

Report #1

Submitted on 10 Aug 2020
Anonymous Referee #2

Anonymous during peer-review: Yes No	
Anonymous in acknowledgements of published article: Yes No	
Recommendation to the editor	
Does the paper contain new data or new ideas or both of them?	Yes No
Are these up to international standards?	Yes No
Is the presentation clear?	Yes No
Does the author reach substantial conclusions?	Yes No
Is the length of the paper adequate?	Yes No
Is the language fluent and precise?	Yes No
Are the title and the abstract pertinent and understandable?	Yes No
Is the size of each figure adequate to the quantity of data it contains?	Yes No
Does the author give proper credit to related work and does he/she indicate clearly his/her own contribution?	Yes No
Would you cite this paper as a scientific contribution?	Very important Fairly important May have potential after additional work and resubmission No potential value
For final publication, the manuscript should be accepted as is , accepted subject to technical corrections , accepted subject to minor revisions , reconsidered after major revisions : I am willing to review the revised paper. I am not willing to review the revised paper. rejected .	
Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection (will be published if the paper is accepted for final publication) Please see "Recommendation to the editor"	

Thank you very much for your consideration. We really appreciate and agree. Appropriate changes were made in each figure for this revised/final manuscript according all the suggestions.