the cusp, and the plasma 570 mantle.

The observed O^+ abundance in the plasma mantle is about 1%, and hence nearly 20% of the mass density due to the 16-times heavier mass of O^+ than H⁺. The 20% mass loading means a 10% decrease of the inflowing solar wind velocity (u_{inflow}) and a 10 % decrease of total kinetic energy (K) of the solar wind and the mass-loading O^+ when the mass-loading of O^+

is completed, i.e., anti-sunward velocity of O⁺ catches up that of the solar wind. Cluster observations showed a substantial 575 deceleration of the solar wind together with an anti-sunward acceleration of O^+ in the plasma mantle, indicating that mass loading is substantial (Yamauchi and Slapak, 2018b).

Unlike comets and unmagnetized planets, the initial charge separation during the deceleration of the solar wind by the mass loading can flow along the geomagnetic field to the conducting ionosphere to cancel the charge, as illustrated in Fig. 14. Thus, the surplus kinetic energy can maintain the electric current circuit that closes in the ionosphere near the cusp, which is geomagnetically connected to the entire mass-loading region at high altitudes.

The principle is the same as the reconnection (Dungey, 1961) except that this process works only where O^+ can access the solar wind rather than the geomagnetic open/closed configuration, and hence this mechanism works only in a local region rather than globally. Thus, the current system by the mass-loading is independent from the global current system, and can explain the observed independency between the cusp region 1 field-aligned current and non-cusp dayside region 1 field-aligned current (Ohtani et al., 1995; Yamauchi and Slapak, 2018a). The concept of such double openness, i.e., two-time definition of the openclosed boundary (by the solar wind access point to the magnetosphere, and by the access point of the outflowing ionospheric ions to the solar wind) is similar to the multiple-branch discontinuity model by Vasyliunas (1995) and the geopause concept by Moore et al. (1995). The present model replaces these models' upward propagation of electrodynamic information of the

585

580

590 ionosphere by the physical ion motions.

> Yamauchi and Slapak (2018b) obtained an upper limit of the extraction rate of the total kinetic energy by the mass loading of escaping ions (ΔK) as

$$\Delta K \sim -\frac{1}{4} F'_{load} u^2_{inflow} \tag{4}$$

595

where F'_{load} is total mass flux of the escaping ions into the mixing region with the solar wind (the cusp, the plasma mantle, and the magnetosheath). Eq. 4 does not include the solar wind density or IMF in the first approximation. Instead, ΔK depends on the total escaping mass flux, which strongly depends on both Kp ($\propto e^{0.45Kp}$) and the solar wind dynamic pressure ($\propto p_{SW}^{1.5}$) according to Fig. 3), or velocity when the upstream solar wind velocity exceeds 500 km/s (Slapak et al., 2017a; Schillings et al., 2019).

600

Although the process is local, the energy conversion rate of 10% (cf. the same order of magnitude as the reconnection rate (Sonnerup, 1974)) gives about $\Delta K \sim 0.3 - 1 \times 10^{10}$ W, which is sufficient to explain the cusp current system (Potemra, 1994, Yamauchi and Slapak, 2018a). Furthermore, this current system forms a strong positive feedback between the escaping flux and the extracting energy, guaranteeing stronger solar wind dependence of the energy conversion than the global reconnection. This agrees with higher Kp-dependence of dayside outflow compared to the nightside outflow, and with the drastic solar wind

MHD dynamo during deceleration



Figure 14. Illustration of the azimuthal charge separation when the solar wind inflow is decelerated by the start of mass loading with the outflowing ionospheric ions (Yamauchi and Slapak, 2018b). The boundary of the start of the outflowing region is shown as an orange plane. The positive (negative) charges are always deflected by the geomagnetic field *B* in the opposite direction to (along) the motional electric field *E*, resulting in a dynamo current that causes a magnetic deviation (ΔB). Because of the connectivity to the ionosphere where the charges can move across the magnetic field, the accumulated charges form a current circuit through the field-aligned currents ($J_{//}$ with blue and red arrows giving directions along *B*) closing in the ionosphere.

dependence (particularly the dynamics pressure) of dayside outflow (Moore et al., 1999a). In this sense, the O^+ outflow is relevant even to space weather in addition to ionospheric physics (Yamauchi et al., 2018).

5.3 O⁺ escape on geological scale

Before Cluster confirmed the large amount of O⁺ escape through direct mixing with the solar wind, O⁺ escape had been considered negligible in the evolution of the atmosphere and biosphere even on a geological scale over 1 billion years (~ 3×10¹⁶ s). If one uses a simple value of 10²⁶ s⁻¹ (~ 0.3 kg/s), the total amount of oxygen loss to space over 1 billion years
610 is only ~ 1×10¹⁶ kg and is negligible compared to the present atmospheric mass (1×10¹⁸ kg for oxygen and 4×10¹⁸ kg for nitrogen).



Figure 15. Illustration of differences in the magnetosphere, exosphere, and ionosphere between the present and ancient Earth (cf. Yamauchi and Wahlund, 2007).

However, the solar EUV, solar rotation, and solar wind in ancient times were most likely much higher and faster than in the present day due to much faster solar rotation compared to the present (Ribas et al., 2005; Wood, 2006; Airapetian and Usmanov, 2016). The fast rotation also means a strong solar dynamo magnetic field and IMF in ancient times. Therefore, we expect high **Kp** as average in ancient times. Due to the exponential dependence of the O⁺ escape on Kp as summarized in Table 1, the ancient conditions suggest very high escape rates exceeding 10^{27} s⁻¹. This gives already > 10^{17} kg or 10% of atmospheric oxygen content over 1 billion years. Considering that biological activities are sensitive to the O/N ratio of the atmosphere (e.g., Loesche, 1969; Hill, 1976; Harrison, 2010), this can no longer be ignored. If the escape rate reaches 10^{28} s⁻¹, as is suggested by Krauss et al. (2012) and Slapak et al. (2017a), only 100 million years is enough to affect the bioactivity.

Thus, although oxygen can be supplied from the ocean, the atmospheric escape to space could have played some role in the evolution of the biosphere. However, before making any quantitative model estimates, we must also consider many other factors such as the neutral escape due to the higher UV flux and weaker terrestrial dynamo (smaller magnetosphere) in ancient times, as illustrated in Fig. 15 (Yamauchi and Wahlund, 2007). High UV increases the thermospheric temperature, and hence expands the thermosphere and the exosphere, although cooling by a larger amount of CO₂ in the ancient atmosphere will somewhat ease this change (Tian et al., 2008). The increased exobase altitude reduces the escape energy as summarized in Table 2, and makes thermal escape after photochemical heating much more effective.

Because of the weaker terrestrial dynamo and stronger solar wind in ancient times, the sub-solar magnetopause where the solar wind dynamic pressure and the magnetic pressure of the geomagnetic field balances is moved toward the Earth (Yamauchi

630

0 to the escape, as on Mars (Dubinin et al., 2006). The expanded thermosphere and exobase are normally accompanied by an expanded ionosphere, making the Earth even more of a non-magnetized planet in terms of atmospheric escape. Altogether, the neutral escape might become important, although we do not have enough observational knowledge of many of them for quantitative modelling, as summarized in Table 3. Nevertheless, the escape rate should be more than what is obtained only

and Wahlund, 2007). As a result, neutrals of the expanded exosphere are exposed to the solar wind, and they start contributing

Table 2. Escape energy from the Earth at different heights

height	500 km	2000 km	10000 km	
velocity	10.8 km/s	9.8 km/s	7.0 km/s	
0	9.7 eV	8.0 eV	4.1 eV^{*1}	
N	8.5 eV	7.0 eV	3.6 eV^{*2}	
*1: $O_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow 2O + 1-7 eV$				
*2: $N_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow 2N + 3-6 eV$				

Table 3. Major mechanism of atmospheric loss to space

mechanism	present Earth	ancient Earth	knowledge needed
Jeans escape	(negligible)	yes?	present exosphere
Hydrodynamic blow off	(negligible)	yes?	present exosphere
Momentum exchange	(negligible)	yes?	present exosphere
Photochemical energization	(negligible)	yes	present exobase
Charge-exchange	yes	?	ring current
Atmospheric sputtering	(negligible)	yes?	past cusp
Ion pickup	(negligible)	yes	
Ions accelerated by EM field reach SW	yes	yes	
Large-scale momentum transfer and instabilities by the solar wind interactions	yes	yes?	past magnetosphere
Magnetopause shadowing (ions)	yes	yes?	past ring current
Plasmaspheric wind and plumes	yes	yes?	past plasmasphere

from the ion escape, and less than 100 million years might be enough for atmospheric escape to affect the bioactivity in the 635 past on a geological scale.

Our observational knowledge is not sufficient for even the present-day's neutral distribution in the exosphere and upper thermosphere. The empirical average such as the MSIS model is not sufficient to model the upper thermosphere and exosphere when modelling the escape (e.g., Meier et al., 2015; Lakhina and Tsurutani, 2017). For example, the local extent of the exosphere, which is one of the most basic parameter, is sensitive to solar UV and geomagnetic activities (Zoennchen et al.,

640

2015, 2017), but the data is not sufficient to include them in the empirical model. Also, the extent of the exosphere that is estimated from the production of newly ionized exospheric hydrogen changes by an order of magnitude (seen as pickup ion flux) with only a factor of two difference in the solar UV (Yamauchi et al., 2015).

Unfortunately, similar in-situ studies at the Earth are not possible due to the lack of proper neutral instruments at the altitude range 500-5000 km. However, we can gather relevant information from the present Earth with proper instrumentation by

645 exploring less-studied regions, as indicated in the last column of Table 3. To understand neutral dynamics and neutral escape from altitudes above the exobase, we need a dedicated space mission to study both ion escape and neutral escape from the present Earth (Dandouras et al., 2016, 2018).

6 Discussion

This paper reviews the transport and loss of terrestrial ions, and the relevant behaviors of hot heavy ions in the inner magnetosphere where outflowing ions from different routes mix together, with main stress on Cluster's findings that are overlooked in other recent reviews. Cluster is the first mission that covers all important regions for ion escape including the polar magnetospheric boundary and plasmasphere with proper instruments, allowing quantitative estimates, as summarized in Table 1. While the most important routes and dynamics that are relevant to O⁺ escape are covered, many other ion phenomena are not included. A full description would require an entire book.

- The major loss mechanisms for the inner magnetospheric ions, if the changes of the path through non-adiabatic energization are ignored, are magnetopause shadowing, charge exchange, and scattering to the loss cone. Because of the energy dependence of these mechanisms, the return rate of O⁺ to the ionosphere is higher for higher energy ions, but its upper limit is about 50%. In other words, the energization in the inner magnetosphere increases the return rate, but by not more than a factor of two. This is in contrast to the energization above the ionosphere, which enhances the escape rate. Since the O⁺ return rate entering the inner magnetosphere is about 50% or less, while all the other outflowing ions escape to space, the most important factor that determines the ion escape is the total outflow flux above the ionosphere. In this sense, the outflow value at much lower altitude
 - than Cluster is important, but only if the ion instrument can cover the entire energy range. Unfortunately, this has not been achieved, and the past observations show a significant increase of the escape flux with altitude (Lennartsson et al., 2004).
- The consequences of O⁺ outflow and dynamics extend broadly including ionospheric physics, solar wind interaction with the magnetosphere, space weather, and astrobiology, in addition to the effect on magnetospheric activities such as substorms (e.g., Kistler et al., 2005; Kronberg et al., 2014). For example, the outflow flux is sufficient to activate the mass-loading type energy conversion from the solar wind to the electric current system that closes in the cusp ionosphere, which is independent from the current system driven by the global solar wind-magnetosphere interaction (Yamauchi et al., 2018b). The total escape rate of O⁺ to space might have even played some role in the evolution of the biosphere through the change in the atmospheric composition of more than a few percent (Slapak et al., 2017a; Dandouras et al., 2018). However, these new applications are not
- yet quantitatively confirmed by dedicated observations or modellings, with only preliminary models or indirect observations (Winglee et al., 2002; Yamauchi et al., 2018b). Dedicated work and missions are needed to explore the questions raised by these observations.

7 Conclusions

- 675 The ion outflow from the ionosphere can be classified into three categories when observing in the magnetosphere: (1) cold filling, (2) cold supersonic outflow, and (3) suprathermal and hot outflow. The outflowing suprathermal ions (< 50 eV) above the ionosphere are most likely to be observed as hot ions in the magnetosphere. Direct destinations of these outflows (Fig. 4) ranges from the plasmasphere (innermost) to direct entry into the solar wind in the polar region (outermost). From these destinations, ions start to either circulate in the magnetosphere or escape to space. Out of these three types of outflow, hot outflow alone causes a high escape rate to space for O⁺ as summarized in Table 1, and hence non-thermal heating is the most
- contributing mechanism for ion escape (Table 3).

As a result of the secondary transport (circulation) and direct injection, the inner magnetosphere becomes a zoo of many different low-energy ions (direct supply from the ionosphere including bouncing ions, and drifting ions from the nightside plasma sheet) and different mechanisms of energization and transport. Some of these mechanisms are still poorly understood. Particularly, Cluster observed many mass-dependent energizations and transports (e.g., Figs. 6, 7, 11a, and 12), although the

observations were made below the geosynchronous distance where the mass-independent drift theory is well qualified.

The total observed flux of the terrestrial ion and its drastic dependency on the solar wind condition indicates that the ion outflow plays active roles on two subjects that have been overlooked in the past, in addition to its role in the magnetospheric dynamics. One is the mass-loading effect into the solar wind, which can explain the energy conversion to sustain the cusp

690 current system quantitatively. This interaction promotes the second openness of the magnetosphere, where the open-closed boundary are defined twice, by the solar wind access point to the magnetosphere, and by the ionospheric ion access point to the solar wind. The other is its influence on the atmospheric evolution on a geological scale after taking into account the higher solar and solar wind activity in the past, although more complete observations and modelling are needed to answer this question. Such studies require a new dedicated mission on atmospheric escape.

695 Code availability. n/a

685

Data availability. Cluster data data is available at the Cluster Science Archive through www.cosmos.esa.int/web/csa/access

Code and data availability. Cluster plotting software is available at the Cluster Science Archive

Sample availability. n/a

700 Competing interests. No competing interest exists

Acknowledgements. The author appreciates EGU's offer of a special opportunity to give a lecture at the General Assembly 2019. The author thanks R. Lundin, I. Dandouras, Y. Ebihara, H. Nilsson, and all past co-authors in preparing the manusctript and the EGU lecture. The author would like to dedicate this work to the memory of Prof. Emer. Bengt Hultqvist, the first director of the Swedish Institute of Space Physics, who passed away in February 2019.

References 705

- Abe, T., Whalen, B. A., Yau, A. W., Horita, R. E., Watanabe, S., and Sagawa, E.: EXOS D (Akebono) suprathermal mass spectrometer observations of the polar wind, J. Geophys. Res., 98), 11191-11203, doi:10.1029/92JA01971, 1993.
- Airapetian, V.S., and Usmanov, A.: Reconstructing the Solar Wind from Its Early History to Current Epoch, Astrophys. J. Lett., 817, L24, doi:10.3847/2041-8205/817/2/L24, 2016.
- 710 André, M.: Previously hidden low-energy jons: a better map of near-Earth space and the terrestrial mass balance. Phys. Scr., 90, 128005. doi:10.1088/0031-8949/90/12/128005, 2015.

Araki, T., Fujitani S., Emoto M., et al.: Anomalous sudden commencement on March 24, 1991, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 14075-14086, doi:10.1029/96JA03637, 1997.

- Arvelius, S., Yamauchi, M., Nilsson, H., et al.: Statistics of high-altitude and high-latitude O+ ion outflows observed by Cluster/CIS, Ann. 715 Geophys., 23, 1909-1916, doi:10.5194/angeo-23-1909-2005, 2005.
 - Blanc, M., Horwitz, J.L., Blake, J.B., et al.: Source and loss processes in the inner magnetosphere, Chapter 4 in Magnetospheric Plasma Sources and Losses, edited by B.Hultqvist et al., Kluwer academic publication, Space Sci. Rev., 88, 137-206, doi:10.1023/A:1005203817354, 1999.

Buzulukova, N., Galperin, Y.I., Kovrazhkin, R.A., et al.: Two types of ion spectral gaps in the quiet inner magnetosphere: Interball-2 720 observations and modeling, Ann. Geophys., 20, 349-364, doi:10.5194/angeo-20-349-2002, 2002.

- Cattell, C., Johnson, L., Bergmann, R., et al.: FAST observations of discrete electrostatic waves in association with down-going ion beams in the auroral zone, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 1238, doi:10.1029/2001JA000254, 2002.
 - Chappell, C. R.: Recent satellite measurements of the morphology and dynamics of the plasmasphere, Rev. Geophys., 10, 951-979, doi:10.1029/RG010i004p00951, 1972.
- 725 Chappell, C.R., Olsen, R.C., Green, J.L., Johnson, J.F.E., and Waite, J.H.Jr: The discovery of nitrogen ions in the Earth's magnetosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 9, 937-940, doi:10.1029/GL009i009p00937, 1982.

Chappell, C. R., Moore, T. E., and Waite, J. H.: The ionosphere as a fully adequate source of plasma for the Earth's magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 5896-5910, doi:10.1029/JA092iA06p05896, 1987.

Chappell, C.R., Huddleston, M.M., Moore, T.E., Giles, B.L., Delcourt, D.C.: Observations of the warm plasma cloak and an explanation of its formation in the magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2007JA012945, 2008.

Claudepierre, S.G., Chen, M.W., Roeder, J.L., and Fennell, J.F.: An empirical model of ion plasma in the inner magneto- sphere derived from CRRES/MICS measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 11780-11797, doi:10.1002/2016JA023468.

- Chandler, M. O., and Chappell, C. R.: Observations of the flow of H+ and He+ along magnetic field lines in the plasmasphere, J. Geophys. Res., 91(A8), 8847-8860, doi:10.1029/JA091iA08p08847, 1986.
- 735 Cladis, J.B.: Parallel acceleration and transport of ions from polar ionosphere to plasma sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 13, 893-896, doi:10.1029/GL013i009p00893, 1986.
 - Christon, S.P., T. Eastman, T.E., Doke, T., et al.: Magnetospheric plasma regimes identified using Geotail measurements: 2. Statistics, spatial distribution, and geomagnetic dependence, J. Geophys. Res., 103(A10), 23521? 23542, doi:10.1029/98JA01914, 1998.
 - Collin, H. L., Quinn, J. M., and Cladis, J. B.: An empirical static model of low energy ring current ions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 141-144,
- 740 doi:10.1029/93GL00066, 1993.

730

- Craven, P. D., Olsen, R. C., Chappell, C. R., and Kakani, L.: Observations of molecular ions in the Earth's magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 7599-7605, doi:10.1029/JA090iA08p07599, 1985.
- Craven, P. D., Gallagher, D. L., and Comfort, R. H.: Relative concentration of He+ in the inner magnetosphere as observed by the DE 1 retarding ion mass spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 2279-2289, doi:10.1029/96JA02176 1997.
- 745 Cully, C. M., Donovan, E. F., Yau, A. W., and Arkos, G. G.: Akebono/Suprathermal Mass Spectrometer observations of low- energy ion outflow: Dependence on magnetic activity and solar wind conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A2), 1093, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JA009200, 2003.
 - Daglis, I. A., Thorne, R. M., Baumjohann, W., and Orsini, S.: The terrestrial ring current: Origin, formation, and decay, Rev. Geophys., 37, 407-438, doi:10.1029/1999RG900009, 1999.
- 750 Dandouras, I.: Detection of a plasmaspheric wind in the Earth's magnetosphere by the Cluster spacecraft, Ann. Geophys., 31, 1143-1153, doi:10.5194/angeo-31-1143-2013, 2013.
 - Dandouras, I., Yamauchi, M.,and the ESCAPE proposal team: European SpaceCraft for the study of Atmospheric Particle Escape (ESCAPE), Mission proposals to 2016 ESA's call for M5 Mission, http://cluster.irap.omp.eu/public/ESCAPE/ESCAPE_M5_Proposal_V1.1.pdf, 2016.
- 755 Dandouras, I., Yamauchi, M., De Keyser, J., et al.: ESCAPE: A mission proposal for ESA-M5 to systematically study Exosphere and atmospheric escape using European, Japanese, and US instruments (in Japanese), JAXA document SA6000118033, S10-001, https://repository.exst.jaxa.jp/dspace/handle/a-is/876320, 2018.
 - Darrouzet, F., De Keyser, J., Décréau, P. M. E., El Lemdani-Mazouz, F., and Vallières, X.: Statistical analysis of plasmaspheric plumes with Cluster/WHISPER observations, Ann. Geophys., 26, 2403-2417, doi:10.5194/angeo-26-2403-2008, 2008.
- 760 Darrouzet, F., Gallagher, D. L., André, M., Carpenter, D. L., et al., Plasmaspheric density structures and dynamics: Properties observed by the CLUSTER and IMAGE missions, Space Sci. Rev., 145(1-2), 55-106, doi:10.1007/s11214-008-9438-9, 2009.
 - Dubinin, E., Fraenz, M., J. Woch, J., et al.: Hydrogen exosphere at Mars: Pickup protons and their acceleration at the bow shock, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L22103, doi:10.1029/2006GL027799, 2006.

Dungey, J.W.: Interplanetary magnetic field and the auroral zones, Phys. Rev. Lett. 6, 47, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.6.47, 1961.

- 765 Ebihara, Y., Yamauchi, M., Nilsson, H., Lundin, R., and Ejiri, M.: Wedge-like dispersion of sub-keV ions in the dayside magnetosphere: Particle simulation and Viking observation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 29571-29584, doi:10.1029/2000JA000227, 2001.
 - Ebihara, Y., and Ejiri, M.: Numerical Simulation of the Ring Current: Review, Space Science Reviews, 105, 377452, doi:10.1023/A:1023905607888, 2003.
 - Ebihara, Y., Ejiri, M., Nilsson, H., Sandahl, I., Grande, M., Fennell, J. F., Roeder, J. L., Weimer, D. R., and Fritz, T. A.: Multiple discrete-
- energy ion features in the inner magnetosphere: 9 February 1998, event, Ann. Geophys., 22, 1297-1304, doi:10.5194/angeo-22-1297-2004, 2004.
 - Ebihara, Y., Kistler, L. M., and Eliasson, L.: Imaging cold ions in the plasma sheet from the Equator-S satellite, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L15103, doi:10.1029/2008GL034357, 2008.
 - Ejiri, M.: Trajectory traces of charged particles in the magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 83, 4798-4810, doi:
- 775 https:10.1029/JA083iA10p04798, 1978.
 - Ejiri, M., Hoffman, R. A., and Smith, P. H.: Energetic particle penetration into the inner magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 653-663, doi:10.1029/JA085iA02p00653, 1980.

Eklund, U., Lundin, R., and Sandahl, I: Measurements of O+ in the high latitude magnetosheath, Phys. Chem. Earth, 22, 639-644, doi:10.1016/S0079-1946(97)00189-4, 1997.

- 780 Engwall, E., Eriksson, A. I., André, M., Dandouras, I., Paschmann, G., Quinn, J., and Torkar, K.: Low-energy (order 10 eV) ion flow in the magnetotail lobes inferred from spacecraft wake observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L06110, doi:10.1029/2005GL025179, 2006.
 - Engwall, E., Eriksson, A. I., Cully, C. M., André, M., Puhl-Quinn, P. A., Vaith, H., and Torbert, R.: Survey of cold ionospheric outflows in the magnetotail, Ann. Geophys., 27, 3185-3201, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-3185-2009, 2009.
- Eliasson, L., André, M., Eriksson, A., Nordqvist, P., Norberg, O., Lundin, R., Holback, B., Koskinen, H., Borg, H., and Boehm, M. (1994),
- Freja observations of heating and precipitation of positive ions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 1911-1914, doi:10.1029/94GL01067, 1994.
 Fok, M.-C., Kozyra, J. U., Nagy, A. F., and Cravens, T. E.: Lifetime of ring current particles due to coulomb collisions in the plasmasphere, J. Geophys, Res., 96, 7861-7867, doi:10.1029/90JA02620, 1991.
 - Fuselier, S.A., Burch, J.L., Mukherjee, et al.: Magnetospheric ion influence at the dayside magnetopause, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 122, 8617-8631, doi:10.1002/2017JA024515, 2017.
- 790 Giang, T. T., Hamrin, M., Yamauchi, M., et al.: Outflowing protons and heavy ions as a source for the sub-keV ring current, Ann. Geophys., 27, 839-849, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-839-2009, 2009.
 - Glocer, A., Toth, G., and Fok, M.-C.: Including kinetic ion effects in the coupled global ionospheric outflow solution, J. Geophys. Res., 123, 2851-2871, doi:10.1002/2018JA025241, 2018.

Goldstein J.: Plasmasphere response: Tutorial and review of recent imaging results, Space Sci. Rev., 124, 203, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-

- 795 9105-y, 2006.
 - Gringauz, K.I., Kurt, V.G., Moroz, V.I., Shklovskii, I.S.: onized gas and high energy electrons in the vicinity of the Earth and in the interplanetary space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 132, 1062-1065, 1960.
 - Grünwaldt, H., Neugebauer, M., Hilchenbach, M., Bochsler, P., et al.: Venus tail ray observation near Earth, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 1163-1166, doi:10.1029/97GL01159, 1997.
- 800 Hamilton, D. C., Gloeckler, G., Ipavich, F. M., et al.: Ring current development during the great geo- magnetic storm of February 1986, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 14343-14355, doi:10.1029/JA093iA12p14343, 1988.
 - Harrison J., Kaiser, A., and Vanden, J. M.: Atmospheric oxygen level and the evolution of insect body size, Proc. R. Soc. B, 277, 19371946, doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0001, 2010.
 - Hill S. (1976), Influence of atmospheric oxygen concentration on acetylene reduction and efficiency of nitrogen fixation in intact Klebsiella
- pneumoniae, Microbiol., 93, 335-345, doi: 10.1099/00221287-93-2-335.
 - Hirahara, M., Mukai, T., Terasawa, T., et al.: Cold dense ion flows with multiple components observed in the distant tail lobe by Geotail, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 7769-7784, doi:10.1029/95JA03165, 1996.
 - Hirahara, M., T.Mukai, E.Sagawa, N.Kaya, and H.Hayakawa, Multiple energy-dispersed ion precipitations in low-latitude auroral oval: Evidence of E x B drift effect and up ward flowing ion contribution, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 2513-2530, doi:10.1029/96JA03148, 1997.
- 810 Hirahara, M., Seki, K., Saito, Y., and Mukai, T.: Periodic emergence of multicomposition cold ions modulated by geomagnetic field line oscillations in the near?Earth magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A03211, doi:10.1029/2003JA010141, 2004.
 - Horwitz, J. L. and Chappell, C. R.: Observations of warm plasma in the dayside plasma trough at geosynchronous orbit, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 7075-7090, doi:10.1029/JA084iA12p07075, 1979.

Hultqvist, B., Aparicio, B., Borg, H., Arnoldy, R., and Moore, T. E.: Decrease of keV electron and ion fluxes in the dayside magne- tosphere

during the early phase of magnetospheric disturbances, Planet. Space Sci., 29, 107-126, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(81)90143-4, 1981.

- Hultqvist, B.: Downward ion acceleration at auroral latitudes: cause of parallel electric field, Ann. Geophys., 20, 1117-1136, doi:10.5194/angeo-20-1117-2002, 2002.
- Jakosky, B.M., Lin, R.P., Grebowsky, J.M. et al.: The Mars atmosphere and volatile evolution (MAVEN) mission, Space. Sci. Rev., 195, 3-48, doi:10.1007/s11214-015-0139-x, 2015.
- 820 Jordanova, V. K., Farrugia, C. J., Quinn, J. M., et al.: Simulation of off-equatorial ring current ion spectra measured by Polar for a moderate storm at solar minimum, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 429-436, doi:10.1029/98JA02658, 1999.
 - Kistler, L.M., Mouikis, C., Möbius, E. Klecker, B., Sauvaud, J. A., et al.:, Contribution of nonadiabatic ions to the cross-tail current in an O+ dominated thin current sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A06213, doi:10.1029/2004JA010653, 2005.
 - Kistler, L.M., Galvin, A.B., Popecki, M.A., et al.: Escape of O⁺ through the distant tail plasma sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L21101, doi:10.1029/2010GL045075, 2010.
 - Kistler, L.M., and Mouikis, C.G.: The inner magnetosphere ion composi-tion and local time distribution over asolar cycle, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 2009-2032, doi:10.1002/2015JA021883, 2016.
 - Kovrazhkin, R. A., Sauvaud, J.-A., and Delcourt, D. C.: INTERBALL-Auroral observations of 0.1-12 keV ion gaps in the diffuse auroral zone, Ann. Geophys., 17, 734?742, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-999-0734-9, 1999.
- 830 Krauss, S., Fichtinger, B., Lammer, H., et al.: Solar flares as proxy for the young Sun: satellite observed thermosphere response to an X17.2 flare of Earth's upper atmosphere, Ann. Geophys., 30, 1129-1141, doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1129-2012, 2012.

Kronberg, E.A., Ashour-Abdalla, M., Dandouras, I. et al.: Circulation of heavy ions and their dynamical effects in the magnetosphere: recent observations and models, Space Sci. Rev., 184, 173-235, doi:10.1007/s11214-014-0104-0, 2014.

- Lakhina, G.S. and Tsurutani, B.T. (2017), Satellite drag effects due to uplifted oxygen neutrals during super magnetic storms, Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 24, 745-750, doi:10.5194/npg-24-745-2017, 2017
 - Lennartsson, O. W.: ISEE ion composition data with implications for solar wind entry into Earth's magnetotail, Space Sci. Rev., 80, 305-323, doi:10.1023/A:1004982122553, 1997.
 - Lennartsson, O. W.: Ion composition aspects of magnetotail plasma flows, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 15621-15634, doi:10.1029/2000JA000427, 2001.
- 840 Lennartsson, O. W., Collin, H. L., and Peterson, W. K.: Solar wind control of Earth's H+ and O+ outflow rates in the 15 eV to 33 keV energy range, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A12212, doi:10.1029/2004JA010690, 2004.

Loesche W.J. (1969), Oxygen sensitivity of various anaerobic bacteria, Appl. Microbiol., 18, 723-727.

825

- Lotko, W.: The magnetosphere-ionosphere system from the perspective of plasma circulation: A tutorial, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys., 69, 191-211, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2006.08.011, 2007.
- 845 Lundin, R.: Plasma composition and flow characteristics in the magnetospheric boundary layers connected to the polar cusp, in The Polar Cusp, edited by J. A. Holtet and A. Egeland, 9, D. Reidel Publishing Comp., 1985.
 - Lundin, R., Yamauchi, M., Woch, J., and Marklund G.: Boundary layer polarization and voltage in the 14 MLT region, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 7587-7597, doi:10.1029/94JA02523, 1995.

Lundin, R. and Guglielmi, A.:, Ponderomotive forces in cosmos, Space Sci. Rev., 127, 1-116, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-8314-8, 2006.

- 850 Maezawa, K. and Hori, T..:The DistantMagnetotail: Its Structure, IMF Dependence and Thermal Properties, in New Perspectives on the Earth's Magnetotail, eds by A. Nishida, D. N. Baker and S. W. H. Cowley, 1-19, AGU, WashingtonD.C, 1998.
 - Maggiolo, R., and Kistler, L.M.; Spatial variation in the plasma sheetcomposition: Dependence on geomag-netic and solar activity, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 2836-2857, doi:10.1002/2013JA019517, 2014.

Maggiolo, R.:, Auroral arcs and ion outflow, in Auroral Dynamics and Space Weather, eds by Zhang, Y. and Paxton, L.J., 39-58, AGU, Washington DC, doi:10.1002/9781118978719.ch4, 2015.

- Marcucci, M. F., Bavassano-Cattaneo M. B. Pallocchia, G., et al.: Energetic magnetospheric oxygen in the magnetosheath and its response to IMF orientation: Cluster observations, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A07203, doi:10.1029/2003JA010312, 2004.
 - Meier R.R., Picone J.M., Drob D., et al.: Remote Sensing of Earth's Limb by TIMED/GUVI: Retrieval of thermospheric composition and temperature, Earth Space Sci., 2, 1-37. doi:10.1002/2014EA000035, 2015.
- 860 Moore, T. E., and Delcourt, D. C.: The geopause, Rev. Geophys., 33, 175-209, doi:10.1029/95RG00872, 1995.

855

- Moore T.E., Lundin R., Alcayde D., et al.: Source processes in the high-latitute ionosphere, Chapter 2 in Magnetospheric Plasma Sources and Losses, edited by B. Hultqvist et al., Kluwer academic publication, Space Sci. Rev., 88, 7-84. doi:10.1023/A:1005299616446, 1999a.
 - Moore, T.E. Peterson, W.K., Russell, C.T., et al.: Ionospheric mass ejection in response to a CME, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 2339-2342, doi:10.1029/1999GL900456, 1999b.
- 865 Moore, T.E. and Horwitz, J.L.: Stellar ablation of planetary atmospheres, Rev. Geophys., 45, RG3002, doi:10.1029/2005RG000194, 2007. Moore, T.E., Fok, M.-C., and Garcia-Sage, K.: The ionospheric outflow feedback loop, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys., 115-116, 59-66, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2014.02.002, 2014.
 - Möbius, E., Tang, L., Kistler L. M., et al.: Species dependent energies in upward directed ion beams over auroral arcs as observed with FAST TEAMS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2029-032, doi:10.1029/98GL00381, 1998.
- 870 Newell, P. T. and Meng, C. I.: Substorm introduction of 1 keV magnetospheric ions into the inner plasmasphere, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 11133-11145, doi:10.1029/JA091iA10p11133, 1986.
 - Newell, P. T., Sotirelis, T., and Wing, S.: Seasonal variations in diffuse, monoenergetic, and broadband aurora, J. Geophys. Res., 115, A03216, doi:10.1029/2009JA014805, 2010.
 - Nilsson, H., Waara, M., Arvelius, S., et al.: Characteristics of high altitude oxygen ion energization and outflow as observed by Cluster: a

statistical study, Ann. Geophys., 24(3), 1099-1112, doi:10.5194/angeo-24-1099-2006, 2006.

- Nilsson, H., Barghouthi, I. A., Slapak, R., et al.: Hot and cold ion outflow: spatial distribution of ion heating, J. Geophys. Res., 117, A11 201, doi:10.1029/2012JA017974, 2012.
 - Nilsson, H., Hamrin, M., Pitkänen, T., et al.: Oxygen ion response to proton bursty bulk flows, J. Geophys. Res., Space Physics, 121, 7535-7546, doi:10.1002/2016JA022498, 2016.
- 880 Norqvist, P., André, M., Eliasson, et al.: Ion cyclotron heating in the dayside magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 101(A6), 13179-13193, doi:10.1029/95JA03596, 1996.
 - Norqvist, P., Andre, M., and Tyrland, M.: A statistical study of ion energization mechanisms in the auroral region, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 23459-23474, doi:10.1029/98JA02076, 1998.
 - Nosé, M., Ieda, A., and Christon, S. P., Geotail observations of plasma sheet ion composition over 16 years: On variations of average plasma
- ion mass and O+ triggering substorm model, J. Geophys. Res., 114, A07223, doi:10.1029/2009JA014203, 2009.
 - Ohtani, S., Potemra, T. A., Newell, P. T., et al.: Simultaneous prenoon and postnoon observations of three field-aligned current systems from Viking and DMSP-F7, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 119-136, doi:10.1029/94JA02073, 1995.
 - Ohtani, S., Shay, M. A., and Mukai, T.: Temporal structure of the fast convective flow in the plasma sheet: Comparison between observations and two-fluid simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A03210, doi:10.1029/2003JA010002, 2004.
- 890 Olsen, R. C., Shawhan, S. D., Gallagher, D. L., et al.: Plasma observations at the Earth's magnetic equator, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 2385-2407, doi:10.1029/JA092iA03p02385, 1987.

Olsen, R. C.: The density minimum at the Earth's magnetic equator, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 1135-1150, doi:10.1029/91JA02719, 1992.

Øieroset, M., M. Yamauchi, L. Liszka and S. P. Christon (2000), Energetic ion outflow from the dayside ionosphere and its relationship to the interplanetary magnetic field and substorm activity, J. Atmos. Terres. Phys, 485-493, doi:10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00016-X, 2000.

895 Opitz, A., Sauvaud, J.-A., Klassen, A., et al.: Solar wind control of the terrestrial magnetotail as seen by STEREO, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 6342-6355, doi:10.1002/2014JA019988, 2014.

Park, C. G. (1974), Some features of plasma distribution in plasmasphere deduced from antarctic whistlers, J. Geophys. Res., 79(1), 169-173.

Peterson, W. K., Collin, H. L., Yau, A. W., and Lennartsson, O. W.: Polar/TIMAS observations of suprathermal ion outflow during solar minimum conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 6059, doi:10.1029/2000JA003006, 2001.

900 Peterson, W. K., Collin, H. L., Lennartsson, O. W., and Yau, A. W.: Quiet time solar illumination effects on the fluxes and characteristic energies of ionospheric outflow, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A11S05, doi:10.1029/2005JA011596, 2006.

Peterson, W. K., Andersson, L., Callahan, B. C., et al.: Solar-minimum quiet time ion energization and outflow in dynamic boundary related coordinates, J. Geophys. Res., 113, A07222, doi:10.1029/2008JA013059, 2008.

Potemra, T. A.: Sources of large-scale Birkeland currents, in Physical signatures of magnetospheric boundary layer process, edited by J. A.

905 Holtet, and A. Egeland, pp. 3-27, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1994.

925

Pollock, C. J., Chandler, M. O., Moore, T. E., et al.: A survey of upwelling ion event characteristics, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 18969-18980, doi:10.1029/JA095iA11p18969, 1990.

- 910 Rème, H., Aoustin, C., Bosqued, J. M., et al.: First multispacecraft ion measurements in and near the Earth's magnetosphere with the identical Cluster ion spectrometry (CIS) experiment, Ann. Geophys., 19, 1303-1354, doi:10.5194/angeo-19-1303-2001, 2001.
 - Ribas, S., Guinan, E. F., Güdel, M., and Audard, M.: Evolution of the solar activity over time and effects on planetary atmospheres. I. High-energy irradiances (1-1700 Å), Astrophys. J., 622, 680-694, doi:10.1086/427977, 2005.
- Sandel, B. R., and Denton, M. H.: Global view of refilling of the plasmasphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L17102, doi:10.1029/2007GL030669, 2007.
 - Sandel, B. R.: Composition of the plasmasphereand implications for refilling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L14104, doi:10.1029/2011GL048022, 2011.
 - Sandhu, J. K., Yeoman, T. K., Fear, R. C., and Dandouras, I.: A statistical study of magnetospheric ion composition along the geomagnetic field using the Cluster spacecraft for L values between 5.9 and 9.5, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 2194-2208, doi:10.1002/2015JA022261, 2016.
- 920 Sauvaud, J.-A., Crasnier, J., Mouala, K., et al.: Morning sector ion precipitation following substorm injections, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 3430-3438, doi:10.1029/JA086iA05p03430, 1981.
 - Sauvaud, J.?A., Popescu, D., Delcourt, D.C., et al: Sporadic plasma sheet ion injections into the high?altitude auroral bulge: Satellite observations, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 28565-28586, doi:10.1029/1999JA900293, 1999.

Sauvaud, J.-A., Lundin, R., Rème, et al.: Intermitent thermal plasma accelerationlinked to sporadic motions of the magnetopause: first Cluster results, Ann. Geophys., 19, 1523-1532, doi:10.5194/angeo-19-1523-2001, 2001

- J.-A. Sauvaud, Louarn, P., Fruit, G., Stenuit, H., et al.: Case studies of the dynamics of ionospheric ions in the Earth's magnetotail, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A01212, doi:10.1029/2003JA009996, 2004.
- Schillings, A., Nilsson, H., Slapak, R., et al.: Relative outflow enhancements during major geomagnetic storms Cluster observations, Ann. Geophys., 35, 1341-1352, doi:10.5194/angeo-35-1341-2017, 2017.

Quinn, J. M. and McIlwain, C. E.: Bouncing ion clusters in the Earth's magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 7365-7370, doi:10.1029/JA084iA12p07365, 1979.

- 930 Schillings, A., Slapak, R., Nilsson, N., et al.: Earth atmospheric loss through the plasma mantle and its dependence on solar wind parameters, Earth Planet Space, 71, 70, doi:10.1186/s40623-019-1048-0, 2019.
 - Seki, K., Elphic, R. C., Hirahara, M., et al.: On atmospheric loss of oxygen ions from Earth through magnetospheric processes, Science, 291, 1939-1941, doi:10.1126/science.1058913, 2001.
- Seki, K., Hirahara, M., Hoshino, M., et al.: Cold ions in the hot plasma sheet of Earth's magnetotail, Nature, 422, 589-592, doi:10.1038/nature01502, 2003.
 - Sergeev, V.A., Malkov, M., Mursula, K.: Testing the isotropic boundary algorithm method to evaluate the magnetic field configuration in the tail, J. Geophys. Res. 98, 7609-7620, doi:10.1029/92JA02587, 1993.
 - Shelley, E. G., Johnson, R. G., and Sharp, R. D.: Satellite observations of energetic heavy ions during a geomagnetic storm, J. Geophys. Res., 77, 6104-6110, doi:10.1029/JA077i031p06104, 1972.
- 940 Sibeck, D. G., McEntire, R. W., Lui, A. T. Y., et al.: Lopez, R. E., Krimigis, S. M., Decker, R. B., Zanetti, L. J., and Potemra, T. A.: Energetic magnetospheric ions at the dayside magnetopause: Leakage or merging?, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 12097-12114, doi:10.1029/JA092iA11p12097, 1987.
 - Sibeck, D. G., Paschmann, G., Treumann, R. A., et al.: Plasma transfer processes at the magnetopause, Chapter 5 in Magnetospheric Plasma Sources and Losses, edited by B.Hultqvist et al., Kluwer academic publication, Space Sci. Rev., 88, 207-283, doi:10.1023/A:1005255801425, 1999.
- Singh, N., and Horwitz, J.L.: Plasmasphere refilling: Recent observations and modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 1049-1079, doi:10.1029/91JA02602, 1992.
 - Slapak, R., Nilsson, H., and Westerberg, L. G.: A statistical study on O+ flux in the dayside magnetosheath, Ann. Geophys., 31, 1005-1010, doi:10.5194/angeo-31-1005-2013, 2013.
- 950 Slapak, R., Schillings, A., Nilsson, H., et al.: Atmospheric loss from the dayside open polar region and its dependence on geomagnetic activity: Implications for atmospheric escape on evolutionary time scales, Ann. Geophys., 35, 721-731, doi:10.5194/angeo-35-721-2017, 2017a.
 - Slapak R., Hamrin M., Pitkänen T., et al.: Quantification of the total ion transport in the near-Earth plasma sheet, Ann. Geophys., 35, 869-877, doi:10.5194/angeo-35-869-2017, 2017b.
- Sonnerup, B.U.: Magnetopause reconnection rate, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 1546-1549, doi:10.1029/JA079i010p01546, 1974.
 - Strangeway, R.J., Ergun, R.E., Su, Y.-J., et al: Factors controlling ionospheric outflows as observed at intermediate altitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A03221, doi:10.1029/2004JA010829, 2005.
 - Su, Y. J., Horwitz, J. L., Moore, T. E., et al.: Polar wind survey with the Thermal Ion Dynamics Experiment/Plasma Source Instrument suite aboard POLAR, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 29305-29337, doi:10.1029/98JA02662, 1998.
- 960 Tian, F., Kasting, J.F., Liu, H.-L., and Roble, R.G.: Hydrodynamic planetary thermosphere model: 1. Response of the Earth's thermosphere to extreme solar EUV conditions and the significance of adiabatic cooling, J. Geophys. Res., 113, E05008, doi:10.1029/2007JE002946, 2008.
 - Vasyliunas, V.M. (1995), Multiple-branch model of the open magnetopause, Geophys. Res. Lets., 22, 1145-1147, doi:10.1029/95GL01068. Vallat, C., Ganushkina, N., Dandouras, I., et al.: Ion multi-nose structures observed by Cluster in the inner Magnetosphere, Ann. Geophys.,
- 965 25, 171-190, doi:10.5194/angeo-25-171-2007, 2007.

945

Waara, M., Slapak, R., Nilsson, H., et al.: Statistical evidence for O+ energization and outflow caused by wave-particle interaction in the high altitude cusp and mantle, Ann. Geophys., 29, 945-954, doi:10.5194/angeo-29-945-2011, 2011. Walker, R., Terasawa, T., Christon, S.P., et al.: Source and loss processes in the magnetotail, Space Sci. Rev., 88, 285-353, doi:10.1023/A:1005207918263, 1999.

- 970 Waite, J.H., Gallagher, D.L., Chandler, M.O., et al.: Plasma and field observations of a Pc 5 wave event, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 11147-11161, doi:10.1029/JA091iA10p11147, 1986.
 - Watanabe, S., Whalen, B.A., and Yau, A.W.: Thermal ion observations of depletion and refilling in the plasmaspheric trough, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 1081-1096, doi:10.1029/91JA02818, 1992.
 - Welling, D.T., Jordanova, V. K., Zaharia, S. G., et al.: The effects of dynamic ionospheric outflow on the ring current, J. Geophys. Res., 116,

975 A00J19, doi:10.1029/2010JA015642, 2011.

- Welling, D.T., André, M., Dandouras, I., et al.: The Earth: plasma sources, losses, and transport processes, Space. Sci. Rev., 192, 145, doi:10.1007/s11214-015-0187-2, 2015.
- Winglee, R. M., Chua, D., Brittnacher, M., Parks, G. K., and Lu, G. (2002), Global impact of ionospheric outflows on the dynamics of the magnetosphere and cross-polar cap potential, J. Geophys. Res., 107(A9), 1237, doi:10.1029/2001JA000214.
- 980 Winningham, D. J., F. Yasuhara, S.-I. Akasofu, and W. J. Heikkila (1975), The latitudinal morphology of 10 eV to 10 keV electron fluxes during quiet and disturbed times in the 2100-0300 MLT sector, J. Geophys. Res., 80, 3148.
 - Woch, J., and Lundin, R. (1993), The low-latitude boundary layer at mid-altitudes: Identification based on Viking hot plasma data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 979-982.

Wood, B. E.: The solar wind and the Sun in the past, Space Sci. Rev., 126, 3-14, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-9006-0, 2006.

- 985 Yamauchi, M., R. Lundin, K. Mursula, G. Marklund, and T. A. Potemra.: Dayside Pc5 pulsation detected by Viking ion data at L=4, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 2517-2520, doi:10.1029/96GL02140, 1996b.
 - Yamauchi, M., and Lundin R.: The wave-assisted cusp model: Comparison to low-altitude observations, Phys. Chem. Earth, 22, 729-734, doi:10.1016/S0079-1946(97)00203-6, 1997.

Yamauchi, M., Lundin, R., Eliasson, L.: Structures of sub-keV ions inside the ring current region, in "The Inner Magnetosphere: Physics and

- 990 Modeling", AGU Geophys. Monograph, 155, edited by T.I. Pulkkinen, N.A. Tsyganenko, and R. Friedel, 41-46, doi:10.1029/155GM05, 2005a.
 - Yamauchi, M., Eliasson, L., Lundin, R., and Norberg, O.: Unusual heavy ion injection events observed by Freja, Ann. Geophys., 23, 535-543, doi:10.5194/angeo-23-535-2005, 2005b

Yamauchi, M. and Lundin, R.: Sub-keV ring current ions as the tracer of substorm injection, Ann. Geophys., 24, 355–366, 10.5194/angeo-

- 995 24-355-2006, 2006.
 - Yamauchi, M., Brandt, P. C., Ebihara, Y., et al.: Source location of the wedge-like dispersed ring current in the morning sector during a substorm, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A11S09, 10.1029/2006JA011621, 2006a.

Yamauchi, M., Iyemori, Y., Frey, H. and Henderson, M.: Unusually quick development of a 4000 nT substorm during the initial 10 min of the 29 October 2003 magnetic storm, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A04217, doi:10.1029/2005JA011285, 2006b.

1000 Yamauchi, M. and Wahlund, J.-E.: Role of the ionosphere for the atmospheric evolution of planets, Astrobiology J., 7, 783-800, doi:10.1089/ast.2007.0140, 2007.

Yamauchi, M., Ebihara, Y., Dandouras, I., and Rème, H.: Dual source populations of substorm-associated ring current ions, Ann. Geophys., 27, 1431-1438, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-1431-2009, 2009a

Yamauchi, M., Dandouras, I., Daly, P. W., Stenberg, G., Frey, H. U., et al.: Magnetospheric solitary structure maintained by 3000 km/s ions

1005 as a cause of westward moving auroral bulge at 19 MLT, Ann. Geophys., 27, 2947-2969, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-2947-2009, 2009b.

- Yamauchi, M., Dandouras, I., Rème, H., and El-Lemdani Mazouz, F.: Equatorially confined warm trapped ions at around 100 eV near the plasmapause, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L15101, doi:10.1029/2012GL052366, 2012.
- Yamauchi, M., Dandouras, I., Rème, H., Lundin, R., and Kistler, L. M.: Cluster observation of few-hour-scale evolution of structured plasma in the inner magnetosphere, Ann. Geophys., 31, 1569-1578, doi:10.5194/angeo-31-1569-2013, 2013.
- 1010 Yamauchi, M., Dandouras, I., Rème, H., and Nilsson, H.: Cluster observations of hot He+ events in the inner magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 2706-2716, doi:10.1002/2013JA019724, 2014a.
 - Yamauchi, M., Ebihara, Y., Nilsson, H., and Dandouras, I.: Ion drift simulation of sudden appearance of sub-keV structured ions in the inner magnetosphere, Ann. Geophys., 32, 83-90, doi:10.5194/angeo-32-83-2014, 2014b.

Yamauchi, M., Hara, T., Lundin, R., et al.: Seasonal variation of Martian pick-up ions: evidence of breathing exosphere, Planet. Space Sci.,

- 1015 119, 54-61, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2015.09.013, 2015.
 - Yamauchi, M. and Slapak R.: Cusp current system: an energy source view, in "Electric Currents in Geospace and Beyond", AGU Geophys.
 Monogr., 235, eds A. Keiling, O. Marghitu, and M. Wheatland, Chapter 20, 339-358, 2018. doi: 10.1002/9781119324522.ch20, 2018a.
 - Yamauchi, M. and Slapak R., Energy conversion through mass loading of escaping ionospheric ions for different Kp values, Ann. Geophys., 36, 1-12, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-1-2018, 2018b.
- 1020 Yamauchi, M., Sergienko T., Enell C.-F., et al: Ionospheric response observed by EISCAT during the September 6-8, 2017, space weather event: overview, Space Weather, 16, 1437-1450, doi:10.1029/2018SW001937, 2018.
 - Yau, A. W., Peterson, W. K., and Shelley, E. G.: Quantitative Parametrization of Energetic Ionospheric Ion Outflow, in: Mod- eling Magnetospheric Plasma, 211-217, AGU, 1988.
- Yau, A. W., and Whalen, B. A.: Auroral ion composition during large magnetic storms, Can. J. Physics, 70, 500-509, doi:10.1139/p92-085, 1025 1992.
 - Yau, A. W., Abe, T., and Peterson, W. K.: The polar wind: Recent observations, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys., 69, 1936-1983, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2007.08.010, 2007.

Zong, Q.-G., Zhou, X.-Z., Wang, Y.F., et al.: Energetic electron response to ULF waves induced by interplanetary shocks in the outer radiation belt, J. Geophys. Res., 114, A10204, doi:10.1029/2009JA014393, 2009.

- 1030 Zoennchen, J. H., Nass, U., and Fahr, H. J.: Terrestrial exospheric hydrogen density distributions under solar minimum and solar maximum conditions observed by the TWINS stereo mission, Ann. Geophys., 33, 413-426, doi:10.5194/angeo-33-413-2015, 2015.
 - Zoennchen J. H., Nass U, Fahr H.J., and Goldstein J.: The response of the H geocorona between 3 and 8 Re to geomagnetic disturbances studied using TWINS stereo Lyman-α data, Ann. Geophys., 35, 171-179, doi:10.5194/angeo-35-171-2017, 2017.

1035