# Reply to the Editor and Reviewer

### **General Comments:**

Dear Dr. Fabiano Rodrigues.

First of all, thank you for consider the first round of revision of our paper. We also thank to the reviewers for dedication of time in reading and correcting our paper again. We have done our best to reply properly the concerns of the reviewer #2. Our point-by-point responses are listed as following and the necessary modifications were highlighted in the revised manuscript.

## Reviewer #2:

REVIEWER: "In the first round, I suggested that the authors should (1) improve the introduction to clarify which parts of the results are new, and (2) confirm the existence of the lunar tidal variation statistically, because fitting a semimonthly (14.5d) curve to small data segments does not prove the lunar tidal influence. I see that the authors have improved the manuscript. Concerning (1), I am satisfied with the introduction of the revised manuscript."

AUTHORS: We thank to the reviewer for considere our efforts in address the first concerning.

REVIEWER: "Concerning (2), the authors responded by including a new figure that shows the lunar-time dependence of EPB based on all the available data (Figure 4). In Figure 4, the authors also show a fit of the semimonthly curve with one standard deviation and claim that the semimonthly variation in the EPB onset time is statistically significant. However, the statistical significance of the result is not clear from the figure or text. The amplitude of the semimonthly variation is 10.82 min, while the standard deviation is 20.12 min. This seems to suggest that there is actually no evidence for the semimonthly variation in the EPB onset time. I suggest that the authors should explain how the statistical significance was evaluated. Also, the authors could improve Figure 4 so that the reader can see the lunar tidal variation. One way to do that is to calculate the mean value at each hourly interval of lunar time and plot the mean values against lunar time, together with their corresponding standard error of the mean. See, for example, Figure 2 of Forbes et al. (2013). Forbes, J. M., Zhang, X., Bruinsma, S., and Oberheide, J. (2013). Lunar semidiurnal tide in the thermosphere under solar minimum conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118(4), 1788-1801."

AUTHORS: The reviewer is right! As the text as Figure 4 were confuse. Again thank you for this important comment and contribution. We have replaced Figure 4 by a new one according to the suggestion of the reviewer and we have also included the suggested citation in the manuscript. We have also improved the text in order to explain the statistical significance of the results. So, The error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean and, of course, it can be higher than the mean values without loss of statistical significance. We have calculated the standard deviation to the amplitude and phase of the sinusoidal fit to the hourly binned points and found 4.8 min and 0.6 hours, respectively.

If we assume that it represents the measurements of uncertainties, 68% of the used hourly binned points must be between  $\pm 1\sigma$  levels. Furthermore, the 12 hours fit is relatively significant because even we have other geophysical variability in the start time of EPBs, this oscillation is always there with amplitude of 13.5 min.

# Semimonthly oscillation observed in the start time of equatorial plasma bubbles

Igo Paulino<sup>1</sup>, Ana Roberta Paulino<sup>2</sup>, Ricardo Yvan de la Cruz Cueva<sup>3</sup>, Ebenezer Agyei-Yeboah<sup>4</sup>, Ricardo Arlen Buriti<sup>1</sup>, Hisao Takahashi<sup>5</sup>, Cristiano Max Wrasse<sup>5</sup>, Angela M. Santos<sup>5</sup>, Amauri Fragoso de Medeiros<sup>1</sup>, and Inez S. Batista<sup>5</sup>

Correspondence: Igo Paulino (igo.paulino@df.ufcg.edu.br)

#### Abstract.

Using airglow data from an all sky imager deployed at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W), the start time of equatorial equatorial plasma bubbles waswere studied in order to investigate the day-to-day variability of this phenomenon. Data from a period over 10 years was analysed from 2000 to 2010. Semimonthly oscillations were clearly observedrevealed in the start time of plasma bubbles from Oi6300 airglow images during this period of observation and four case studies (September 2003, September-October 2005, November 2005 and January 2008) were chosen to show in details this kind of modulation. Since the airglow measurements are not continuous in time, more than one cycle of oscillation in the start time of plasma bubbles cannot be observed from these data. Thus, data from a digisonde atof São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) in November 2005 were used to corroborate the results. Technical/climate issues did not allow to observe the semimonthly oscillations simultaneously by the two instruments, but from October to November 2005 there was a predominance of this oscillation in the start time of the irregularities over Brazil. Besides, statistical analysis for the data in the whole period of observation has shown that the lunar tide, which has semimonthly variability, must be the main forcing for the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of equatorial plasma bubbles. The presence of this oscillation certainly contribute to the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubbles.

**Keywords:** Spread-F, Plasma bubble, Semimonthly oscillation, Lunar tide, 16d planetary wave.

#### 5 1 Introduction

Equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs) are generated appear in the bottom side of the F-region in the equatorial ionosphere when there is an unstable F-layer. They the generally occurs after the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE), after sunset. The pre-reversal enhancement consists of an increase in the eastward electric field, before its reversal to the west, which causes an enhancement in the F layer vertical drift apid up shift of the F layer before the motion of the plasma be downward reverted. The main mechanism used to explain the development of the EPBs is the Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) instability. According to this theory, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unidade Acadêmica de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Campina Grande, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, São Luís, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, Universidade do Vale do Paraíba, São José dos Campos, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Divisão de Aeronomia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, Brazil

RT growth rate is inversely proportional to the collision frequency between the neutral and ionic particles and it is proportional to the plasma density gradient. Thus, when the PRE is strong, it becomes more probable for EPBs occur.

In addition, the RT instability process needs a seeding mechanism, which has been largely studied in the last decades. Some researchers have pointed out gravity waves as seeding to the EPB (e.g., Fritts et al., 2008; Abdu et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2009; Taori et al., 2011; Paulino et al., 2011). Other studies have marked the dynamics of post sunset vortex and PRE dynamics as enough to the EPB origin (e.g., Kudeki and Bhattacharyya, 1999; Kudeki et al., 2007; Eccles et al., 2015; Tsunoda et al., 2018; Huang, 2018). The thermospheric neutral wind system and the associated electrodynamics have also been proposed as sufficient to the EPB appearance as well (e.g., Saito and Maruyama, 2009). Influences of magnetic storms and large scale waves have also been reported as important mechanism to the day-to-day variability of EPBs (e.g., Abalde et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013).

Actually, observations have shown that there is a strong day-to-day variability of the EPB occurrence and development (e.g., Carter et al., 2014; Abdu, 2019) and it is a topic of current research. There is evidence of planetary waves acting in the neutral winds and consequently changing the background condition of the atmosphere (e.g., Forbes, 1996; Takahashi et al., 2006; Abdu and Brum, 2009; Chang et al., 2010; Onohara et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2017).

Stening and Fejer (2001) published the first work proposing the influence of lunar tides in the probability of occurrence of EPBs. It is well known that the main component of the lunar tides has a semimonthly oscillation. Based on these factors, the present work shows, for the first time, that there are semimonthly oscillations statistically significant in the start time of EPBs observed by airglow images throughout the period of observations. Besides, these oscillations follow the Moon phases. These results can indicate strong evidences of the lunar semidiurnal tide modulating the wind system in the F region and consequently it is driving the time of generation of Spread-F.

#### 2 Data Analysis


25

Airglow measurements of the OI 630.0 nm (OI6300) have been recorded at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W) since September 2000. In this investigation, data from September 2000 to December 2010 were used, which corresponds to the first generation of the all sky imager deployed in this observatory.

The all sky imager is composed by a fish eye lens, a telecentric set of lens, a filter wheel, a set of lens to reconstruct the image, a Charge Coupled Device (CCD) chip and a cooling system. This instrument has a field of view of 180° of the sky. Further details of this imager have been published elsewhere (e.g., Paulino et al., 2016). Airglow images of the OI6300 were taken by about 15 days around the New Moon with integration time of 90 s. Depending on the mode of operation, images can have 2-4 min of temporal resolution. The start and end times can be extracted directly from the image header after observing the appearance or disappearance of the structures. The start time was defined as the time when the plasma bubbles appeared in the images. It generally occurs in the Northwest part of the images. After that, the plasma bubbles start their development and dynamics.

Figure 1 shows an example of the determination of the start time of EPBs on 27 January 2001. The supplementary short movie can help one to identify the time, in which the plasma bubbles start to extend to the southern part of the images.

Corroborative data from a Digisonde Portable Sounder (DPS) installed at São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) were also used to identify the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer, which corresponds to the time of the pre-reversal enhancement. The DPS is an HF radar which operates in a sweeping mode in the frequency range from 1 to 30 MHz, generating one ionogram (graphic of frequency versus virtual height) each 10 min. Besides the ionogram the DPS also detects the doppler shifts of the irregularities, which can be used to calculate the drifts. This instrument consist in variable high frequency radar. In order to ealculate the vertical plasma drifts were estimated from ionograms, which are charts of the frequency versus virtual height. The DPS system has taken ionograms every 10 min. Data collected in October 2003, October 2005 and November 2005 were investigated. However, semimonthly oscillation in the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer were observed only in November 2005 and it will be discussed latterin ahead. Further details about the digisonde deployed at São Luís and the methodology of determination of the vertical plasma drifts from ionograms have been published elsewhere (e.g., Resende et al., 2019).



**Figure 1.** Sequence of OI6300 airglow images observed in São João do Cariri on 27 January 2001. One can observe the start time of the EPB on this night.

#### 3 Results and Discussion


Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of the start time of the EPBs observed in September 2003 over São João do Cariri. The solid line represents the best fit for a periodicity of 14.5 days, the stars correspond to the exact time in which the plasma bubble appeared in the OI6300 images and the filled circle shows the New Moon time. In this case, one can see a good agreement of the fit line with the observation during a half cycle of the oscillation. The amplitude of this oscillation was calculated from the fitting as  $\sim$ 52 min, i.e., there was a difference of  $\sim$ 52 min in the start time of EPBs along the observed nights.

Figure 2(b) shows the best fit 14.5 days oscillation in the start time of EPBs observed from the later September to early October 2005. For the whole period of airglow observation, it was the best case study observed because it covers a full cyclecircle of the oscillation. There was an amplitude of  $\sim$ 37 min and the position of the New Moon was observed on 03 October 2005. The predominance of this oscillation in the start time of EPBs persists up to November 2005 as shown in Figure 2(c) with higher amplitude  $\sim$ 70 min.

Similar results to September 2003 and November 2005 were found in January 2008 as one can see in Figure 2(d), inclusive the position of the New Moon in the cycle. The estimated amplitude was  $\sim$ 45 min.

The results from Figure 2 indicate that the start time of EPBs was modulated by a semimonthly oscillation. Besides, the results shown here, in other period of observation wasthere were observed a tendency of the start time replaced to follow thesefollowing this periodicities. However, only few days, less than a half month, were observed and those results are not shown here. Additionally, long term statistical analysis will be discussed latterin ahead.

The present work concentrate the discussion on the cases in which, a half cycle could be observed. Semimonthly oscillations well known in the atmosphere are: (1) Quasi 16 days planetary waves and (2) Lunar semidiurnal tide.



**Figure 2.** Start time of plasma bubble (stars) as function of time. Solid line represents the best fit to a sinusoidal oscillation with period of 14.5 days. The respective amplitudes are shown on the middle top of the panels. Panel (a) shows the results for September 2003. Panel (b) shows the results for September - October 2005. Panel (c) shows the results for October-November 2005. Panel (d) shows the results for January 2008. Filled circles indicate the New Moons.

Simulations have shown that the 16d planetary waves (PWs) have large amplitudes in the winter hemisphere at the lower levels of the atmosphere and high latitudes, but above the mesosphere, there is a penetration of this wave to the summer hemisphere, which allows that thevit can be observed in both hemispheres including in the equatorial region (Miyoshi, 1999).

Forbes and Leveroni (1992) have pointed out that 16d oscillation in the E and F-region could be connected by the upward propagation of Rossby wave from the winter stratosphere. Although, the 16d PW has a well defined seasonality in the lower atmosphere, according to the simulations, in the upper atmosphere the presence of this oscillation has been predicted to be more spread along the year (Miyoshi, 1999). It is also important to mention that the 16d oscillations were observed in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere from 85 to 100 km altitude in the equatorial region in the zonal wind during the period around the September equinox and solstices of 1994 (Luo et al., 2002), which coincides to the periods of observation of the results of Figure 2.

Lunar semidiurnal tides have been pointed out as important factor to the appearance and the start time of EPBs (e.g., Stening and Fejer, 2001). The main reason for the influence of the lunar tides in the EPB variability is the capability of the lunar tides propagate upward to high levels of the atmosphere and consequently toit can affect the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE) amplitude and time (Stening and Fejer, 2001). Another factor to be considered is the moon phase (New Moon) that coincides to zero position of the oscillation for all observed cases, including the case study observed from the DPS that will be shown ahead. The real mechanism that allows the lunar tides to act in the PRE is not well defined, but some works have pointed out as either the direct propagation to the bottom side of ionospheric F region (e.g., Evans, 1978; Forbes, 1982) or coupling of the E region dynamo to the F region (e.g., Immel et al., 2009; Eccles et al., 2011).

In order to corroborate the present results, data from the DPS deployed in São Luís have been used to investigate the occurencetime of maximum vertical drifts, which is, in fact, the maximum in the directly associated to the PRE. The main goal of these analysis is trying to observe more this kind of oscillation in other ionospheric parameter. Although the DPS operates continuously every day, i.e., the digisonde does not depend on the tropospheric weather conditions, only a half cycle of the oscillation could be observed in the used data.

Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution of the time of the maximum vertical drift observed from the DPS data in early November 2005. An amplitude of  $\sim 46min$  was calculated, indicating that the PRE is sensible to the semimonthly oscillation as well. An important factor to these observations was that this oscillation acted in the ionosphere for a long period, since the start time of EPBs from later September (Figure 2b). There were simultaneous measurements of the start time of EPBs and the time of maximum vertical drifts in October 2005. However, the latter have not present reliable results for the semimonthly oscillation.




Figure 3. Same as Figure 2, but for time of maximum of vertical drift of the F layer.

Figures 2 and 3 show that the ionospheric parameter can be controlled by semimonthly oscillations. However, the strong day-to-day variability of the spread-F does not allow to observe this signature always. Another difficulty in the DPS data analysis was the algorithm does not give an exact start time of the oscillation, i.e., there was a temporal resolution of 10 min in this determination.

Although as the performed fit to the start time of EPBs and the fitting to the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer presented high amplitudes and very good agreements withto the observation, only one case studied presented an almost full cycle (Figure 2b). Then, a statistical analysis was done in order to observe the relevance of this approach and how much frequent is the modulation of the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of EPBs. This analysis was performed considering the potential effect of the lunar tides in the ionosphere as simulated and discussed by (Stening and Fejer, 2001). In order to do that, a methodology described by (Matsushita, 1967) has also been used.

Figure 4 shows hourly means of the local start time of EPBs as a function of the lunar local time between 18:00 and 01:00, which corresponds to almost one cycle of the lunar tidal oscillation (12 hours) with maximum after 01:00, minimum

around 21:00 local lunar time and amplitude of  $\sim$ 13.5 min. One can note that the scattering (error bars) change along the time. Assuming the standard deviation as a measurement of uncertainty, the correspondent  $1\sigma$  level of uncertainties were  $\sim$ 4.8 min in amplitude and  $\sim$ 0.63 h in phase. According to the normal distribution, 68% of the points are between the  $\pm 1\sigma$  level around the mean value. Furthermore, one can see a good agreement between the 12 hours oscillation and the data sets. This methodology has been also published in the work by Forbes et al. (2013). It is important to highlight that besides the well known temporal variability of the lunar tide, the present data carries influences of other geophysical variability in the start time of EPBs. Figure 4 shows solar local time of the start time of EPBs as a function of the local lunar time for all period of observation of the all sky airglow camera. Note that only the start time of EPBs around of the sunset was considered, i.e., plasma bubbles that appeared in the airglow mages no later than 21:10 solar local time.

10

The lunar time was calculated as  $\tau = t - \nu$ , where, t is the local solar time and  $\nu$  is the age of the Moon, which depends on the phases of the Moon. Further details about the calculation of the lunar time can be found in Paulino et al. (2017) and references therein. In Figure 4, solid line represents the best fit for a 12 hours oscillation, which released an amplitude of  $\sim 11min$  and standard deviation of the fitted curve is shown by the dashed lines.




Figure 4. Lunar Semidiurnal  $M_2$  fit (solid line) to the start time of EPBs. The error bars are the standard deviation of the data within each hourly bin. Start time of the EPBs (local time) as function of the lunar local time for whole period of observations.

From the results of Figure 4, it is clear that the semimonthly oscillation is always present in the start time of EPBs with a significante amplitude. These results are statistically significants and it was released that this kind of semimonthly oscillation is always present in the start time of EPBs. It suggests that the lunar semidiurnal tide, which has a well defined semimonthly variation, has an important role in the time of occurrence of EPBs. Previous studies have pointed out that the lunar semidiurnal tide can modulated ionospheric parameters such as the height and critical frequency of the F layer, PRE drifts, etc. The present results strongly suggest that the generation of EPBs are affected as well. Further analysis of the start time of Spread-F, using radar measurements will be important in the advances of the knowledge of the day-to-day variability of EPBs.

#### 4 Summary

Using almost one solar cycle of data from OI630 airglow images, semimonthly oscillations in the start time of EPBs were observed and the results are summarized as follow:

- Four periods of airglow observation showed amplitudes higher than 36 min in the start time of EPBs for 14.5 days oscillation, three periods of observations (September 2003, October 2005 and January 2008) revealed good fit for half cycle and the another case (September 2005) showed and complete cycle;
- DPS measurements from São Luís showed semimonthly oscillation in the maximum vertical drifts of the F region related
   to the PRE;
  - Statistical analysis in the whole period of observations of EPBs in the airglow images revealed that semimonthly oscillations are always present in the start time of EPBs, when the lunar time was considered. Thus, it strongly suggest that the lunar semidiumal tide has an important role the start time of EPBs.

The present results indicate that one semimonthly dynamical structure can control either the start time or the amplitude of the PRE that can consequently produce EPBs. These results must contribute to understanding the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubbles. However, the results show that besides the semimonthly oscillations, other phenomena are important to the day-to-day variability occurrence of EPBs since this oscillations is not dominant in the whole period of observation. Regarding to the agents that are causing this oscillation, further investigation are necessary, however, semidiurnal lunar tides appeared as an important phenomenon to the time of the appearance of EPBs.

Data availability. All sky image data can be requested from either the Aerolume (UFCG) or Lume (INPE) Groups to the e-mail address to the first author of the manuscript. DPS ionograms can be requested to Dr. Inez S. Batista (inez,batista@inpe.br)

Author contributions. IP has written the manuscript and made most of the airglow analysis. ARP has discussed the semimonthly oscillation due to lunar tides and 16d planetary waves. RYCC has contributed to the discussion on the start time of EPBs. EA-Y has reduced the whole image data calculating the start time of EPBs. RAB has contributed to run the experiments in São João do Cariri and help with the analysis. HT has contributed to the discussion of 16d oscillation. AMS has evaluated the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer. AFM has provide some computing codes to work with the OI6300 airglow images. ISB has provided the DPS data for analysis.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they do not have competing interests;

5	Acknowledgements. I. Paulino thanks to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the financial support (303511/2017-6). A. R. Paulino thanks to the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nínel Superior (CAPES) for the scholarship and CNPq by the grant (# 460624/2014-8).

#### References

25

5

- Abalde, J. R., Sahai, Y., Fagundes, P. R., Becker-Guedes, F., Bittencourt, J. A., Pillat, V. G., Lima, W. L. C., Candido, C. M. N., and de Freitas,

  T. F.: Day-to-day variability in the development of plasma bubbles associated with geomagnetic disturbances, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013788, 2009.
  - Abdu, M. A.: Day-to-day and short-term variabilities in the equatorial plasma bubble/spread F irregularity seeding and development, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6, 11, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0258-1, 2019.
- Abdu, M. A. and Brum, C. G. M.: Electrodynamics of the vertical coupling processes in the atmosphere-ionosphere system of the low latitude region, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 385–395, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353156, 2009.
  - Abdu, M. A., Alam Kherani, E., Batista, I. S., de Paula, E. R., Fritts, D. C., and Sobral, J. H. A.: Gravity wave initiation of equatorial spread F/plasma bubble irregularities based on observational data from the SpreadFEx campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 2607–2622, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-2607-2009, 2009.
- Carter, B. A., Yizengaw, E., Retterer, J. M., Francis, M., Terkildsen, M., Marshall, R., Norman, R., and Zhang, K.: An analysis of the quiet time day-to-day variability in the formation of postsunset equatorial plasma bubbles in the Southeast Asian region, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 3206–3223, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JA019570, 2014.
  - Chang, L. C., Palo, S. E., Liu, H.-L., Fang, T.-W., and Lin, C. S.: Response of the thermosphere and ionosphere to an ultra fast Kelvin wave, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015453, 2010.
  - Cueva, R. Y. C., de Paula, E. R., and Kherani, A. E.: Statistical analysis of radar observed F region irregularities from three longitudinal sectors, Annales Geophysicae, 31, 2137–2146, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-31-2137-2013, 2013.
  - de Paula, E. R. and Hysell, D. L.: The São Luís 30 MHz coherent scatter ionospheric radar: System description and initial results, Radio Science, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003RS002914, 2004.
  - Eccles, J. V., St. Maurice, J. P., and Schunk, R. W.: Mechanisms underlying the prereversal enhancement of the vertical plasma drift in the low-latitude ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 120, 4950–4970, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020664, 2015.
- Eccles, V., Rice, D. D., Sojka, J. J., Valladares, C. E., Bullett, T., and Chau, J. L.: Lunar atmospheric tidal effects in the plasma drifts observed by the Low-Latitude Ionospheric Sensor Network, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016282, 2011.
  - Evans, J. V.: A note on lunar tides in the ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 83, 1647–1652, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA083iA04p01647, 1978.
- Forbes, J. M.: Atmospheric tide: 2. The solar and lunar semidiurnal components, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 87, 5241–5252, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA087iA07p05241, 1982.
  - Forbes, J. M.: Planetary Waves in the Thermosphere-Ionosphere System, Journal of geomagnetism and geoelectricity, 48, 91–98, https://doi.org/10.5636/jgg.48.91, 1996.
  - Forbes, J. M. and Leveroni, S.: Quasi 16-day oscillation in the ionosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 981–984, https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL00399, 1992.
  - Forbes, J. M., Zhang, X., Bruinsma, S., and Oberheide, J.: Lunar semidiurnal tide in the thermosphere under solar minimum conditions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118, 1788–1801, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JA017962, 2013.

- Fritts, D. C., Vadas, S. L., Riggin, D. M., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Kamalabadi, F., Liu, H.-L., Fejer, B. G., and Taylor, M. J.: Gravity wave and tidal influences on equatorial spread F based on observations during the Spread F Experiment (SpreadFEx),
- 10 Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3235–3252, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3235-2008, https://www.ann-geophys.net/26/3235/2008/, 2008.
  - Huang, C.-S.: Effects of the postsunset vertical plasma drift on the generation of equatorial spread F, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-017-0155-4, 2018.
  - Huang, C.-S., de La Beaujardière, O., Roddy, P. A., Hunton, D. E., Ballenthin, J. O., Hairston, M. R., and Pfaff, R. F.: Large-scale quasiperiodic plasma bubbles: C/NOFS observations and causal mechanism, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118, 3602–3612, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50338, 2013.

15

25

30

35

- Immel, T. J., England, S. L., Zhang, X., Forbes, J. M., and DeMajistre, R.: Upward propagating tidal effects across the E- and F-regions of the ionosphere, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 505–512, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353167, 2009.
- Kudeki, E. and Bhattacharyya, S.: Postsunset vortex in equatorial F-region plasma drifts and implications for bottomside spread-F, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 104, 28 163–28 170, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JA900111, 1999.
- 20 Kudeki, E., Akgiray, A., Milla, M., Chau, J. L., and Hysell, D. L.: Equatorial spread-F initiation: Post-sunset vortex, thermospheric winds, gravity waves, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69, 2416 2427, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.04.012, vertical Coupling in the Atmosphere/Ionosphere System, 2007.
  - Luo, Y., Manson, A. H., Meek, C. E., Meyer, C. K., Burrage, M. D., Fritts, D. C., Hall, C. M., Hocking, W. K., MacDougall, J., Riggin, D. M., and Vincent, R. A.: The 16-day planetary waves: multi-MF radar observations from the arctic to equator and comparisons with the HRDI measurements and the GSWM modelling results, Annales Geophysicae, 20, 691–709, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-20-691-2002, https://www.ann-geophys.net/20/691/2002/, 2002.
  - Matsushita, S.: Lunar Tides in the Ionosphere, pp. 547–602, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-46082-1\_2, 1967.
  - Miyoshi, Y.: Numerical simulation of the 5-day and 16-day waves in the mesopause region, Earth, Planets and Space, 51, 763–772, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353235, 1999.
  - Onohara, A. N., Batista, I. S., and Takahashi, H.: The ultra-fast Kelvin waves in the equatorial ionosphere: observations and modeling, Annales Geophysicae, 31, 209–215, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-31-209-2013, 2013.
  - Paulino, A. R., Lima, L. M., Almeida, S. L., Batista, P. P., Batista, I. S., Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., and Wrasse, C. M.: Lunar tides in total electron content over Brazil, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122, 7519–7529, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024052, 2017.
  - Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Wrasse, C., Buriti, R., Sobral, J., and Gobbi, D.: Mesospheric gravity waves and ionospheric plasma bubbles observed during the COPEX campaign, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 73, 1575 1580, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2010.12.004, influence of Solar Activity on Interplanetary and Geophysical Phenomena, 2011.
  - Paulino, I., Medeiros, A. F., Vadas, S. L., Wrasse, C. M., Takahashi, H., Buriti, R. A., Leite, D., Filgueira, S., Bageston, J. V., Sobral, J. H. A., and Gobbi, D.: Periodic waves in the lower thermosphere observed by OI630 nm airglow images, Annales Geophysicae, 34, 293–301, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-293-2016, 2016.
- 5 Resende, L. C. A., Denardini, C. M., Picanço, G. A. S., Moro, J., Barros, D., Figueiredo, C. A. O. B., and Silva, R. P.: On developing a new ionospheric plasma index for the Brazilian equatorial F region irregularities, Annales Geophysicae Discussions, 2019, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2019-42, https://www.ann-geophys-discuss.net/angeo-2019-42/, 2019.

- Rodrigues, F. S., Hysell, D. L., and de Paula, E. R.: Coherent backscatter radar imaging in Brazil: large-scale waves in the bottomside F-region at the onset of equatorial spread F, Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3355–3364, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3355-2008, 2008.
- 10 Saito, S. and Maruyama, T.: Effects of transequatorial thermospheric wind on plasma bubble occurrences, Journal of the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, 56, 257–266, 2009.
  - Stening, R. J. and Fejer, B. G.: Lunar tide in the equatorial F region vertical ion drift velocity, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106, 221–226, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JA000175, 2001.
- Takahashi, H., Wrasse, C. M., Pancheva, D., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Lima, L. M., Batista, P. P., Clemesha, B. R., and Shiokawa,
   K.: Signatures of 3-6 day planetary waves in the equatorial mesosphere and ionosphere, Annales Geophysicae, 24, 3343–3350, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-24-3343-2006, 2006.
  - Takahashi, H., Taylor, M. J., Pautet, P.-D., Medeiros, A. F., Gobbi, D., Wrasse, C. M., Fechine, J., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Paula, E., Sobral, J. H. A., Arruda, D., Vadas, S. L., Sabbas, F. S., and Fritts, D. C.: Simultaneous observation of ionospheric plasma bubbles and mesospheric gravity waves during the SpreadFEx Campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 1477–1487, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-1477-2009, https://www.ann-geophys.net/27/1477/2009/, 2009.
  - Taori, A., Patra, A. K., and Joshi, L. M.: Gravity wave seeding of equatorial plasma bubbles: An investigation with simultaneous F region, E region, and middle atmospheric measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016229, 2011.
- Tsunoda, R. T., Saito, S., and Nguyen, T. T.: Post-sunset rise of equatorial F layer—or upwelling growth?, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 22, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0179-4, 2018.
  - Zhu, Z., Luo, W., Lan, J., and Chang, S.: Features of 3–7-day planetary-wave-type oscillations in F-layer vertical drift and equatorial spread F observed over two low latitude stations in China, Annales Geophysicae, 35, 763–776, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-35-763-2017, 2017.

#### List of changes

20

	Deleted: equatorial	•	 •	•		 •	•	 	•	 •		•			•	 •	 •		l
30	Replaced: was							 											1
	Replaced: observed							 											1
	Replaced: at							 											1
	Added: the							 											1
	Replaced: are generated							 											1
35	Replaced: They							 											1
	Deleted: s							 											1
	Replaced: an increase in the eastward electric .							 											1
	Replaced: The DPS is an HF radar which op							 											3
	Replaced: latter							 											3
5	Replaced: cycle							 											5
	Replaced: was							 											5
	Replaced: latter							 											5

	Replaced: they						•				 					 7
	Replaced: to										 					 7
10	Replaced: occurence										 					 7
	Replaced: , in fact, the maximum in the										 					 7
	Replaced: and										 					 8
	Replaced: with										 					 8
	Replaced: Figure 4 shows hourly means of th										 					 9
	Deleted: In Figure 4, solid line represents t										 					 9
290	Replaced: Lunar Semidiurnal $M_2$ fit (solid li $\dots$										 					 10
	Added: in										 					 10
	Deleted: These results are statistically signi										 					 10
	Added: that										 					 10
	Added: that										 					 11