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Reply to Interactive comment by Peter Kollmann (Referee #2) from December 19, 2019 on the manuscript “Earth’s radiation belts ions: Patterns of the spatial-energy structure and its solar-cyclic variations” by Alexander S. Kovtyukh

Deeply respected Peter Kollmann, I am very grateful to you for such an exclusively...
generous and thorough review. All these comments are very helpful for me and take into account in the manuscript.

I tried to take it into account as completely as possible. In my answers, the first line numbers refers to the original text of the manuscript, and the second numbers (after /) of the line refers to its final text.

I corrected grammar inaccuracies in the manuscript (thanks to Referee # 1). Corrections connected to your comments and with the supplement to your comments are given for the latest revised version of the manuscript (see RC1-RC3 and AC1-AC3 in the Interactive discussion of this manuscript).

Lines 9-10/9-11: I agree. Text corrected. Line 15/16-21: I agree. Text corrected. Line 22/28: I agree. Text corrected. Lines 30/35-37: I agree. Text corrected. Line 33/39: Here I do not quite understand what you mean. Lines 46-53/51-59: I add references. Line 83/86-87: I agree. Text corrected. I calculate isolines for smaller flux values. In some cases, break of the proton spectra in the region of the green line is observed. But this is unreliable: low-intensity proton fluxes are close to the instrumental background. Such work should be carried out for strong storms and the relaxation rates of the fluxes of captured protons should be considered depending on their energy. Line 98/98-99: I agree. Text corrected. Lines 125-126/123-124: I agree. I have make shorter and corrected this and subsequent paragraphs (until the end of the section). Lines 139-140/134-139: I agree. Text make shorter and corrected. I did not average the experimental data, but I used the averaged results in the corresponding papers. Line 145: This paragraph is destroyed as excess. As the errors of the experimental points in Fig. 1-6, I consider only standard deviation of the counts. Lines 159-161/145-147: I did not average the experimental data for a different satellite orbits, but I used the averaged results in the corresponding papers. Line 166: The results in Figs 1-2, as well as in Figs. 3-6, were received in missions that lasted for more than a year (usually 2-3 years or more). Line 191/176: The spectrum below the red line has a maximum, and between the maximum and the red line the spectrum is close to exponential. The

Deeply respected Peter Kollmann, I am very grateful to you for such an exclusively generous and thorough review.

With grand regard, Alexander S. Kovtyukh
Please also note the supplement to this comment: