Reply to the Editor and Reviewer

General Comments:

Dear Dr. Fabiano Rodrigues.

First of all, thank you for consider our paper for revision. We also thank to the reviewers for the important insight presented during their revisions. We have done our best to reply properly the concerns of both reviewers. Our point-by-point responses are listed as following and the necessary modifications were highlighted in the revised manuscript.

We would like to request to add Dr. Inez Batista and Dr. Angela Santos as coauthors. They have contributed to the paper on the analysis of ionograms from São Luís as suggested by the reviewers.

Reviewer #1:

REVIEWER: "In this study, the authors investigated the start time of equatorial spread-F (ESF) by using the long term dataset (2000-2010) from an all-sky airglow imager and a coherent backscatter radar at the two stations Sao Joao do Cariri and Sao Luis, respectively. They reported that the semimonthly oscillations were seen in the start time of ESF dur- ing the periods September 2003, September-November 2005, January and November 2008. It was suggested that the ESF semimonthly oscillations could be associated with the 16-day planetary waves and/or lunar semidiurnal tides which affected the pre- reversal enhancement of eastward electric field (PRE) around sunset. The result of ESF semimonthly oscillation is interesting and deserves to be published."

AUTHORS: We are grateful for the dedicated time revising our paper. We have revised the manuscript according to the reviewer's comments. We thank also for the language revision.

"Although the authors stated that a long term dataset was used in this study, it is not clear how often the semimonthly oscillation was observed. Whereas the weather could cause lack of data from all-sky airglow imager, VHF radar should not be affected. It would be better if one more figure can be included to provide both the periods with data (all-sky airglow imager and VHF coherent, respectively), and the periods when semimonthly oscillation were detected."

AUTHORS: The reviewer is right! As requested by the second reviewer as well. We have included the statistical analysis for the all period of observations of the all sky imager (Page 8 line 11 - page 9 line 11).

REVIEWER: "On the possible cause of ESF semimonthly oscillation, the authors suggested that the planetary waves and/or lunar semidiurnal tide modulated the PRE which can play an important role on the ESF generation. This can be investigated further and demon- strated by using the simultaneous F layer height measurements from the SAO Luis digisonde. And also please explain in more detail on how lunar semidiurnal tides affect the PRE." AUTHORS: We have requested those data to the INPE's colleagues. However, there were coincident data only in three periods (Oct 2003, Oct 2005 and Nov 2005). Only in early November there was observed a clear oscillation with such period in the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer. We have included it in the manuscripts (Figure 3). Maybe the temporal resolution of the ionograms (10 min) is not enough to observed easily the oscillations aways. The lunar tide can change the start time of EPBs by modulating the wind that act driving the EXB vertical drift of the F region (Page 7 Lines 11-17).

REVIEWER: "Regarding the identification of ESF start time, examples from both the airglow imager and VHF radar are suggested to be given. Further, as shown in Figure 1, the ESF structure is not obvious in the images taken at 23:48-00:21 UT. Please use arrows or other symbols to mark the ESF region.'

AUTHORS: Thank you for the suggestion. We have tried to improve the visualization of Figure 1, but in the supplementary movie, the appearance fo the bubbles is clear.

REVIEWER:" 'equatorial spread-F' and 'equatorial plasma bubble' were used in the title/abstract and text respectively. For consistency please use 'equatorial spread-F' or 'equatorial plasma bubble'."

AUTHORS: Thank you for the suggestion.

REVIEWER: "How the oscillation amplitude was calculated, peak to trough? From Figure 3, the difference of ESF start time during the period is more than 2 hours, but the amplitude is ?57 min."

AUTHORS: We have calculated it using the approach start time = $A\cos(\omega t + \phi)$, where A is the amplitude, $\omega = 2\pi/14.5(days)$ and ϕ is the phase. The reviewer is correct, the difference between the minimum and maximum in Figure 3 is ~ 2 hours, which correspond to the amplitude of ~ 1 hour as shown in the chart.

REVIEWER: "There are some misprints in the manuscript. For example ?did not allowed to?, ?it is well know that ?. Please check the whole manuscript."

AUTHORS: Thank you for the suggestion. We have revised the language as well.

Reviewer #2:

REVIEWER: "This paper describes day-to-day changes in the onset time of the equatorial spread-F, as observed by an all sky imager and coherent back scatter radar in Brazil. It is found that the onset time occasionally shows a semimonthly (14.5d) variation. The authors present argument that the observed semimonthly variation could be due to the lunar semimonthly tide or 16d planetary wave."

AUTHORS: We appreciate the revision and the contributions from the reviewer # 2. We have done our best to address all concerns pointed out by the reviewer.

REVIEWER: "I have two major concerns about this manuscript. Firstly, the introduction does not include sufficient information for the reader to understand which part of the results are new. It is stated in Page 6 Line 15, "Lunar

semidiurnal tides have been pointed out as important factor to the appearance and the start time of EPBs" but there is no reference to it. If there are already such relevant studies, they must be properly cited, and more importantly, the authors should clarify what are the new results obtained in the present study.

AUTHORS: The reviewer is right. We have improved the introduction emphasising that this is the first time that kind of study was done using airglow images and corroborating with backscatter radar measurements. We have added the citation (Page 7, line 11) and some works have been cited though the manuscript.

REVIEWER: "My second point is about the significance of the results. The authors fit a semimonthly (14.5d) curve to data segments that are sometimes shorter than one lunar cycle (Figure 2). I do not believe that it is appropriate to perform fitting to such sparse data unless the existence of the semimonthly variation is already known or highly expected. As the authors mentioned, the spread-F shows considerable day-to-day variability, and the authors' method could easily misinterpret random variability as a semimonthly oscillation. In my view there is no convincing evidence in this paper that supports the lunar semimonthly variation of the spread-F. "

AUTHORS: According to the reviewer suggestion. We have included statistical analysis for the start time of EPB and the results showed that the influence of the semimonthly oscillation if frequent. It helps us to improve our discussion as well (Page 8 line 11 - page 9 line 11). We appreciate this comment from the reviewer.

REVIEWER: "September 2003 (Figure 2a) There are only six data points. It is possible to fit "any" curve to such data. Thus the good fit does not necessarily suggest the semimonthly variation of the spread-F. The results actually seem to suggest that the start time of spread-F did not change much with time during this event.'

AUTHORS: In part, we agree with the reviewer. Any curve can be fitted to any data set. However, it fits very well and there is scientific reasons to set this kind of fit in the data. Please, see as following, another example, in which, the 14.5 days does not fit very well. Moreover, the statistical analysis can support those case studies showed in Figure 2 of the manuscript.



Figure 1: Same as Figure 3 of the manuscript, but for October 2005.

REVIEWER: "October 2005 (Figure 2b) This is the most interesting event among those investi- gated in this paper. There is a shift in the start time of spread-F to later local times by almost two hours during 22-26 October and a shift to earlier local times during 29 October-3 November. Although it is not clear at this point whether these variations have anything to do with the lunar tide or 16d PW, this event deserves more detailed investigation. For instance, the authors could check whether the PRE plasma drift velocity shows consistent behavior. The authors should also examine whether source wave (lunar tide or 16d PW) existed in the middle atmosphere during this event."

AUTHORS: We thank the suggestions from the reviewer. We have checked the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer from the digisonde data of São Luís. The results does not matched to the October, however in early November there was a clear oscillation. As explanined to the Reviewer #1, the temporal resolution of the measurements could not be enough to observed this kind os variation.

REVIEWER: "November 2005 (Figure 2c) This has the same issue as the September 2003 event. The data are too few, so that the fitting is not reliable."

AUTHORS: Same comment for Figure 2a.

REVIEWER: "January 2008 (Figure 2d) The same as 1 and 3."

AUTHORS: Same comment for Figure 2a.

REVIEWER: "November 2005 (Figure 3) This is the same event as 3 (Figure 2c) but there is a discrepancy in the phase of the semimonthly variation between Figure 2c and Figure 3. That is, the extension of the fitting curve in

Figure 3 does not match the one in Figure 2c. This demonstrates the fitting technique used in this study is not reliable for extracting the semimonthly variation of spread-F." "November 2008 (Figure 4) The observed variation is very small (i30 min). The radar data is not able to resolve such a small variation as the authors mentioned."

AUTHORS: We agree with the reviewer that the technique used to analysed the data from the backscatter radar is not well resolved. We have decided to exclude this analysis from the paper and focus on the results from the airglow as suggested by the reviewer. We are working in the data of the radar to improve our analysis and results and we will present it in a future paper.

REVIEWER: "As a summary, fitting a semidiurnal curve to small data segments is not a justifiable method to evaluate the influence of the lunar tide or 16d PW. This needs to be fixed before the paper is considered for publication."

AUTHORS: We appreciate all the comments form the Reviewer # 2 and we are presenting a new version of the manuscript considered the main suggestions presented here.

REVIEWER: "What the authors could do instead is to take a statistical approach. Since the authors have long-term observations (September 2000 to December 2010), they could simply sort the data according to the lunar phase at the time of the observations, just like earlier researchers did to extract lunar tidal variations in other ionospheric parameters (e.g., Matsushita, 1967). Matsushita, S., Lunar tides in the ionosphere, Handb. Phys., 49/2, 547, 1967"

AUTHORS: We have done it for the airglow data. Thank you for the suggestion.

Semimonthly oscillation observed in the start time of equatorial plasma bubblesSpread-F

Igo Paulino¹, Ana Roberta Paulino², Ricardo Yvan de la Cruz Cueva³, Ebenezer Agyei-Yeboah⁴, Ricardo Arlen Buriti¹, Hisao Takahashi⁵, Cristiano Max Wrasse⁵, Angela M. Santos⁵, Amauri Fragoso de Medeiros¹, and Inez S. Batista⁵

¹Unidade Acadêmica de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Campina Grande, Brazil
 ²Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brazil
 ³Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, São Luís, Brazil
 ⁴Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, Universidade do Vale do Paraíba, São José dos Campos, Brazil
 ⁵Divisão de Aeronomia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, Brazil

Correspondence: Igo Paulino (igo.paulino@df.ufcg.edu.br)

Abstract.

Using airglow data from airglow the an all sky imager and a coherent backscatter radar deployed at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W) and São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W), respectively, the start time of equatorial equatorial plasma bubblesSpread-F were studied in order to investigate the day-to-day variability of this phenomenon. Data from a period of over 10 years was

- 5 analysedinvestigated from 2000 to 2010. The Semimonthly oscillations were clearly revealed in the start time of plasma bubbles from Oi6300 airglow images during this period of observationand four case studies (September 2003, September-October 2005, November 2005 and January 2008) were chosen to show in details this kind of modulation. Since the airglow measurements are not continuous in time, more than one cycle of oscillation in the start time of plasma bubbles cannot be observed from these data. Thus, data from a digisonde of São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) in November 2005 were used to corroborate the resultseoherent
- 10 backscatter radar data appeared as an alternative to investigate the start time of the ionospheric irregularities. Semimonthly oscillation were observed in the start time of plumes (November 2005) and bottom type Spread-F (November 2008) with at least one complete cycle. Technical/climate issues did not allowallowed to observe the semimonthly oscillations simultaneously by the two instruments, but from October to NovemberSeptember to December 2005 there was a predominance of this spread-F start time oscillation in the start time of the irregularities over Brazil. Besides, statistical analysis for the data in whole period
- 15 of observation has shown that the lunar tide, which has semimonthly variability, must be the main forcing for the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of equatorial plasma bubbles. The presence of this oscillation certainly contribute to the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubblespread-F.

Keywords: Spread-F, Plasma bubble, Semimonthly oscillation, Lunar tide, 16d planetary wavePlumes.

1 Introduction

20 Equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs) appear in the bottom side of the F-region in the equatorial ionosphere when there is an unstable F-layer. It generally occurs after the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE), after sunset. The pre-reversal enhancement

consists of a rapid up shift of the F layer before the motion of the plasma be downward reverted. The main mechanism used to explain the development of the EPBs is the Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) instability. According to thisthe theory, the RT growth rate is inversely proportional to the collision frequency between the neutral and ionic particles and it is proportional to the plasma density gradient. Thus, when the PRE is strong, it becomes more probable for EPBs occur.

- In addition, the RT instability process needs a seeding mechanism, which has been largely studied in the last decades. Some researchers have pointed out gravity waves as seeding to the EPB (e.g., Fritts et al., 2008; Abdu et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2009; Taori et al., 2011; Paulino et al., 2011). Other studies have marked the dynamics of post sunset vortex and PRE dynamics as enough to the EPB origin (e.g., Kudeki and Bhattacharyya, 1999; Kudeki et al., 2007; Eccles et al., 2015; Tsunoda et al., 2018; Huang, 2018). The thermospheric neutral wind system and the associated electrodynamics have also been proposed as
- 10 sufficient to the EPB appearance as well (e.g., Saito and Maruyama, 2009). Influences of magnetic storms and large scale waves have also been reported as important mechanism to the day-to-day variability of EPBs (e.g., Abalde et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013).

Actually, observations have shown that there is a strong day-to-day variability of the EPB occurrence and development (e.g., Carter et al., 2014; Abdu, 2019) and it is a topic of current research. There is evidence of planetary waves acting in the neutral

winds and consequently changing the background condition of the atmosphere (e.g., Forbes, 1996; Takahashi et al., 2006; Abdu and Brum, 2009; Chang et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2017).

Stening and Fejer (2001) published the first work proposing the influence of lunar tides in the probability of occurrence of EPBs. It is well knownknow that the main component of the lunar tides has a semimonthly oscillationoscillations. Based on these factors, the present work shows, for the first time, that there are semimonthly oscillations statistically significant in the

20 start time of EPBs observed by airglow images throughout the period of observations. EPB, Plumes and Bottom Type Spread-F start time in different epochs of observations. Besides, these oscillations follow the Moon phases. These results can indicate strong evidences of the lunar semidiurnal tide modulating the wind system in the F region and consequently it is driving the time of generation of Spread-F.

2 Data Analysis

25 Airglow measurements of the OI 630.0 nm (OI6300) have been recorded at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W) since September 2000. In this investigation, data from September 2000 to December 2010 were used, which corresponds to the first generation of the all sky imager deployed in this observatory.

The all sky imager is composed by a fish eye lens, a telecentric set of lens, a filter wheel, a set of lens to reconstruct the image, a Charge Coupled Device (CCD) chip and a cooling system. This instrument has a field of view of 180° of the sky.

30 Further details of this imager have been published elsewhere (e.g., Paulino et al., 2016). Airglow images of the OI6300 were taken by about 15 days around the New Moon with integration time of 90 s. Depending on the mode of operation, images can have 2-4 min of temporal resolution. The start and end times can be extracted directly from the image header after observing the appearance or disappearance of the structures. The start time was defined as the time when the plasma bubbles appeared in

the images. It generally occurs in the Northwest part of the images. After that, the plasma bubbles start their development and dynamics.

Figure 1 shows an example of the determination of the start time of EPBs on 27 January 2001. The supplementary short movie can help one to identify the time, in which the plasma bubbles start to extend to the southern part of the images.

- 5 Corroborative data from a Digisonde Portable Sounder (DPS) installed at São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) were also used to identify the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer, which corresponds to the time of the pre-reversal enhancement. This instrument consist in variable high frequency radar. In order to calculate the vertical plasma drifts were estimated from ionograms, which are charts of the frequency versus virtual height. The DPS system has taken ionograms every 10 min. Data collected in October 2003, October 2005 and November 2005 were investigated. However, semimonthly oscillation in the time
- 10 of maximum vertical drift of the F layer were observed only in November 2005 and it will be discussed in ahead. Further details about the digisonde deployed at São Luís and the methodology of determination of the vertical plasma drifts from ionograms have been published elsewhere (e.g., Resende et al., 2019).eoherent VHF backscatter radar deployed at São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) were also used to identify the start time of plume and bottom type spread F (BTSF) structures. The data ranged from 02 September 2001 to 31 December 2008. The VHF coherent radar of São Luís operates at 30 MHz with a power peak of
- 15 4 kW. It has antenna half-power-full-beam-width of 10° and inter-pulse-period of 9.34 ms. The coverage in altitude of 87.5 to 1267 km and velocity of \pm 250 ms⁻¹. The altitude resolution is 2.5 km and noise band width of 120 kHz. These characteristics allow to observe irregularities of 5 m in the ionosphere. Further technical details of the São Luís' radar can be found in de Paula and Hysell (2004) and Rodrigues et al. (2008).



Figure 1. Sequence of OI6300 airglow images observed in São João do Cariri on 27 January 2001. One can observe the start time of the EPB on this night.

Start time of Plume and BTSF were defined in Cueva et al. (2013). Those parameters correspond to the exact time of appearance of plumes and BTSFs in the range time integration (RTI) maps. The temporal resolution of the start time of the spread-F calculated in the RTI maps were 12 min.

3 Results and Discussion

- 5 Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of the start time of the EPBs observed in September 2003 over São João do Cariri. The solid line represents the best fit for a periodicity of 14.5 days, the stars correspond to the exact time in which the plasma bubble appeared in the OI6300 images and the filled circle shows the New Moon time. In this case, one can see a good agreementagreements of the fit line with the observation during a half cycle of the oscillation. The amplitude of this oscillation was calculated from the fitting as ~52 min, i.e., there was a difference of ~52 min in the start time of EPBs along the observed nights.
- Figure 2(b) shows the best fit 14.5 days oscillation in the start time of EPBs observed from the later September to early October 2005. For the whole period of airglow observation, it was the best case study observed because it covers a full circle of the oscillation. There was an amplitude of \sim 37 min and the position of the New Moon was observed on 03 October 2005205. The predominance of this oscillation in the start time of EPBs persists up to November 2005 as shown in Figure 2(c) with higher amplitude \sim 70 min.
- 15 Similar results to September 2003 and November 2005 were found in January 2008 as one can see in Figure 2(d), inclusive the position of the New Moon in the cycle. The estimated amplitude was \sim 45 min.

The results from Figure 2 indicate that the start time of EPBs was modulated by a semimonthly oscillation. Besides, the results shown here, in other period of observation there were observed a tendency of the start time following this periodicities. However, only few days, less than a half month, were observed and those results are not shown here. Additionally, long term

20 statistical analysis will be discussed in ahead.

The present work concentrate the discussion on the cases in which, a half cycle could be observed. Semimonthly oscillations well known in the atmosphere are: (1) Quasi 16 days planetary waves and (2) Lunar semidiurnal tide.



Figure 2. Start time of plasma bubble (stars) as function of time. Solid line represents the best fit to a sinusoidal oscillation with period of 14.5 days. The respective amplitudes are shown on the middle top of the panels. Panel (a) shows the results for September 2003. Panel (b) shows the results for September - October 2005. Panel (c) shows the results for October-November 2005. Panel (d) shows the results for January 2008. Filled circles indicate the New Moons.

Simulations have shown that the 16d planetary waves (PWs) have large amplitudes in the winter hemisphere at the lower levels of the atmosphere and high latitudes, but above the mesosphere, there is a penetration of this wave to the summer hemisphere, which allows that it canto be observed in both hemispheres including in the equatorial region (Miyoshi, 1999).

Forbes and Leveroni (1992) have pointed out that 16d oscillation in the E and F-region could be connected by the upward

- 5 propagation of Rossby wave from the winter stratosphere. Although, the 16d PW hashave a well defined seasonality in the lower atmosphere, according to the simulations, in the upper atmosphere the presence of this oscillation has been predicted to be more spread along the year (Miyoshi, 1999). It is also important to mention that the 16d oscillations were observed in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere from 85 to 100 km altitude in the equatorial region in the zonal wind during the period around the September equinox and solstices of 1994 (Luo et al., 2002), which coincides to the periods of observation of the
- 10 results of Figure 2present results.

Lunar semidiurnal tides have been pointed out as important factor to the appearance and the start time of EPBs (e.g., Stening and Fejer, 2001). The main reason for the influence of the lunar tides in the EPB variability is the capability of the lunar tides propagate upward to high levels of the atmosphere and consequently it can affect the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE) amplitude and time (Stening and Fejer, 2001). Another factor to be considered is the moon phase (New Moon) that coincides

- 15 to zero position of the oscillation for all observed cases, including the case studystudies observed from the DPScoherent backscatter radar that will be shown ahead. The real mechanism that allows the lunar tides to act in the PRE is not well defined, but some works have pointed out as either the direct propagation to the bottom side of ionospheric F region (e.g., Evans, 1978; Forbes, 1982) or coupling of the E region dynamo to the F region (e.g., Immel et al., 2009; Eccles et al., 2011).
- In order to corroborate the present results, data from the DPSbackscatter radar deployed in São Luís have been used to investigate the time of maximum vertical drifts, which is directly associated to the PRE.start time of the bottom type spread-F (BTSF) and plumes. The main goal of these analysis is trying to observe more this kind of oscillation in other ionospheric parameter. Although the DPS operates continuously every day, i.e., the digisonde does not depend on the tropospheric weather conditions, only a half cycle of the oscillation could be observed in the used data.than one cycle of the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of spread-F, since the radar was operating continuously and does not depend on tropospheric weather conditions.
- Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution of the time of the maximum vertical drift observed from the DPS data in early November 2005. An amplitude of $\sim 46min$ was calculated, indicating that the PRE is sensible to the semimonthly oscillation as well. An important factor to these observations was that this oscillation acted in the ionosphere for a long period, since the start time of EPBs from later September (Figure 2b). There were simultaneous measurements of the start time of EPBs and the time of maximum vertical drifts in October 2005. However, the latter have not present reliable results for the semimonthly
- 30 oscillation.a complete cycle of 14.5 days in the start time of plume observed on November 2005, which coincide with the same period of observation of EPBs in the airglow images. For this case, an amplitude of \sim 1 hour was observed.



Figure 3. Same as Figure 2, but for time of maximum of vertical drift of the F layer.start time of plumes. Open circles indicate the Full Moon.

Figures 2 and 3Figures 3 and 4 show that the ionospheric parameterspread-F structures can be controlled by the semimonthly oscillationsoscillation. However, the strong day-to-day variability of the spread-F does not allow to observe this signature always. Another difficulty in the DPSradar data analysis was the algorithm does not give an exact start time of the oscillation, i.e., there was a temporal resolution of 1015 min in this determination.

5

Although as the performed fit to the start time of EPBs as the fitting to the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer presented high amplitudes and very good agreements to the observation, only one case studied presented an almost full cycle (Figure 2b). Then, a statistical analysis was done in order to observe the relevance of this approach and how much frequent is the modulation of the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of EPBs. This analysis was performed considering the potential effect of the lunar tides in the ionosphere as simulated and discussed by (Stening and Fejer, 2001). In order to do that, a methodology described by (?) has also been used.

10

Figure 4 shows solar local time of the start time of EPBs as a function of the local lunar time for all period of observation of the all sky airglow camera. Note that only the start time of EPBs around of the sunset was considered, i.e., plasma bubbles that appeared in the airglow mages no later than 21:10 solar local time.the start time evolution of the bottom type spread-F in

November 2008, one can observe two complete cycles of the start time of the BTSF fitting a semi-month oscillation with an amplitude of ~ 12 min.

The lunar time was calculated as $\tau = t - \nu$, where, t is the local solar time and ν is the age of the Moon, which depends on the phases of the Moon. Further details about the calculation of the lunar time can be found in Paulino et al. (2017) and references

5 therein. In Figure 4, solid line represents the best fit for a 12 hours oscillation, which released an amplitude of $\sim 11min$ and standard deviation of the fitted curve is shown by the dashed lines.



Figure 4. Start time of the EPBs (local time) as function of the lunar local time for whole period of observations. Same as Figure 3, but for start time of bottom type spread-F.

From the results of Figure 4, it is clear that the semimonthly oscillation is always present the start time of EPBs with a significante amplitude. These results are statistically significants and it was released that this kind of semimonthly oscillation is always present in the start time of EPBs. It suggests that the lunar semidiurnal tide, which has a well defined semimonthly variation, has an important role in the time of occurrence of EPBs. Previous studies have pointed out the lunar semidiurnal tide can modulated ionospheric parameters such as the height and critical frequency of the F layer, PRE drifts, etc. The present

10

results strongly suggest that the generation of EPBs are affected as well. Further analysis of the start time of Spread-F, using radar measurements will be important in the advances of the knowledge of the day-to-day variability of EPBs.

4 Summary

15

Using almost one solar cycle of data from OI630 airglow images and range time integration maps from a backscatter radar in
 the equatorial region over Brazil, semimonthly oscillations in the start time of 'EPBs pread-F (EPBs, BTSF and Plumes)were observed and the results are summarized as follow:

- Four periods of airglow observation showed amplitudes higher than 36 min in the start time of EPBs for 14.5 days oscillation, three periods of observations (September 2003, October 2005 and January 2008) revealed good fit for half cycle and the another case (September 2005) showed and complete cycle;
- DPS measurements from São Luís showed semimonthly oscillation in the maximum vertical drifts of the F region related to the PRETwo complete cycles of 14.5 days with amplitude of ~ 12 min were observed in the bottom type spread-F in November 2008;
 - Statistical analysis in the whole period of observations of EPBs in the airglow images revealed semimonthly oscillations are always present in the start time of EPBs, when the lunar time was considered. Thus, it strongly suggest that the lunar semidiurnal tide has an important role the start time of EPBs. Plumes observed in the RTI data showed a 14.5 cycle oscillation with amplitude of 1 hour in the start time of plumes during November 2005;

The present results indicate that one semimonthly dynamical structure can control either the start time or the amplitude of the PRE that can consequently produce EPBsSpread-F. These results must contribute to understanding the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubblesspread-F. However, the results showshows that besides the semimonthly oscillations,

- 20 other phenomena are important to the day-to-day variability occurrence of EPBs since this oscillations is not dominant in the whole period of observation. Regarding to the agents that are causing this oscillation, further investigation are necessary, however, semidiurnal lunar tides appeared as an important phenomenon to the time of the appearance of EPBs. and they are out of the scope of this work. Lunar semidiurnal tides, which have semimonthly period of oscillation have been pointed out as a likely agent to produce this kind of oscillation in the start time of Spread-F. Besides, we have discussed the importance of
- 25 16d PWs that must be further investigated before being neglected.

Data availability. All sky image data can be requested from either the Aerolume (UFCG) or Lume (INPE) Groups to the e-mail address to the first author of the manuscript. DPS ionograms can be requested to Dr. Inez S. Batista (inez,batista@inpe.br)RTI maps can be requested from the Dr. Ricardo Y. C. Cueva (navivacu@gmail.com).

Author contributions. IP has written the manuscript and made most of the airglow analysis. ARP has discussed the semimonthly oscillation due to lunar tides and 16d planetary waves. RYCC has contributed to the discussion on the start time of EPBsealculated the start time of bottom type spread-F and plumes and provide technical information about the coherent radar. EA-Y has reduced the whole image data calculating the start time of EPBs. RAB has contributed to run the experiments in São João do Cariri and help with the analysis. HT has contributed to the discussion of 16d oscillation. AMS has evaluated the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer. AFM has provide

5

10

Competing interests. The authors declare that they do not have competing interests;

some computing codes to work with the OI6300 airglow images. ISB has provided the DPS data for analysis.

Acknowledgements. I. Paulino thanks to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the financial support (303511/2017-6). A. R. Paulino thanks to the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nínel Superior (CAPES) for the scholarship and CNPq by the grant (# 460624/2014-8).

References

- Abalde, J. R., Sahai, Y., Fagundes, P. R., Becker-Guedes, F., Bittencourt, J. A., Pillat, V. G., Lima, W. L. C., Candido, C. M. N., and de Freitas,
 T. F.: Day-to-day variability in the development of plasma bubbles associated with geomagnetic disturbances, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013788, 2009.
- 5 Abdu, M. A.: Day-to-day and short-term variabilities in the equatorial plasma bubble/spread F irregularity seeding and development, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6, 11, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0258-1, 2019.
 - Abdu, M. A. and Brum, C. G. M.: Electrodynamics of the vertical coupling processes in the atmosphere-ionosphere system of the low latitude region, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 385–395, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353156, 2009.
 - Abdu, M. A., Alam Kherani, E., Batista, I. S., de Paula, E. R., Fritts, D. C., and Sobral, J. H. A.: Gravity wave initiation of equatorial
- 10 spread F/plasma bubble irregularities based on observational data from the SpreadFEx campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 2607–2622, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-2607-2009, 2009.
 - Carter, B. A., Yizengaw, E., Retterer, J. M., Francis, M., Terkildsen, M., Marshall, R., Norman, R., and Zhang, K.: An analysis of the quiet time day-to-day variability in the formation of postsunset equatorial plasma bubbles in the Southeast Asian region, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 3206–3223, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JA019570, 2014.
- 15 Chang, L. C., Palo, S. E., Liu, H.-L., Fang, T.-W., and Lin, C. S.: Response of the thermosphere and ionosphere to an ultra fast Kelvin wave, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015453, 2010.
 - Cueva, R. Y. C., de Paula, E. R., and Kherani, A. E.: Statistical analysis of radar observed F region irregularities from three longitudinal sectors, Annales Geophysicae, 31, 2137–2146, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-31-2137-2013, 2013.
- de Paula, E. R. and Hysell, D. L.: The São Luís 30 MHz coherent scatter ionospheric radar: System description and initial results, Radio
 Science, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003RS002914, 2004.
- Eccles, J. V., St. Maurice, J. P., and Schunk, R. W.: Mechanisms underlying the prereversal enhancement of the vertical plasma drift in the low-latitude ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 120, 4950–4970, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020664, 2015.

Eccles, V., Rice, D. D., Sojka, J. J., Valladares, C. E., Bullett, T., and Chau, J. L.: Lunar atmospheric tidal effects in the plasma drifts observed by the Low-Latitude Ionospheric Sensor Network, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116,

- 25 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016282, 2011.
 - Evans, J. V.: A note on lunar tides in the ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 83, 1647–1652, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA083iA04p01647, 1978.
 - Forbes, J. M.: Atmospheric tide: 2. The solar and lunar semidiurnal components, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 87, 5241–5252, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA087iA07p05241, 1982.
- 30 Forbes, J. M.: Planetary Waves in the Thermosphere-Ionosphere System, Journal of geomagnetism and geoelectricity, 48, 91–98, https://doi.org/10.5636/jgg.48.91, 1996.

Forbes, J. M. and Leveroni, S.: Quasi 16-day oscillation in the ionosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 981–984, https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL00399, 1992.

- Fritts, D. C., Vadas, S. L., Riggin, D. M., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Kamalabadi, F., Liu, H.-L., Fejer, B. G., and
- 35 Taylor, M. J.: Gravity wave and tidal influences on equatorial spread F based on observations during the Spread F Experiment (SpreadFEx), Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3235–3252, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3235-2008, https://www.ann-geophys.net/26/3235/2008/, 2008.

- Huang, C.-S.: Effects of the postsunset vertical plasma drift on the generation of equatorial spread F, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-017-0155-4, 2018.
- Huang, C.-S., de La Beaujardière, O., Roddy, P. A., Hunton, D. E., Ballenthin, J. O., Hairston, M. R., and Pfaff, R. F.: Large-scale quasiperiodic plasma bubbles: C/NOFS observations and causal mechanism, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118, 3602–3612, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50338, 2013.
- Immel, T. J., England, S. L., Zhang, X., Forbes, J. M., and DeMajistre, R.: Upward propagating tidal effects across the E- and F-regions of the ionosphere, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 505–512, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353167, 2009.
- Kudeki, E. and Bhattacharyya, S.: Postsunset vortex in equatorial F-region plasma drifts and implications for bottomside spread-F, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 104, 28 163–28 170, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JA900111, 1999.
- 10 Kudeki, E., Akgiray, A., Milla, M., Chau, J. L., and Hysell, D. L.: Equatorial spread-F initiation: Post-sunset vortex, thermospheric winds, gravity waves, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69, 2416 – 2427, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.04.012, vertical Coupling in the Atmosphere/Ionosphere System, 2007.
 - Luo, Y., Manson, A. H., Meek, C. E., Meyer, C. K., Burrage, M. D., Fritts, D. C., Hall, C. M., Hocking, W. K., MacDougall, J., Riggin, D. M., and Vincent, R. A.: The 16-day planetary waves: multi-MF radar observations from the arctic to equator and comparisons with the
- 15 HRDI measurements and the GSWM modelling results, Annales Geophysicae, 20, 691–709, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-20-691-2002, https://www.ann-geophys.net/20/691/2002/, 2002.
 - Miyoshi, Y.: Numerical simulation of the 5-day and 16-day waves in the mesopause region, Earth, Planets and Space, 51, 763–772, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353235, 1999.
 - Paulino, A. R., Lima, L. M., Almeida, S. L., Batista, P. P., Batista, I. S., Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., and Wrasse, C. M.: Lunar tides in total
- electron content over Brazil, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122, 7519–7529, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024052, 2017.
 - Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Wrasse, C., Buriti, R., Sobral, J., and Gobbi, D.: Mesospheric gravity waves and ionospheric plasma bubbles observed during the COPEX campaign, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 73, 1575 – 1580, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2010.12.004, influence of Solar Activity on Interplanetary and Geophysical Phenomena,
- 25 2011.

5

- Paulino, I., Medeiros, A. F., Vadas, S. L., Wrasse, C. M., Takahashi, H., Buriti, R. A., Leite, D., Filgueira, S., Bageston, J. V., Sobral, J. H. A., and Gobbi, D.: Periodic waves in the lower thermosphere observed by OI630 nm airglow images, Annales Geophysicae, 34, 293–301, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-293-2016, 2016.
- Resende, L. C. A., Denardini, C. M., Picanço, G. A. S., Moro, J., Barros, D., Figueiredo, C. A. O. B., and Silva, R. P.: On develop ing a new ionospheric plasma index for the Brazilian equatorial F region irregularities, Annales Geophysicae Discussions, 2019, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2019-42, https://www.ann-geophys-discuss.net/angeo-2019-42/, 2019.
 - Rodrigues, F. S., Hysell, D. L., and de Paula, E. R.: Coherent backscatter radar imaging in Brazil: large-scale waves in the bottomside F-region at the onset of equatorial spread F, Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3355–3364, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3355-2008, 2008.
 - Saito, S. and Maruyama, T.: Effects of transequatorial thermospheric wind on plasma bubble occurrences, Journal of the National Institute
- 35 of Information and Communications Technology, 56, 257–266, 2009.
 - Stening, R. J. and Fejer, B. G.: Lunar tide in the equatorial F region vertical ion drift velocity, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106, 221–226, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JA000175, 2001.

Takahashi, H., Wrasse, C. M., Pancheva, D., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Lima, L. M., Batista, P. P., Clemesha, B. R., and Shiokawa, K.: Signatures of 3-6 day planetary waves in the equatorial mesosphere and ionosphere, Annales Geophysicae, 24, 3343–3350, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-24-3343-2006, 2006.

Takahashi, H., Taylor, M. J., Pautet, P.-D., Medeiros, A. F., Gobbi, D., Wrasse, C. M., Fechine, J., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Paula, E.,

5 Sobral, J. H. A., Arruda, D., Vadas, S. L., Sabbas, F. S., and Fritts, D. C.: Simultaneous observation of ionospheric plasma bubbles and mesospheric gravity waves during the SpreadFEx Campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 1477-1487, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-1477-2009, https://www.ann-geophys.net/27/1477/2009/, 2009.

Taori, A., Patra, A. K., and Joshi, L. M.: Gravity wave seeding of equatorial plasma bubbles: An investigation with simultaneous F region, E region, and middle atmospheric measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016229, 2011.

10

- Tsunoda, R. T., Saito, S., and Nguyen, T. T.: Post-sunset rise of equatorial F layer-or upwelling growth?, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 22, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0179-4, 2018.
- Zhu, Z., Luo, W., Lan, J., and Chang, S.: Features of 3–7-day planetary-wave-type oscillations in F-layer vertical drift and equatorial spread F observed over two low latitude stations in China, Annales Geophysicae, 35, 763–776, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-35-763-2017, 2017.

List of changes 15

	Replaced: plasma bubbles		•	 •		•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	•		•	 •	•	• •	•		1
	Added: Angela		•	 •			 •		 •	•	•					 •			•		1
	Added: M. Santos		•	 			 •														1
	Added: Inez		•	 •			 •			•									•		1
20	Added: S. Batista		•	 •			 •			•									•		1
	Added: airglow		•	 			 •														1
	Deleted: airglow the		•	 •			 •			•									•		1
	Deleted: and a coherent backscatter radar		•	 			 •														1
	Deleted: and São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W), res	 	•	 			 •														1
25	Replaced: equatorial plasma bubbles		•	 			 •														1
	Added: in order to investigate the day-to		•	 			 •														1
	Deleted: of		•	 			 •														1
	Replaced: analysed		•	 			 •														1
	Deleted: The		•	 			 •														1
30	Replaced: this period of observation		•	 			 •														1
	Added: four case studies		•	 			 •														1
	Added: were chosen to show in details this		•	 			 •														1
	Replaced: data from a digisonde of São Luís.	 	•	 			 •														1
	Deleted: Semimonthly oscillation were obs	 		 																	1

	Replaced: allow	 	 	 	 	•••		 	1
	Replaced: October to November	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	1
	Deleted: spread-F start time	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	1
	Added: in the start time of the irregularities	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	1
5	Added: Besides, statistical analysis for the	 	 	 	 	• •		 	1
	Replaced: equatorial plasma bubble	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	1
	Replaced: Lunar tide, 16d planetary wave	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	1
	Replaced: this	 	 	 	 	• •	· • •	 	2
	Replaced: known	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	2
10	Replaced: oscillation	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	2
	Added: for the first time,	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	2
	Added: statistically significant	 	 	 	 	•••		 	2
	Replaced: start time of EPBs observed by air.	 	 	 	 	•••	· • •	 	2
	Added: ,	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	2
15	Replaced: Digisonde Portable Sounder (DPS	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	3
	Deleted: Start time of Plume and BTSF we	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	5
	Deleted: Those parameters correspond to th	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	5
	Added: the	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	5
	Replaced: agreement	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	5
20	Replaced: 2005	 	 	 	 	• •		 	5
	Added: in the start time of EPBs	 	 	 	 	•••	· • •	 	5
20 R A A	Added: ,	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	5
	Added: Additionally, long term statistical	 	 	 	 	• •		 	5
	Added: ,	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
25	Added: that	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: can	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: has	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: results of Figure 2	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Added: (e.g., Stening and Fejer, 2001)	 	 	 	 	•••		 	7
30	Replaced: study	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: DPS	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: DPS	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7
	Replaced: time of maximum vertical drifts, w.	 	 	 	 	• •	· • •	 	7
	Replaced: this kind of oscillation in other ion.	 	 	 	 		. 	 	7
35	Replaced: the temporal evolution of the time	 	 	 	 	• •	· · ·	 	7

	Replaced: time of maximum of vertical drift		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 8	
	Replaced: Figures 2 and 3		 •••	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 8	
	Replaced: ionospheric parameter		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 8	
	Deleted: the		 • • •	• •		 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •	• •	•	 8	
5	Replaced: oscillations		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 8	
	Replaced: DPS		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •		• •	•	•	 8	
	Replaced: 10		 • • •	• •		 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •	• •	•	 8	
	Added: Although as the performed fit to t		 • • •	• •		 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •		•	 8	
	Replaced: solar local time of the start time of	•••	 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •		• •	•	•	 9	
10	Added: The lunar time was calculated as		 • • •			 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •		•	 9	
	Replaced: Start time of the EPBs (local time)	•••	 • • •			 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •		•	 9	
	Added: From the results of Figure 4, it is		 • • •			 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •		•	 10	
	Deleted: and range time integration maps fr		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •			•	•	 10	
	Replaced: '		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •			•	•	 10	
15	Replaced: DPS measurements from São Luís	•••	 • • •	• •		 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •	• •	•	 10	
	Replaced: Statistical analysis in the whole pe	•••	 • • •	• •		 •	•	 •	 •	 •				• •	• •	•	 10	
	Replaced: EPBs		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •			•	•	 10	
	Replaced: plasma bubbles		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •				• •	•	•	 10	
	Replaced: show		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •			•	•	 10	
20	Replaced: however, semidiurnal lunar tides a		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 10	
	Replaced: DPS ionograms can be requested		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •		 •			•	•	 10	
	Replaced: contributed to the discussion on th		 • • •	•		 •	•	 •	 •	 •					•	•	 11	
	Added: AMS has evaluated the time of ma		 • • •	•		 •	•	 	 •	 •					•	•	 11	
	Added: ISB has provided the DPS data for		 			 		 	 	 							 11	

Semimonthly oscillation observed in the start time of equatorial plasma bubbles

Igo Paulino¹, Ana Roberta Paulino², Ricardo Yvan de la Cruz Cueva³, Ebenezer Agyei-Yeboah⁴, Ricardo Arlen Buriti¹, Hisao Takahashi⁵, Cristiano Max Wrasse⁵, Angela M. Santos⁵, Amauri Fragoso de Medeiros¹, and Inez S. Batista⁵

¹Unidade Acadêmica de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Campina Grande, Brazil
 ²Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brazil
 ³Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, São Luís, Brazil
 ⁴Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, Universidade do Vale do Paraíba, São José dos Campos, Brazil
 ⁵Divisão de Aeronomia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, Brazil

Correspondence: Igo Paulino (igo.paulino@df.ufcg.edu.br)

Abstract.

Using airglow data from an all sky imager deployed at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W), the start time of equatorial equatorial plasma bubbles were studied in order to investigate the day-to-day variability of this phenomenon. Data from a period over 10 years was analysed from 2000 to 2010. Semimonthly oscillations were clearly revealed in the start time of plasma bub-

- 5 bles from Oi6300 airglow images during this period of observation and four case studies (September 2003, September-October 2005, November 2005 and January 2008) were chosen to show in details this kind of modulation. Since the airglow measurements are not continuous in time, more than one cycle of oscillation in the start time of plasma bubbles cannot be observed from these data. Thus, data from a digisonde of São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) in November 2005 were used to corroborate the results. Technical/climate issues did not allow to observe the semimonthly oscillations simultaneously by the two instruments,
- 10 but from October to November 2005 there was a predominance of this oscillation in the start time of the irregularities over Brazil. Besides, statistical analysis for the data in whole period of observation has shown that the lunar tide, which has semimonthly variability, must be the main forcing for the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of equatorial plasma bubbles. The presence of this oscillation certainly contribute to the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubbles.

Keywords: Spread-F, Plasma bubble, Semimonthly oscillation, Lunar tide, 16d planetary wave.

15 1 Introduction

Equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs) appear in the bottom side of the F-region in the equatorial ionosphere when there is an unstable F-layer. It generally occurs after the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE), after sunset. The pre-reversal enhancement consists of a rapid up shift of the F layer before the motion of the plasma be downward reverted. The main mechanism used to explain the development of the EPBs is the Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) instability. According to this theory, the RT growth rate

20 is inversely proportional to the collision frequency between the neutral and ionic particles and it is proportional to the plasma density gradient. Thus, when the PRE is strong, it becomes more probable for EPBs occur.

In addition, the RT instability process needs a seeding mechanism, which has been largely studied in the last decades. Some researchers have pointed out gravity waves as seeding to the EPB (e.g., Fritts et al., 2008; Abdu et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2009; Taori et al., 2011; Paulino et al., 2011). Other studies have marked the dynamics of post sunset vortex and PRE dynamics as enough to the EPB origin (e.g., Kudeki and Bhattacharyya, 1999; Kudeki et al., 2007; Eccles et al., 2015; Tsunoda et al.,

5 2018; Huang, 2018). The thermospheric neutral wind system and the associated electrodynamics have also been proposed as sufficient to the EPB appearance as well (e.g., Saito and Maruyama, 2009). Influences of magnetic storms and large scale waves have also been reported as important mechanism to the day-to-day variability of EPBs (e.g., Abalde et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013).

Actually, observations have shown that there is a strong day-to-day variability of the EPB occurrence and development (e.g.,

10 Carter et al., 2014; Abdu, 2019) and it is a topic of current research. There is evidence of planetary waves acting in the neutral winds and consequently changing the background condition of the atmosphere (e.g., Forbes, 1996; Takahashi et al., 2006; Abdu and Brum, 2009; Chang et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2017).

Stening and Fejer (2001) published the first work proposing the influence of lunar tides in the probability of occurrence of EPBs. It is well known that the main component of the lunar tides has a semimonthly oscillation. Based on these factors, the

15 present work shows, for the first time, that there are semimonthly oscillations statistically significant in the start time of EPBs observed by airglow images throughout the period of observations. Besides, these oscillations follow the Moon phases. These results can indicate strong evidences of the lunar semidiurnal tide modulating the wind system in the F region and consequently it is driving the time of generation of Spread-F.

2 Data Analysis

20 Airglow measurements of the OI 630.0 nm (OI6300) have been recorded at São João do Cariri (7.4°S, 36.5°W) since September 2000. In this investigation, data from September 2000 to December 2010 were used, which corresponds to the first generation of the all sky imager deployed in this observatory.

The all sky imager is composed by a fish eye lens, a telecentric set of lens, a filter wheel, a set of lens to reconstruct the image, a Charge Coupled Device (CCD) chip and a cooling system. This instrument has a field of view of 180° of the sky. 25 Further details of this imager have been published elsewhere (e.g., Paulino et al., 2016). Airglow images of the OI6300 were taken by about 15 days around the New Moon with integration time of 90 s. Depending on the mode of operation, images can have 2-4 min of temporal resolution. The start and end times can be extracted directly from the image header after observing the appearance or disappearance of the structures. The start time was defined as the time when the plasma bubbles appeared in the images. It generally occurs in the Northwest part of the images. After that, the plasma bubbles start their development and

30 dynamics.

Figure 1 shows an example of the determination of the start time of EPBs on 27 January 2001. The supplementary short movie can help one to identify the time, in which the plasma bubbles start to extend to the southern part of the images.

Corroborative data from a Digisonde Portable Sounder (DPS) installed at São Luís (2.6°S, 44.2°W) were also used to identify the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer, which corresponds to the time of the pre-reversal enhancement. This instrument consist in variable high frequency radar. In order to calculate the vertical plasma drifts were estimated from ionograms, which are charts of the frequency versus virtual height. The DPS system has taken ionograms every 10 min. Data

5

collected in October 2003, October 2005 and November 2005 were investigated. However, semimonthly oscillation in the time of maximum vertical drift of the F layer were observed only in November 2005 and it will be discussed in ahead. Further details about the digisonde deployed at São Luís and the methodology of determination of the vertical plasma drifts from ionograms have been published elsewhere (e.g., Resende et al., 2019).



Figure 1. Sequence of OI6300 airglow images observed in São João do Cariri on 27 January 2001. One can observe the start time of the EPB on this night.

3 Results and Discussion

Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of the start time of the EPBs observed in September 2003 over São João do Cariri. The solid line represents the best fit for a periodicity of 14.5 days, the stars correspond to the exact time in which the plasma bubble appeared in the OI6300 images and the filled circle shows the New Moon time. In this case, one can see a good agreement of

5 the fit line with the observation during a half cycle of the oscillation. The amplitude of this oscillation was calculated from the fitting as \sim 52 min, i.e., there was a difference of \sim 52 min in the start time of EPBs along the observed nights.

Figure 2(b) shows the best fit 14.5 days oscillation in the start time of EPBs observed from the later September to early October 2005. For the whole period of airglow observation, it was the best case study observed because it covers a full circle of the oscillation. There was an amplitude of \sim 37 min and the position of the New Moon was observed on 03 October 2005. The

10 predominance of this oscillation in the start time of EPBs persists up to November 2005 as shown in Figure 2(c) with higher amplitude \sim 70 min.

Similar results to September 2003 and November 2005 were found in January 2008 as one can see in Figure 2(d), inclusive the position of the New Moon in the cycle. The estimated amplitude was \sim 45 min.

The results from Figure 2 indicate that the start time of EPBs was modulated by a semimonthly oscillation. Besides, the results shown here, in other period of observation there were observed a tendency of the start time following this periodicities. However, only few days, less than a half month, were observed and those results are not shown here. Additionally, long term statistical analysis will be discussed in ahead.

The present work concentrate the discussion on the cases in which, a half cycle could be observed. Semimonthly oscillations well known in the atmosphere are: (1) Quasi 16 days planetary waves and (2) Lunar semidiurnal tide.



Figure 2. Start time of plasma bubble (stars) as function of time. Solid line represents the best fit to a sinusoidal oscillation with period of 14.5 days. The respective amplitudes are shown on the middle top of the panels. Panel (a) shows the results for September 2003. Panel (b) shows the results for September - October 2005. Panel (c) shows the results for October-November 2005. Panel (d) shows the results for January 2008. Filled circles indicate the New Moons.

Simulations have shown that the 16d planetary waves (PWs) have large amplitudes in the winter hemisphere at the lower levels of the atmosphere and high latitudes, but above the mesosphere, there is a penetration of this wave to the summer hemisphere, which allows that it can be observed in both hemispheres including in the equatorial region (Miyoshi, 1999).

Forbes and Leveroni (1992) have pointed out that 16d oscillation in the E and F-region could be connected by the upward

- 5 propagation of Rossby wave from the winter stratosphere. Although, the 16d PW has a well defined seasonality in the lower atmosphere, according to the simulations, in the upper atmosphere the presence of this oscillation has been predicted to be more spread along the year (Miyoshi, 1999). It is also important to mention that the 16d oscillations were observed in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere from 85 to 100 km altitude in the equatorial region in the zonal wind during the period around the September equinox and solstices of 1994 (Luo et al., 2002), which coincides to the periods of observation of the
- results of Figure 2. 10

Lunar semidiurnal tides have been pointed out as important factor to the appearance and the start time of EPBs (e.g., Stening and Fejer, 2001). The main reason for the influence of the lunar tides in the EPB variability is the capability of the lunar tides propagate upward to high levels of the atmosphere and consequently it can affect the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE) amplitude and time (Stening and Fejer, 2001). Another factor to be considered is the moon phase (New Moon) that coincides

- to zero position of the oscillation for all observed cases, including the case study observed from the DPS that will be shown 15 ahead. The real mechanism that allows the lunar tides to act in the PRE is not well defined, but some works have pointed out as either the direct propagation to the bottom side of ionospheric F region (e.g., Evans, 1978; Forbes, 1982) or coupling of the E region dynamo to the F region (e.g., Immel et al., 2009; Eccles et al., 2011).
- In order to corroborate the present results, data from the DPS deployed in São Luís have been used to investigate the time of maximum vertical drifts, which is directly associated to the PRE. The main goal of these analysis is trying to observe more 20 this kind of oscillation in other ionospheric parameter. Although the DPS operates continuously every day, i.e., the digisonde does not depend on the tropospheric weather conditions, only a half cycle of the oscillation could be observed in the used data.

Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution of the time of the maximum vertical drift observed from the DPS data in early November 2005. An amplitude of $\sim 46min$ was calculated, indicating that the PRE is sensible to the semimonthly oscillation

25

as well. An important factor to these observations was that this oscillation acted in the ionosphere for a long period, since the start time of EPBs from later September (Figure 2b). There were simultaneous measurements of the start time of EPBs and the time of maximum vertical drifts in October 2005. However, the latter have not present reliable results for the semimonthly oscillation.



Figure 3. Same as Figure 2, but for time of maximum of vertical drift of the F layer.

Figures 2 and 3 show that the ionospheric parameter can be controlled by semimonthly oscillations. However, the strong day-to-day variability of the spread-F does not allow to observe this signature always. Another difficulty in the DPS data analysis was the algorithm does not give an exact start time of the oscillation, i.e., there was a temporal resolution of 10 min in this determination.

Although as the performed fit to the start time of EPBs as the fitting to the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer presented high amplitudes and very good agreements to the observation, only one case studied presented an almost full cycle (Figure 2b). Then, a statistical analysis was done in order to observe the relevance of this approach and how much frequent is the modulation of the semimonthly oscillation in the start time of EPBs. This analysis was performed considering the potential effect of the lunar tides in the ionosphere as simulated and discussed by (Stening and Fejer, 2001). In order to do that, a methodology described by (Matsushita, 1967) has also been used.

Figure 4 shows solar local time of the start time of EPBs as a function of the local lunar time for all period of observation of the all sky airglow camera. Note that only the start time of EPBs around of the sunset was considered, i.e., plasma bubbles that appeared in the airglow mages no later than 21:10 solar local time.

The lunar time was calculated as $\tau = t - \nu$, where, t is the local solar time and ν is the age of the Moon, which depends on the phases of the Moon. Further details about the calculation of the lunar time can be found in Paulino et al. (2017) and references therein. In Figure 4, solid line represents the best fit for a 12 hours oscillation, which released an amplitude of $\sim 11min$ and standard deviation of the fitted curve is shown by the dashed lines.



Figure 4. Start time of the EPBs (local time) as function of the lunar local time for whole period of observations.

- 5 From the results of Figure 4, it is clear that the semimonthly oscillation is always present the start time of EPBs with a significante amplitude. These results are statistically significants and it was released that this kind of semimonthly oscillation is always present in the start time of EPBs. It suggests that the lunar semidiurnal tide, which has a well defined semimonthly variation, has an important role in the time of occurrence of EPBs. Previous studies have pointed out the lunar semidiurnal tide can modulated ionospheric parameters such as the height and critical frequency of the F layer, PRE drifts, etc. The present
- 10 results strongly suggest that the generation of EPBs are affected as well. Further analysis of the start time of Spread-F, using radar measurements will be important in the advances of the knowledge of the day-to-day variability of EPBs.

4 Summary

Using almost one solar cycle of data from OI630 airglow images, semimonthly oscillations in the start time of EPBs were observed and the results are summarized as follow:

- Four periods of airglow observation showed amplitudes higher than 36 min in the start time of EPBs for 14.5 days
- 5

10

- oscillation, three periods of observations (September 2003, October 2005 and January 2008) revealed good fit for half cycle and the another case (September 2005) showed and complete cycle;
- DPS measurements from São Luís showed semimonthly oscillation in the maximum vertical drifts of the F region related to the PRE;
- Statistical analysis in the whole period of observations of EPBs in the airglow images revealed semimonthly oscillations
 are always present in the start time of EPBs, when the lunar time was considered. Thus, it strongly suggest that the lunar
 semidiurnal tide has an important role the start time of EPBs.

The present results indicate that one semimonthly dynamical structure can control either the start time or the amplitude of the PRE that can consequently produce EPBs. These results must contribute to understanding the day-to-day variability of equatorial plasma bubbles. However, the results show that besides the semimonthly oscillations, other phenomena are important

15 to the day-to-day variability occurrence of EPBs since this oscillations is not dominant in the whole period of observation. Regarding to the agents that are causing this oscillation, further investigation are necessary, however, semidiurnal lunar tides appeared as an important phenomenon to the time of the appearance of EPBs.

Data availability. All sky image data can be requested from either the Aerolume (UFCG) or Lume (INPE) Groups to the e-mail address to the first author of the manuscript. DPS ionograms can be requested to Dr. Inez S. Batista (inez, batista@inpe.br)

- 20 Author contributions. IP has written the manuscript and made most of the airglow analysis. ARP has discussed the semimonthly oscillation due to lunar tides and 16d planetary waves. RYCC has contributed to the discussion on the start time of EPBs. EA-Y has reduced the whole image data calculating the start time of EPBs. RAB has contributed to run the experiments in São João do Cariri and help with the analysis. HT has contributed to the discussion of 16d oscillation. AMS has evaluated the time of maximum vertical drifts of the F layer. AFM has provide some computing codes to work with the OI6300 airglow images. ISB has provided the DPS data for analysis.
- 25 Competing interests. The authors declare that they do not have competing interests;

Acknowledgements. I. Paulino thanks to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the financial support (303511/2017-6). A. R. Paulino thanks to the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nínel Superior (CAPES) for the scholarship and CNPq by the grant (# 460624/2014-8).

References

- Abalde, J. R., Sahai, Y., Fagundes, P. R., Becker-Guedes, F., Bittencourt, J. A., Pillat, V. G., Lima, W. L. C., Candido, C. M. N., and de Freitas,
 T. F.: Day-to-day variability in the development of plasma bubbles associated with geomagnetic disturbances, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013788, 2009.
- 5 Abdu, M. A.: Day-to-day and short-term variabilities in the equatorial plasma bubble/spread F irregularity seeding and development, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6, 11, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0258-1, 2019.
 - Abdu, M. A. and Brum, C. G. M.: Electrodynamics of the vertical coupling processes in the atmosphere-ionosphere system of the low latitude region, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 385–395, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353156, 2009.
 - Abdu, M. A., Alam Kherani, E., Batista, I. S., de Paula, E. R., Fritts, D. C., and Sobral, J. H. A.: Gravity wave initiation of equatorial
- 10 spread F/plasma bubble irregularities based on observational data from the SpreadFEx campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 2607–2622, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-2607-2009, 2009.
 - Carter, B. A., Yizengaw, E., Retterer, J. M., Francis, M., Terkildsen, M., Marshall, R., Norman, R., and Zhang, K.: An analysis of the quiet time day-to-day variability in the formation of postsunset equatorial plasma bubbles in the Southeast Asian region, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 3206–3223, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JA019570, 2014.
- 15 Chang, L. C., Palo, S. E., Liu, H.-L., Fang, T.-W., and Lin, C. S.: Response of the thermosphere and ionosphere to an ultra fast Kelvin wave, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015453, 2010.
 - Cueva, R. Y. C., de Paula, E. R., and Kherani, A. E.: Statistical analysis of radar observed F region irregularities from three longitudinal sectors, Annales Geophysicae, 31, 2137–2146, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-31-2137-2013, 2013.
- de Paula, E. R. and Hysell, D. L.: The São Luís 30 MHz coherent scatter ionospheric radar: System description and initial results, Radio
 Science, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003RS002914, 2004.
- Eccles, J. V., St. Maurice, J. P., and Schunk, R. W.: Mechanisms underlying the prereversal enhancement of the vertical plasma drift in the low-latitude ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 120, 4950–4970, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020664, 2015.

Eccles, V., Rice, D. D., Sojka, J. J., Valladares, C. E., Bullett, T., and Chau, J. L.: Lunar atmospheric tidal effects in the plasma drifts observed by the Low-Latitude Ionospheric Sensor Network, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116,

- 25 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016282, 2011.
 - Evans, J. V.: A note on lunar tides in the ionosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 83, 1647–1652, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA083iA04p01647, 1978.
 - Forbes, J. M.: Atmospheric tide: 2. The solar and lunar semidiurnal components, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 87, 5241–5252, https://doi.org/10.1029/JA087iA07p05241, 1982.
- 30 Forbes, J. M.: Planetary Waves in the Thermosphere-Ionosphere System, Journal of geomagnetism and geoelectricity, 48, 91–98, https://doi.org/10.5636/jgg.48.91, 1996.

Forbes, J. M. and Leveroni, S.: Quasi 16-day oscillation in the ionosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 981–984, https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL00399, 1992.

- Fritts, D. C., Vadas, S. L., Riggin, D. M., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Kamalabadi, F., Liu, H.-L., Fejer, B. G., and
- 35 Taylor, M. J.: Gravity wave and tidal influences on equatorial spread F based on observations during the Spread F Experiment (SpreadFEx), Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3235–3252, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3235-2008, https://www.ann-geophys.net/26/3235/2008/, 2008.

- Huang, C.-S.: Effects of the postsunset vertical plasma drift on the generation of equatorial spread F, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-017-0155-4, 2018.
- Huang, C.-S., de La Beaujardière, O., Roddy, P. A., Hunton, D. E., Ballenthin, J. O., Hairston, M. R., and Pfaff, R. F.: Large-scale quasiperiodic plasma bubbles: C/NOFS observations and causal mechanism, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118, 3602–3612, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50338, 2013.
- Immel, T. J., England, S. L., Zhang, X., Forbes, J. M., and DeMajistre, R.: Upward propagating tidal effects across the E- and F-regions of the ionosphere, Earth, Planets and Space, 61, 505–512, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353167, 2009.
- Kudeki, E. and Bhattacharyya, S.: Postsunset vortex in equatorial F-region plasma drifts and implications for bottomside spread-F, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 104, 28 163–28 170, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JA900111, 1999.
- 10 Kudeki, E., Akgiray, A., Milla, M., Chau, J. L., and Hysell, D. L.: Equatorial spread-F initiation: Post-sunset vortex, thermospheric winds, gravity waves, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69, 2416 – 2427, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.04.012, vertical Coupling in the Atmosphere/Ionosphere System, 2007.
 - Luo, Y., Manson, A. H., Meek, C. E., Meyer, C. K., Burrage, M. D., Fritts, D. C., Hall, C. M., Hocking, W. K., MacDougall, J., Riggin, D. M., and Vincent, R. A.: The 16-day planetary waves: multi-MF radar observations from the arctic to equator and comparisons with the
- 15 HRDI measurements and the GSWM modelling results, Annales Geophysicae, 20, 691–709, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-20-691-2002, https://www.ann-geophys.net/20/691/2002/, 2002.
 - Matsushita, S.: Lunar Tides in the Ionosphere, pp. 547–602, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-46082-1_2, 1967.
 - Miyoshi, Y.: Numerical simulation of the 5-day and 16-day waves in the mesopause region, Earth, Planets and Space, 51, 763–772,

20 https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353235, 1999.

5

- Paulino, A. R., Lima, L. M., Almeida, S. L., Batista, P. P., Batista, I. S., Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., and Wrasse, C. M.: Lunar tides in total electron content over Brazil, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122, 7519–7529, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024052, 2017.
 - Paulino, I., Takahashi, H., Medeiros, A., Wrasse, C., Buriti, R., Sobral, J., and Gobbi, D.: Mesospheric gravity waves and ionospheric
- 25 plasma bubbles observed during the COPEX campaign, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 73, 1575 1580, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2010.12.004, influence of Solar Activity on Interplanetary and Geophysical Phenomena, 2011.
 - Paulino, I., Medeiros, A. F., Vadas, S. L., Wrasse, C. M., Takahashi, H., Buriti, R. A., Leite, D., Filgueira, S., Bageston, J. V., Sobral, J. H. A., and Gobbi, D.: Periodic waves in the lower thermosphere observed by OI630 nm airglow images, Annales Geophysicae, 34, 293–301,
- 30 https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-293-2016, 2016.
 - Resende, L. C. A., Denardini, C. M., Picanço, G. A. S., Moro, J., Barros, D., Figueiredo, C. A. O. B., and Silva, R. P.: On developing a new ionospheric plasma index for the Brazilian equatorial F region irregularities, Annales Geophysicae Discussions, 2019, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2019-42, https://www.ann-geophys-discuss.net/angeo-2019-42/, 2019.
- Rodrigues, F. S., Hysell, D. L., and de Paula, E. R.: Coherent backscatter radar imaging in Brazil: large-scale waves in the bottomside
 F-region at the onset of equatorial spread F, Annales Geophysicae, 26, 3355–3364, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-3355-2008, 2008.
- Saito, S. and Maruyama, T.: Effects of transequatorial thermospheric wind on plasma bubble occurrences, Journal of the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, 56, 257–266, 2009.

- Stening, R. J. and Fejer, B. G.: Lunar tide in the equatorial F region vertical ion drift velocity, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106, 221–226, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JA000175, 2001.
- Takahashi, H., Wrasse, C. M., Pancheva, D., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Lima, L. M., Batista, P. P., Clemesha, B. R., and Shiokawa,K.: Signatures of 3-6 day planetary waves in the equatorial mesosphere and ionosphere, Annales Geophysicae, 24, 3343–3350,
- 5 https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-24-3343-2006, 2006.
 - Takahashi, H., Taylor, M. J., Pautet, P.-D., Medeiros, A. F., Gobbi, D., Wrasse, C. M., Fechine, J., Abdu, M. A., Batista, I. S., Paula, E., Sobral, J. H. A., Arruda, D., Vadas, S. L., Sabbas, F. S., and Fritts, D. C.: Simultaneous observation of ionospheric plasma bubbles and mesospheric gravity waves during the SpreadFEx Campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 1477–1487, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-1477-2009, https://www.ann-geophys.net/27/1477/2009/, 2009.
- 10 Taori, A., Patra, A. K., and Joshi, L. M.: Gravity wave seeding of equatorial plasma bubbles: An investigation with simultaneous F region, E region, and middle atmospheric measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016229, 2011.
 - Tsunoda, R. T., Saito, S., and Nguyen, T. T.: Post-sunset rise of equatorial F layer—or upwelling growth?, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5, 22, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0179-4, 2018.
- 15 Zhu, Z., Luo, W., Lan, J., and Chang, S.: Features of 3–7-day planetary-wave-type oscillations in F-layer vertical drift and equatorial spread F observed over two low latitude stations in China, Annales Geophysicae, 35, 763–776, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-35-763-2017, 2017.