

## ***Interactive comment on “Stratospheric observations of noctilucent clouds: a new approach in studying large-scale mesospheric dynamics” by Peter Dalin et al.***

**Peter Dalin et al.**

pdalin@irf.se

Received and published: 9 October 2019

We thank anonymous Referee 1 for her/his useful comments and suggestions, which led to improvements of our manuscript. In the revised version of the manuscript we have carefully addressed Referee 1' comments and suggestions which are highlighted in green. Our detailed replies are provided below.

Please note that the title of the manuscript has been slightly changed to "Stratospheric observations of noctilucent clouds: a new approach in studying middle- and large-scale mesospheric dynamics".

C1

Anonymous Referee #1 Received and published: 15 September 2019

Comment: This paper reports the stratospheric observations of noctilucent clouds from a balloon on 5-6 July 2018 near Moscow. Several hundreds of NLC images were taken for over one hour. Various NLC morphology and associated gravity waves are discussed in the paper, including ice voids, a medium scale GW. Overall, the paper is clearly written and the results are interesting. On the other hand, the English language needs to be tightened up. Please see the minor comments. Most importantly, the paper "oversells" itself. The significance is exaggerated. Except the factor of weather and doubled field of view, the balloon photographing of NLCs does not demonstrate a substantial difference from the ground photography. The PMC-Turbo experiment targets high resolution, unlike this experiment. Also unlike the authors claim, the spatial coverage of this experiment is not comparable to satellite observations.

Reply: As far as the PMC-Turbo experiment is concerned, we can note the following. This technique to image the PMC layer at scales from 100 km down to 1 m can be readily performed at the ground by using simple commercial digital cameras and telecentric lenses. Many images similar to PMC-Turbo ones have already been obtained from the ground. One can also use a small school telescope and camera attached to it to view an NLC layer at 1 cm spatial resolution and taking several images per second, if it is necessary. Nowadays it is very easy to obtain images similar to PMC-Turbo ones from the ground, and at much lower cost compared to any balloon-borne experiment. The advantages of using balloon-borne observations of NLCs are given below in the reply as well as in the conclusions of the present paper.

Comment:

Please correct these statements.

Detailed comments: 1. title: "large-scale mesospheric dynamics". Not really, the images shown cannot be used to study large scale waves, such as tides or planetary waves.

C2

Reply: We have corrected the title of the paper which now includes "middle-scales" since we observe both middle-scales (100-1000 km) and large-scales (1000-1500 km). Utilizing future long duration balloon flights (several days) one can study both tides and planetary waves. Since we discuss our future long duration flights as well, the title of the paper corresponds to the current and future state of the project.

Comment: 2. line 19: "100-1450 km"?

Reply: These numbers are correct and kept unchanged.

Comment: 3. line 24: "unique", well, as shown in the next sentence, the field of view of a balloon borne camera is only twice of a ground observation (1450\*750 km vs. 800\*550 km).

Reply: Yes, the field of view of the present experiment was twice of the ground observation. We believe it is certainly enough to call this experiment "unique". We should also note that a field of view as viewed from a balloon can be significantly extended by using multiple cameras with wide-angle lenses (a project we are currently implementing) as well as observing NLC from higher altitudes (30-38 km). The present experiment was the first in this field and it will be upgraded in the near future. We keep the definition "unique" unchanged.

Comment: 4. line 28: "is confirmed" -> "was confirmed"

Reply: It has been corrected in the revised manuscript on line 28: "which was confirmed by satellite measurements."

Comment: 5. line 30: "vertical amplitude". This is an inaccurate expression. Please change.

Reply: It has been corrected as "amplitude" on line 31 in the revised manuscript.

Comment: 6. line 33: "various distances"-> "various scales"

Reply: It has been corrected as "various scales" on line 33 in the revised manuscript.

C3

Comment: 7. line 42: "30-100 nm"

Reply: These values are correct according to numerous measurements and model results. These values are kept unchanged in the revised manuscript.

Comment: 8. line 47: "NLC" -> "NLCs", and throughout the paper

Reply: It has been changed throughout the revised manuscript.

Comment: 9. line 50: "10000 km". This is not accurate. At high latitudes, the scale of tides and PWs are much shorter than 10000 km.

Reply: We consider mostly subpolar latitudes (59-65° N) in the present study. The distance around a latitude circle at 63° is about 18000 km. The 24 h tide and PWs with the zonal number of one have horizontal wavelengths (scales) of about 18000 km. The 12 hours tide and PWs with the zonal number of 2 have wavelengths of about 9000 km. Thus, we do not see any contradiction to the scale of 10000 km and we keep it unchanged.

Comment: 10. line 57: spell out these acronyms (AIM, SBUV)

Reply: It has been corrected on lines 59-60 in the revised manuscript: "The Aeronomy of the Ice in the Mesosphere (AIM), Odin, Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer (SBUV) instruments)."

Comment: 11. line 69-71: the meaning is not clear. Please elaborate.

Reply: We have rephrased this meaning on lines 75-77 in the revised manuscript as follows: "At the same time, observations made from stratospheric altitudes (20-40 km) are potentially available for comprehensive studies of NLCs/PMCs."

Comment: 12. line 84: "large scale dynamics", we usually call large scale dynamics for much larger scales than 100 km. "opens new horizons", it is an improvement compared to ground observations. But this statement oversells.

C4

Reply: We have added the information on middle- and large-scale dynamics on lines 89 and 91 since we consider both middle-scales (100-1000 km) and large-scales (1000-1500 km) in the present study as follows: "In this paper, we report on scientific results of a new balloon-borne experiment dedicated to studies of NLC middle- and large-scale dynamics at horizontal scales of more than 100 km (Dalin et al., 2019). Such experiment, conducted for the first time, opens new horizons for studies of middle- and large-scale dynamical features in combination with a high spatial resolution at the summer mesopause..."

We believe that the definition "opens new horizons" is valid for stratospheric observations of NLCs for 24 h and at large scales in case of a long duration balloon flight (several days) as we discuss in the present paper. We keep this definition unchanged in the revised manuscript.

Comment: 13. line 103 "build" -> "built"

Reply: It has been corrected on line 108 in the revised manuscript.

Comment: 14. line 122: "preliminary" -> "preliminarily"

Reply: It has been corrected on line 127 in the revised manuscript.

Comment: 15. line 131: how about local time?

Reply: The local time has been added in the revised manuscript (line 136): "a) NLCs were observed between 20:30 and 23:15 UT (23:30 and 02:15 LT) on 5 July 2018."

Comment: 16. line 166: is comparable to PMC observations"...This is a wrong statement. The space observation is much wider than the balloon one. Figure 3 clearly shows this.

Reply: Here we compare balloon-borne scales to scales of the PMC observation scene which has dimensions of  $120^{\circ} \times 80^{\circ}$ , as measured from the nadir direction. This results in spatial coverage of approximately 2000 km along the AIM satellite track and 1000

C5

km across track (Rusch et al., 2009). Thus, this statement is not wrong. We have added information in the revised paper (lines 174-175) as follows: "Thus, balloon-borne NLC observations have an obvious great advantage over ground-based observations in terms of larger geographic coverage which is comparable to PMC observations made from space since a PMC observation scene has spatial coverage of about 2000 km along the AIM satellite track and 1000 km across track (Rusch et al., 2009)."

Comment: 17. line 238: please define vertical amplitude. "vertical displacement"?

Reply: We define this amplitude as a semi-amplitude of a monochromatic wave, which is half of the peak-to-peak wave amplitude between highest and lowest amplitude displacement values. The definition "vertical displacement" is incorrect in this case since it ranges from zero to the amplitude of a monochromatic wave. We have added this definition in the revised manuscript (lines 247-249) as follows: "We have carefully estimated parameters of this particular wave: its horizontal wavelength was equal to  $49.4 \pm 2.2$  km and its vertical amplitude was  $1.9 \pm 0.1$  km between the crest and trough. We define this amplitude as a semi-amplitude  $A$  of a monochromatic wave with oscillation frequency  $\omega$ , which is half of the peak-to-peak wave amplitude between the highest (crest) and lowest (trough) displacement values."

Comment: 18. line 245-250: How's the amplitude of vertical displacement leading to more knowledge of kinetic wave energy? I also found this part exaggerated.

Reply: Kinetic wave energy and wave amplitude are linked by the follow relation:  $E \sim 0.5 \cdot A^2 \cdot \omega^2$

We have added this information in the revised manuscript (line 264) as follows: "Since wave amplitude represents kinetic wave energy ( $E \sim 0.5 \cdot A^2 \cdot \omega^2$ ), ..."

Comment: 19. Conclusions. This is a good experiment. But none of the conclusions a-g clearly demonstrate its advantage to ground and space observations.

Reply: We disagree with this comment. The conclusions a), c), f) and g) clearly demon-

C6

strate the advantages of a balloon-borne observation compared to a ground one. The conclusion e) clearly demonstrates its advantage to a space one. But it is important to realize that the combination of these points (24 h NLC observations both at middle/large and small scales) can only be achieved by using a balloon-borne observation. We have emphasized this combination at the beginning of the conclusions. We keep these conclusions unchanged in the revised manuscript.

---

Interactive comment on Ann. Geophys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2019-114>, 2019.