O.O. Odeyemi¹, J.O Adeniyi², O.A. Oladipo³, A.O. Olawepo³, I.A. Adimula³, E.O Oyeyemi¹ 1 2 3 4 5

1 Department of Physics, University of Lagos, Nigeria olumidephysics@yahoo.com

2 Department of Physical Sciences, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Nigeria adeniyi.jacob@lmu.ng.edu

3 Physics Department, University of Ilorin, Ilorin

Morphology of GPS and DPS-TEC Over an Equatorial Station: Validation of IRI and NeQuick 2 Models.

0.0 Abstract 8

6

7

9 We investigated total electron content (TEC) at Ilorin (8.50°N 4.65E, dip lat. 2.95) during a low solar activity 2010. The investigation involved the use of GPS derived TEC, TEC 10 estimated from digisonde portable sounder data (DPS-TEC), the International Reference 11 Ionosphere model (IRI-TEC) and NeQuick 2 model (NeQ-TEC). The five most quietest days of 12 the months obtained from the international quiet days (IQD) from the website 13 http://www.ga.gov.au/oracle/geomag/iqd_form.jsp_were_used_for_the_investigation. During the 14 sunrise period, we found that the rate of increases in DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC were 15 higher with respect to GPS-TEC. One reason for this can be alluded to an overestimation of 16 plasmaspheric electron content (PEC) contribution in modeled TEC and DPS-TEC. A correction 17 factor around the sunrise where a significant percentage difference of overestimations between 18 19 the modeled TEC and GPS-TEC was obtained will correct the differences. Our finding revealed 20 that during the daytime when PEC contribution is known to be absent or insignificant, GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC in April, September and December predicts TEC very well. The lowest 21 22 discrepancies were observed in May, June and July (June solstice) between the observed and all the model values in all hours. There is an overestimation in DPS-TEC that could be due to 23 24 extrapolation error while integrating from the peak electron density of F2 (NmF2) to around ~ 25 1000 km in the Ne profile. The underestimation observed in NeQ-TEC must have come from the inadequate representation of contribution from PEC on the topside of NeQ model profile 26 whereas the exaggeration of PEC contribution in IRI-TEC amount to overestimations of GPS-27 TEC. The excess bite-out observed in DPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC show the indication of 28 29 overprediction of fountain effect in these models. Therefore, the daytime bite-out observed in these two models require a modifier that could moderate the perceived fountain effect 30 31 morphology in the models accordingly. Seasonally, we found that all the TECs maximize and minimize during the March equinox and June solstice, respectively. Therefore, GPS-, DPS-, IRI-32 33 and NeQ-TEC reveal the semi-annual variations in TEC as reported in all regions. The daytime DPS-TEC performs better than the daytime IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC in all the months, however, 34

the dusk period requires attention due to highest percentage difference recorded especially for
DPS-TEC and the models in March, and November and December for DPS-TEC.

37

1.0 Introduction

39 Total electron content (TEC) is the total number of free electrons in a columnar of one square meter along the radio path from the global positioning system (GPS) satellite to the 40 receiving station on the Earth. TEC exhibits diurnal, seasonal, solar cycle and geographical 41 42 variations. Therefore, the physical and dynamical morphology of the TEC over a given location is of great importance in trans-ionospheric communications during undisturbed and disturbed 43 geomagnetic conditions (Jesus et al., 2016; Tariku, 2015; and Akala et al., 2012). GPS-TEC is 44 quantified from the GPS orbiting satellites to the GPS receiver station on the Earth, with an 45 approximate distance of 20200 km (Liu et al., 1996b; Rama Rao et al., 2006a; Rama Rao et al., 46 2006b; Liu et al., 2006). Thus, a typical GPS-TEC measurement includes the complete 47 plasmaspheric electron content (PEC). 48

49

The International Reference Ionosphere (IRI) is a standard model that is based on 50 worldwide data from various measurements (Bilitza, 2001; Bilitza, 1999; Bilitza, 1986; Bilitza 51 and Rawer, 1998; and Rawer et al., 1978). The Committee on Space Research (COSPAR) and 52 the Union Radio-Scientifique Internationale (URSI) meet yearly to improve the IRI model. The 53 IRI model provides reliable ionospheric densities, composition, temperatures, and composition 54 (Bilitza, 2001). The Comite Consultatif International des Radiocommunications (CCIR) Model 55 56 was developed by Rawer and Bilitza (1990) while the Union Radio Scientific International (URSI) developed URSI option of IRI model (Fox and McNamara, 1988; Rush et al., 1989). The 57 58 latest version of IRI model can be found at all time on the web (http:nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/space/model/ions/iri.html) with improvements on earlier versions of 59 60 the model from the working group scientists on the model. The International Telecommunication 61 Union, Radio-communication Sector (ITU-R) has introduced and adopted NeQuick for TEC modeling. In the NeQuick 2, the position, time and solar flux or sunspot number over a given 62 location are embedded in the NeQuick model code. The output of the NeQuick 2 program is the 63 64 electron density along any ray-path while the corresponding TEC measurement is by numerical integration in space and time. The availability jonospheric parameters as contribution for global 65

ionospheric models are not sufficient over the Africa sector compared to the consistent input of 66 the parameters from the Asia and America sectors. Therefore, the investigations of the 67 ionospheric parameters over Africa are continuously required to improve the global ionospheric 68 model. For example, Bagiya et al. (2009) studied TEC around equatorial-low latitude region at 69 Rajkot (22.29° N, 70.74° E, dip 14.03° N) during low solar activity and showed that TEC 70 revealed seasonal variation with maximum and minimum at March equinox and June solstice, 71 72 respectively. Young et al. (1970) examined a night enhancement in TEC at equatorial station of Hawaii and reported that the nighttime enhancement in TEC showed seasonal and solar cycle 73 Olwendo et al. (2012) investigated TEC in Kenyan and found a semi-annual dependences. 74 variation with minimum and maximum TEC June solstice and March equinox, respectively. 75 They further reported that the TEC had a noontime dip and day-to-day variability. Using 76 Faraday rotational technique, Olatunji (1967) studied TEC variation over equatorial station at 77 Ibadan and found no daytime bite-out and seasonal anomaly over the equatorial region. Adewale 78 et al. (2012) investigated TEC at Uganda during low and high solar activities. They found that 79 TEC was higher during high solar activity compared with the low solar activity. Karia and 80 81 Pathak. (2011) investigated the TEC at Surat and showed that TEC maximizes and minimizes during the equinox and June solstice, respectively. Rastogi et al. (1975) investigated the diurnal 82 83 variation of TEC using Faraday rotation over the magnetic equator. They found that the TEC at the topside was higher than the TEC at the bottomside during the nighttime, however during the 84 85 daytime, equal distribution of TEC was found on the topside and the bottomside of electron density (Ne) profile. 86

87 The DPS-TEC is the combination of TEC from the bottomside and topside electron density (Ne). The topside DPS-TEC is an extrapolated TEC from the peak electron density of the F2 88 89 region (NmF2) to around~ 1000 km (DPS-TEC) thus, the major PEC contribution from the greater altitudes is excluded from DPS-TEC measurement (Belehaki et al., 2004; Breed and 90 Goodwin, 1997; and Reinisch and Huang, 2001). The combined investigation on GPS and DPS 91 is scanty over Africa (Ciraolo and Spalla, 1997) due to lack of colocated GPS and DPS data in 92 93 most of the equatorial stations. Therefore, the ionospheric modeling and the improvement on the 94 existing models are important to understanding of the ionospheric structure of a given location in the absence of instrumentations. 95

Regarding the DPS-TEC measurement, Barbas et al. (2010) investigated GPS-TEC and 96 DPS-TEC at Tucuman (26.69°S, 65.23°W) during different seasons and magnetic activities. 97 They concluded that the DPS-TEC variation represented the GPS-TEC in all hour with minimal 98 discrepancy. Reinisch et al.(2004) investigated GPS-TEC from satellite beacon signals and 99 100 DPS-TEC from the DPS at mid-latitude and equatorial region. They found that GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC variations were similar. However, the daytime GPS-TEC profile values were higher 101 102 than DPS-TEC profile values. Belehaki et al. (2004) extracted the plasmaspheric electron content (PEC) from the GPS-TEC at Athens (38°N, 23.5°E) over a year and found a maximum 103 and minimum contribution of PEC in the morning and evening, respectively. Zhang et al. (2004) 104 105 investigated the simultaneous variation of DPS-TEC and GPS-TEC over Hainan and reported that the daytime DPS-TEC and GPS-TEC variations are close, however during nighttime to pre-106 sunrise, a significant discrepancy between DPS-TEC and GPS-TEC was observed. Mosert and 107 Altadill (2007), Jodogne et al. (2004) and Mckinnell et al. (1996) concluded that estimated 108 PEC from the GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC is possible in colocated GPS and DPS station. 109

110

111 Rios et al. (2007) investigated the DPS-TEC and IRI-TEC and found a smaller DPS-TEC compared to IRI TEC in all hour. McNamara (1985) observed discrepancies between DPS-TEC 112 113 and IRI-TEC, he found that during the daytime, the IRI underestimated the observed DPS-TEC. Obrou et al. (2008) compared the DPS-TEC and IRI-TEC at Korhogo during high and low solar 114 115 activity. They found that DPS-TEC and IRI-TEC values were close during high solar activity (HSA) and low solar activity (LSA). Nevertheless, the performance between the observed and 116 117 model TEC was better in HSA compared to LSA. Adewale et al. (2012), Okoh et al. (2014), Jee and Scherliess (2005), Sulungu et al. (2017), and Migoya Orué et al. (2008) validated the IRI-118 119 TEC with GPS-TEC in different regions and found high discrepancies between the IRI and observed TEC. Furthermore, Arunpold et al. (2014) and Olwendo et al. (2012; 2013) also 120 121 concluded that the signature of the geomagnetic storm was absent in the morphology of IRI. Thus IRI-TEC could not predict the effect of the geomagnetic storm on observed TEC. 122

Regarding the studies on NeQuick model, Cherniak and Zakharenkova (2016) validated NeQuick model and found that the topside ionosphere above ~ 500km in the NeQuick model consistently revealed underestimation due to inaccurate representation of topside Ne profile. Bidaine and Warnant (2011) validated NeQuick model with slant total electron content (STEC). 127 Rabiu et al. (2014) validated NeQuick model using the seasonal variation of TEC over equatorial station of Africa. They found that the upper boundary of the NeQuick models up to 20,000 km 128 129 needs to be adjusted to accommodate the PEC-TEC in NeQuick model. Migoya-Orue et al. (2017) introduced B2bot in NeQuick and reported the improvement in the topside performance 130 of the NeQuick model in the computation of TEC. Andreeva and Lokota (2013) found that the 131 NeQuick reproduced the maximum values of electron density observed in the experiments. 132 However, the electron density profiles reproduction from NeQuick show significant 133 discrepancies in some periods. Leong et al. (2013) investigated TEC and NeQuick 2 models. 134 They found that the observed TEC and NeQuick 2 TEC are close in values during post-noon and 135 post-midnight. However, the post-sunset revealed some discrepancies. Yu et al. (2012) 136 investigated the monthly average of NeQuick model over three stations in China (Changchun, 137 Beijing, and Chongqing) during the quietest period. They found that NeQuick accurately 138 predicted GPS-TEC. However, the NeQuick underestimated the observed TEC and NmF2 in 139 few cases. 140

141

142 The current contributions of Africa on the improvement of ionospheric models (IRI and NeQuick) are not adequate compared with the continuous support received from Asia and South 143 144 America. The scanty of ionospheric instrumentations at the equatorial region of Africa has a considerable effect on the shortcoming. Therefore, the continuous validation of IRI and NeQuick 145 146 models with the observed parameter is necessary for improved ionospheric model. Furthermore, the investigation on DPS-TEC has not been reported extensively for comparison purpose. 147 148 Therefore, this paper set to investigate the combined relationship between the variations of GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC, and validations of IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC models with the observed 149 150 parameters. Our finding will reveal the suitability of DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC in place of GPS-TEC. The result will also reveal the appropriate model for the equatorial station in 151 152 Africa. Thus, the changed TEC obtained from the combined relationship between GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC could be used to improve the discrepancy in the model 153 154 values.

155

156 2.0 Methods of Analysis of GPS and DPS Data

157 The five most quiet days of GPS and DPS-TEC data for each month were presented and 158 analyzed during the year 2010 with the local time (LT).

159

160 **2.1 GPS-TEC**

The Slant TEC records from GPS has errors due to satellite differential delay (satellite bias (bs)) and receiver differential delay (receiver bias (br)) and receiver inter-channel bias (b_{SR}). This uncorrected slant GPS-TEC measured at every one-minute interval from the GPS receiver derived from all the visible satellites at the Ilorin station are converted to vertical GPS-TEC using the relation below in equation (1).

166 $(GPS - TEC)_V = (GPS - TEC)_S - [b_S + b_R + b_{SR}]/S(E)$ 1

167 Where $(\text{GPS} - \text{TEC})_{\text{S}}$ is the uncorrected slant GPS-TEC measured by the receiver, S(E) is the 168 obliquity factor with zenith angle (z) at the Ionospheric Pierce Point (IPP), E is the elevation 169 angle of the satellites in degrees and $(\text{GPS} - \text{TEC})_{\text{V}}$ is the vertical GPS-TEC at the IPP. The 170 S(E) is given as

171

172
$$S(E) = \frac{1}{\cos \mathbb{Q}(z)} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_E \times \cos \mathbb{Q}(z)}{R_E + h_s}\right)^2\right]^{-1/2}$$
 2

Where R_E is the mean radius of the Earth measured in kilometer (km), and h_S is the height of the 173 174 ionosphere from the surface of the Earth, which is approximately equal to 400 km according to Langley et al. (2002) and Mannucci et al. (1993). The ten most quiet slant GPS-TEC data for 175 176 each month in the year 2010 were analyzed using Krishna software. This software reads raw data and corrects all source of errors mentioned above from Global Navigation Satellite System 177 service (IGS) code file. A minimum elevation angle of 20 degrees is used to avoid multipath 178 errors. The estimated vertical GPS-TEC data is subjected to a two sigma (2σ) iteration. This 179 sigma is a measure of GPS point positioning accuracy. The average one-minute VTEC data were 180 converted to hourly averages. 181

182

183 **2.2 DPS-TEC**

Regarding the total electron content (TEC) from the digisonde portable sounder (DPS), the Standard Archive Output (SAO) files obtained from the recorded of ionogram from the installed DPS at the University Ilorin were edited to remove magnetically disturbed days. Huang and Reinisch (2001) technique was used to compute the DPS-TEC. The complete vertical DPSTEC computation is obtained by applying the integration over the vertical electron density
(Ne(h)) profile as shown in the equation below.

190
$$\text{TEC} = \int_0^{\text{hmF 2}} \text{Ne}_B(\text{dh}) + \int_{\text{hmF 2}}^{\infty} \text{Ne}_T(\text{dh})$$
 3

191 Where Ne_B and Ne_T are the bottomside and topside Ne profiles, respectively. The Ne_B is computed from the recorded ionograms by using the inversion technique developed by Huang 192 and Reinisch (1996). It is known that the information above the peak of the F2 layer is absent 193 194 from the record of the ionogram. Thus the Ne_T is computed by approximating the exponential 195 functions with suitable scale height (Bent et al., 1972) with less estimated error of 5%. The 196 ionograms are manually scaled and inverted into electron density profile using the NHPC 197 software and later processed with the SAO explorer software based on the technique described above to obtain the TEC (Reinisch et al., 2005). An average of TEC for each hour is computed 198 199 over the selected days. The universal time (UT) is the time convention for these analyses (GPS 200 and DPS data). Local time (LT) was used in this study. Thus, 0100 UT (Universal time) is the 201 same as 0200 LT (Local Time) in Nigeria. In this study, the seasonal variation was arranged into 202 four seasons, as, March equinox or MEQU (March, and April), June solstice or JSOL (June, and July), SEQU (September, and October) and December solstice or DSOL (November, December). 203 Due to technical reasons, there were data gaps in all days during January and February in the 204 205 DPS measurements, therefore, we decided to neglect data in January and February in GPS-, IRI-, and NeQuick measurements for comparison purposes thus, two simultaneous representative 206 months were used to infer each season. The average of the monthly median of the five quietest 207 days for the representative months is found to give each parameter a particular season discussed 208 209 above.

210

211 2.3 Validation of IRI - 2016 and NeQuick Models

The observed TEC and NmF2 were compared with the IRI-2016 model. The website http://www.ccmc.gssfc.nasa.gov/modelweb/models/iri_vitmo.php provides the modeled TEC values. The upper boundary height 2000 km was used, and the B0 table option was selected for the bottomside shape parameter. The equations 3a, 3b and 3c represent the difference between GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC, GPS-TEC and IRI-TEC and GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC while equations

4a, 4b, and 4c below show the percentage change between GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC, GPS-TECand IRI-TEC, and GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC.

219

220	$\Delta_{\text{GPS /DPS}} = \text{DPS}_{\text{TEC}} - \text{GPS}_{\text{TEC}}$	3a
-----	--	----

$$\Delta_{\text{GPS/NeQ}} = \text{NeQ}_{\text{TEC}} - \text{GPS}_{\text{TEC}} \qquad 3c$$

223
$$\%(\Delta_{\text{GPS /DPS}}) = \frac{\text{DPS}_{\text{TEC}} - \text{GPS}_{\text{TEC}}}{\text{DPS}_{\text{TEC}}} \times 100$$
 4a

224
$$\%(\Delta_{\text{GPS / IRI}}) = \frac{\text{IRI}_{\text{TEC}} - \text{GPS}_{\text{TEC}}}{\text{DPS}_{\text{TEC}}} \times 100$$
 4b

225
$$\%(\Delta_{\text{GPS}/\text{NeQ}}) = \frac{\text{NeQ TEC} - \text{GPS TEC}}{\text{DPS TEC}} \times 100$$
 4c

- 226 $\Delta_{GPS/DPS}$, represents the change between GPSTEC and DPS-TEC
- 227 $\Delta_{\text{GPS}/\text{IRI}}$, represents the change between GPS-TEC and IRI-TEC
- 228 $\Delta_{GPS/NeO}$ represents the change between GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC

229 $\%(\Delta_{GPS/DPS})$, represents the percentage deviation between GPS-TEC, and DPS-TEC 230 respectively.

231 $\%(\Delta_{GPS/IRI})$, represents the percentage deviation between GPS-TEC, and IRI-TEC respectively.

232 $\%(\Delta_{GPS/NeQ})$, represents the percentage deviation between GPS-TEC, and NeQ-TEC 233 respectively.

234

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) - Trieste, Italy with the collaboration of the Institute for Geophysics, Astrophysics and Meteorology (IGAM) of the University of Graz, Austria developed the web front-end of NeQuick. This quick-run ionospheric electron density model developed at the Aeronomy and Radiopropagation Laboratory modeled TEC along any ground-to-satellite straight line ray-path. Therefore, the observed TEC use, for the validation of the NeQuick 2 was obtained in the address below https://t-ict4d.ictp.it/nequick2/nequick-2-web-model.

242

243 **3.0 Result**

244 **3.1 Monthly Median Variations**

245 Figure 1 shows the plots of diurnal variations of the monthly median of GPS-, DPS-, IRI-, and NeQ- TEC during quiet period. The GPS-TEC is plotted in black line with the star symbol; 246 247 the DPS-TEC is in green with the diamond symbol, IRI-TEC is in red line with zero symbols, and finally, the NeQ-TEC is in blue line with multiplication symbol. All TEC plots are regulated 248 by the same local time (LT) on the horizontal axis. We found that the variations of GPS-, DPS-, 249 IRI, and NeQ-TEC increase gradually from the sunrise period and reach the daytime maximum, 250 251 then later decay till it gets to a minimum around 0500 or 0600 LT. These results show that the models capture the well known solar zenith angle dependence of TEC. Regarding the GPS-TEC, 252 the pre-sunrise minimum is ranged between ~0.43 TECU (June) to ~2.35 TECU (April) and the 253 sunrise minimum of ~ 1.76 TECU, ~ 2.58 TECU, and ~ 2.58 TECU are observed in March, 254 November, and December respectively. The daytime maximum ranged between ~ 20 TECU 255 (June) - ~ 35.4 TECU (November) and occurred around 1500 - 1700 LT. The dusk time decay 256 in GPS-TEC is faster in June and slower in November around 2400 LT. Regarding DPS-TEC, 257 the pre-sunrise minimum of DPS-TEC ranged between ~ 0.66 TECU (August) - ~ 4.59 TECU 258 (May) around 0500 LT, while the daytime maximum is found around 1000 - 1600 LT and 259 ranged between ~ 24.2 TECU (July) - ~ 38.0 TECU (March). A moderate daytime bite-out in 260 DPS-TEC was observed in March, May, August, September, October, November and December. 261 262 The duration of the bite-out was longer in October (1000 -1600 LT). The decay of DPS-TEC is faster in June and lower in April. Regarding the IRI-TEC, the pre-sunrise minimum in IRI-TEC 263 264 ranged between ~ 2.3 TECU (March) - ~ 4.1 TECU (October and November) and found around 0500 LT. The daytime maximum is seen around 1500 LT and 1600 LT and ranged between ~ 265 21.9 TECU (July) - ~31.7 TECU (November). A moderate bite-out is present in all months 266 between 1100 - 1600LT. As regard NeQ-TEC, the pre-sunrise minimum ranged between ~ 1.31 267 268 TECU (July) - ~ 2.88 TECU (December) and found around 0500 LT. The daytime maximum around 1000 LT and 1600 LT, ranged between ~ 17.75 TECU (July) - ~ 25.45 TECU 269 270 (November). A moderate noon time bite-out is seen in May, June, July, and August within a short time range. The decay in NeQ-TEC is faster in July and slower in November. 271

Our investigation reveals that GPS, DPS, IRI and NeQ-TEC decay is faster and slower in June and December seasons, respectively. The maximum daytime is found in the DPS-TEC, whereas the minimum daytime is observed in NeQ-TEC. The DPS-TEC show a higher pre-sunrise 275 minimum of ~ 4.59 TECU (May) while the GPS-TEC revealed a smaller pre-sunrise minimum
276 of ~ 0.43 TECU (June).

277

278 **3.2** Percentage deviation of DPS-TEC

Figures 2a, and 2b show hourly variations of the changed TEC, and mass plot of hourly 279 variations of % changed TEC between GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC from March to December 280 281 during quiet period. Between 0100 - 0500 LT (Figure 2a), DPS-TEC constantly lower than the GPS-TEC in March, April, August, September, November, and December except in June and 282 July and the changes range between ~ -4.67 TECU (November) - ~ - 0.53 TECU (August). In 283 Figure 2b, DPS-TEC uniformly overestimated GPS-TEC around 0700 - 1500 LT in all month 284 except in June (0700 LT), November (1500 LT), August and December (1400 - 1500 LT) where 285 DPS-TEC underestimated GPS-TEC. The percentage of overestimation ranges between ~ 2% 286 (November) - $\sim 49\%$ (March). We also observed that the DPS-TEC underestimated GPS-TEC 287 between 1700 - 2400 LT in all months and ranged between ~ - 0.15% (October) - ~ - 306% 288 (November). A few cases of overestimation are noticed in March, May and September around 289 290 local time (1700 LT). We also notice a consistent overestimation of DPS-TEC around 0100 LT and 0400 LT in June and July while underestimation occurred in March, April, August, 291 292 September, October, November and December within the same period.

293

294 **3.2** Percentage deviation of IRI-TEC

Figures 3a, and 3b, give hourly variations of the changed TEC, and mass plots of hourly 295 296 variations of % changed TEC between GPS-TEC and IRI-TEC from March to December. The change between IRI-TEC and GPS-TEC occurred between 0100 - 1200 LT in all months except 297 298 in March and April and the changed TEC ranged between ~ 0.01 TECU (November) to ~ 15 TECU (October). The IRI-TEC continually overestimated GPS-TEC around 0100 - 1200 LT in 299 300 all months however, underestimation occurred in March (0100 - 0500 LT), April (1200 LT), September and November (0300 - 0400 LT). The overestimation percentage ranges between ~ 301 0.1% (December) - ~ 86% (June) between 0100 - 1200 LT. We also observed that in May and 302 303 June, IRI-TEC overestimated GPS-TEC during May and June in all hours between ~ 2% (1900 LT) and ~ 86% (0500 LT), respectively. Between 1300 - 2400 LT, we observed some irregular 304

patterns of underestimation and overestimation of DPS-TEC over GPS-TEC in most of themonths.

307

308 3.4 Percentage deviation of NeQ-TEC

Figures 4a, and 4b, reveal the hourly variations of the changed TEC, and mass plots of 309 hourly variation of % changed TEC between GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC from March to December 310 during quiet period. The increase change between NeQ-TEC and GPS-TEC are found 0100 -311 0900 LT except in November and December. We also found that, NeQ-TEC constantly 312 overestimated GPS-TEC around 0100 - 0900 LT in all month except in March, April, August, 313 September and November around 0400 LT and also around 0500 LT in March, April and 314 November. The overestimation percentage is ranged between ~ 0.02% (April) - ~ 81% (July). 315 We also observed that the NeQ-TEC underestimated GPS-TEC between 1200 - 1900 LT in all 316 months and ranged between ~ - 0.3% (October) - ~ - 75% (November). In July, we noticed a 317 consistent overestimation of NeQ-TEC in all hours except around 1300 LT (~ - 1.5%) and 1400 318 LT (~ - 0.6%). Between 2000 - 2400 LT, NeQ-TEC overestimated GPS-TEC in March, April, 319 320 June, September, October and December whereas in May, July, August and November, NeQ-TEC underestimated GPS-TEC. 321

322

323 **3.5** Comparisons of the deviations from GPS-TEC

324 From Figure 2b, 3b, and 4b, we constantly found high percentage of underestimations of DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC with respect to GPS-TEC between 0400 - 0600 LT in March and 325 326 December. Around 0100 - 0500 LT, highest DPS-TEC percentage of underestimation are ~ 190%, ~ 210% - ~ 280% in March, November, and December respectively, highest IRI-TEC 327 328 percentage of underestimation of IRI-TEC is ~ 200% in March, and highest NeQ-TEC overestimations is ~ 68% and ~75% in June and July, respectively and highest underestimation 329 is ~ 60% in March. Between 0700 LT - 1800 LT, DPS-TEC overestimation and underestimation 330 ranges between ~ 10% - ~ 10% in all months, IRI-TEC overestimation and underestimation 331 ranges between ~ 70 - ~ 50% in all months, and NeQ-TEC overestimation and underestimation 332 of ranges between ~ 80% - ~ 80% in all months. During 1900 - 2400 LT, DPS-TEC highest 333 underestimation is ~ 310% in March, IRI-TEC overestimation and underestimation are found 334 between the range of ~ 50% - ~ 50% in all months, and NeQ-TEC overestimation and 335

underestimation ranges between ~ 70% - ~ 70% in all months. Figure 5 reveals the seasonal 336 variations of GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC during quiet period. We observed 337 338 that both DPS-TEC and models reproduce the semi-annual variation with maximum and minimum TEC at March equinox and June solstice, respectively. The daytime maximum is 339 340 ranged between ~ 24.8 TECU (NeQ) - ~ 34 TECU (DPS), ~ 19.2 TECU (NeQ) - ~ 22.6 TECU (DPS), ~ 24.9 TECU (NeQ) - ~ 33.5 TECU (DPS) and ~ 24.55 TECU (NeQ) - ~ 31 TECU 341 (DPS), in March equinox, June solstice, September equinox, and December solstice, 342 respectively. 343

344

345 **4.0 Discussion of Result**

An investigation into the variations of GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC at 346 an equatorial station $(8.5^{\circ}N 4.65^{\circ} E)$ in Africa during low solar activity in the year 2010 has been 347 carried out. The TEC increases gradually from the sunrise period, then slowly reached the 348 349 daytime maximum, and later decay till the pre-sunrise minimum. This result indicates that the TEC is a solar zenith angle dependence revealing maximum and minimum in TEC during the 350 noontime and pre-sunrise or sunrise minimum, respectively (Wu et al.2008; Aravindan and Iyer 351 1990; and Kumar and Singh 2009). Interestingly, the faster increase in the DPS-TEC than GPS-352 TEC during pre-sunrise is not consistent with the findings of Ezquer et al. (1992) at Tucumán 353 (26.9° S; 65.4° W), Belehaki et al. (2004) at Athens in the middle latitude, McNamara (1985) at 354 low latitude and Obrou et al. (2008) at Korhogo (9.33°N, 5.43°W, Dip = 0.67°S) and found 355 smaller DPS-TEC compared with GPS-TEC. The evidence of PEC on GPS-TEC was recently 356 reported by Belehaki et al. (2004). They extracted the plasmaspheric electron content (PEC) 357 from the GPS-TEC and found a significant PEC in the morning and evening. Also, Jodogne et al. 358 359 (2004), Mosert and Altadill (2007), and Mckinnell et al. (1996) obtained a rough estimation of PEC from the GPS and DPS-TEC. They concluded that the combined GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC 360 361 could give the PEC of a given location. Therefore, a larger DPS-TEC during the sunrise could be 362 attributed to inaccurate representation of PEC in the topside DPS-TEC profile during extrapolation from the peak of NmF2 to around ~ 1000 km of Ne profile . Thus, a typical GPS-363 TEC naturally includes the PEC measurement (Belehaki et al. 2003; Balan and Iyer, 1983; 364 365 Carlson, 1996; and Breed and Goodwin, 1997).

367 Furthermore, our observation in GPS-TEC shows no conspicuous noontime bite-out. The bite-out is attributed to the occurrence of the most active fountain effect during the noontime at 368 369 the magnetic equator due to the lifting of ionospheric plasma. Thus, the bite-out result from the interaction of eastward electric field and earth horizontal magnetic field. The interactions 370 resulted to the lifting of plasma at the magnetic equator and diffused along geomagnetic field 371 lines into the high latitudes, so leaving the reduced TEC at the magnetic equator 372 (Bandyopadhyay, 1970; Olwendo et al., 2012; Skinner et al., 1966; Bolaji et al., 2012). 373 However, the absence of daytime bite-out (Olatunji, 1967) in GPS-TEC found in our result 374 shows that the productions of the bottomside and topside electron content are enhanced quickly 375 376 to replenish the loss of the ionization that occurs during the noontime through the fountain effect. The higher DPS-TEC compared with IRI-TEC around sunrise is not consistent with Rios et al. 377 (2007) who investigated comparison of DPS-TEC and IRI-TEC and found that DPS-TEC is 378 smaller than IRI TEC in all hour. They concluded that the prediction of IRI-TEC included the 379 high topside Ne profile. Thus, our observation suggests that the IRI-TEC has included low 380 381 topside Ne profile in the model or excessive exaggeration of PEC in the topside Ne profile in the 382 DPS-TEC. Our investigation shows that the daytime GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC in April, August and December appear to be approximately equal. This finding suggests that the topside Ne 383 384 profile in DPS-TEC are moderately captured in the topside Ne profile in GPS-TEC. This finding, thus indicates the absence of PEC profile in DPS-TEC approximately reproduced the daytime 385 386 GPS-TEC and IRI-TEC (April, August and December). The insignificance of daytime PEC in the observation is inferred from the report of Rastogi et al. (1975) who measured TEC from 387 388 Faraday rotations from ground receiver to ~ 20200 km. They found that the PEC contribution on the topside and the bottomside Ne profile is insignificant during the daytime. Moreover, 389 390 Belehaki et al. (2004) investigation has recently reported the negligible PEC contributions during the daytime. 391

392

Our higher daytime DPS-TEC compared with daytime IRI-TEC is consistent with McNamara (1985) who reported higher DPSTEC compared with IRI-TEC during the daytime. However, in the report of Obrou et al. (2008) at the equatorial station, the IRI-TEC was higher than the DPS-TEC at the low solar activity. We found a reduced daytime IRI and NeQ-TEC compared with GPS-TEC that indicates the excessive PEC removal from the model values that its PEC contribution had been initially exaggerated during the sunrise. Our finding is supported
by Migoya-Orue et al. (2017), Zakharenkova (2016), Rabiu et al., (2014), Nava and Radicella
(2009), and Zh et al. (2014). They concluded that the topside ionosphere in the NeQuick model
consistently revealed underestimation of observed TEC. The daytime IRI-TEC (April, July,
August, and September) and NeQ-TEC (June) is approximately reproduced in the GPS-TEC;
this implies that the model factors in IRI and NeQ perform best in the absence of significant
PEC contribution.

The hourly variations of percentage difference between GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC, GPS-405 TEC and IRI-TEC and GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC in all months revealed that the pre-sunrise 406 values in DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC require an attention due to high percentage 407 difference recorded in all variations especially in March for DPS-TEC and the models, and 408 November and December for DPS-TEC. The daytime DPS-TEC value is closer to the GPS-TEC 409 value compared to the daytime IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC values. The nighttime NeQ-TEC and 410 IRI-TEC perform better with GPS-TEC compared with DPS-TEC in all months, however more 411 improvement is also required to minimize the effect of the discrepancies observed during the 412 413 night. More work needs to be done during the pre-sunrise in all models especially in March for all models, and November and December for DPS-TEC. 414

415

Seasonally, we discovered that TEC is maximum and minimum during the equinoxes and 416 417 the solstices, respectively. Our report is consistent with Mala et al. (2009), Wu et al. (2008), Kumar and Singh (2009), and Balan and Rao, (1984) who investigated TEC in various regions. 418 419 They concluded that the seasonal variation in TEC is attributed to the seasonal differences in thermospheric composition. Moreover, the sub-solar point is around the equator during the 420 421 equinox. Consequently, the sun shines directly over the equatorial region, and in addition to the high ratio of O/N2 around the region, this translates to stronger ionization, thus, semi-annual 422 pattern is formed. Our finding is supported by Ross Skinner et al. (1966), Bolaji et al. (2012), 423 and Scherliess and Fejer (1999) who obtained semi-annual variation in TEC. Scherliess and Fejer 424 (1999) suggested that daytime $E \times B$ drift velocities result to semi-annual variation because the 425 426 drift velocities are more and less significant in the equinoctial months and June solstice, respectively. 427

429 **5.0 Conclusion**

An investigation into the quietest GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC over an 430 equatorial station of Africa during just ascending phase cycle of low solar activity in the year 431 2010 was carried out. Our findings indicate that the variations in GPS, DPS, IRI, and NeQ-TEC 432 433 are solar zenith angle dependence having maximum and minimum TEC during the noontime and pre-sunrise or sunrise minimum. We also found that the absence of daytime bite-out in the GPS-434 TEC is exaggerated in the DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC morphologies. Furthermore, our 435 result reveals a faster sunrise increase in DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC than GPS-TEC that 436 437 is attributed to the misinterpretation of the topside Ne profile of the DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and 438 NeQ-TEC in order to incorporate the plasmaspheric electron content (PEC) into the models. The daytime DPS-TEC is also higher than the daytime GPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC, except in 439 April, September and December where daytime DPS-TEC and GPS-TEC values are close. The 440 daytime GPS-TEC is also approximately equal the daytime IRI-TEC in April, July, August and 441 442 September whereas in the daytime NeQ-TEC only June approximately close to the daytime GPS-TEC. The close values in daytime TEC obtained in DPS-TEC and IRI-TEC in some months may 443 be unconnected to the improved model values in the absence or a little PEC contributions during 444 the daytime. Another finding is the faster decay in DPS-TEC during the dusk time compared to 445 GPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC. However, the decline is approximately similar in value 446 found in June, July and August (June solstice). The hourly variations of percentage difference 447 between GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC, GPS-TEC and IRI-TEC and GPS-TEC and NeQ-TEC in all 448 months revealed that the pre-sunrise values in DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC and NeO-TEC require an 449 attention. The daytime DPS-TEC value is closer to the GPS-TEC value compared to the daytime 450 451 IRI-TEC and NeQ-TEC values. The nighttime NeQ-TEC and IRI-TEC perform better with 452 GPS-TEC compared with DPS-TEC in all months. This study was carried out during the quietest period of the year 2010; it will be of advantage to investigate the similar work during the 453 454 most disturbed days and compared with our results. Moreover, additional stations in the 455 equatorial region will be needed to validate the latitudinal effect of the model with the observed parameters. This will reshape the model parameters for improved ionospheric modeling over 456 Africa. 457

- 458
- 459
- 460

461 **6.0 References**

- 462
- Adewale, A.O., Oyeyemi, E.O., and Olwendo, J. (2012): Solar activity dependence of total
 electron content derived from GPS observations over Mbarara. Advances in Space
 Research. 50, 415-426.
- Akala, A.O., E O Oyeyemi, E O Somoye, A B Adeloye, and A.O., Adewale. 2010. Variability of
 foF2 in the African Equatorial Ionosphere. Advances in Space Research 45 (11). COSPAR:
 1311–14. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2010.01.003.
- Andreeva, E. S., and M. V Lokota. 2013. Analysis of the Parameters of the Upper Atmosphere
 and Ionosphere Based on Radio Occultation, Ionosonde Measurements, IRI and NeQuick
 Model Data. 52(10):1820–26. doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2013.08.012.
- 472 Aravindan, P., and Iyer, K. N. (1990): Day-to-day variability in ionospheric electron content at
 473 low latitudes, Pmet. Space Sci., 38(6),743-750.
- Bagiya, Mala S, H.P Joshi, K N Iyer, M Aggarwal, S Ravindran, and B M Pathan. 2009. "TEC
 Variations during Low Solar Activity Period (2005-2007) near the Equatorial Ionospheric
 Anomaly Crest Region in India." Annales Geophysicae 27: 1047–57. doi:10.5194/angeo27-1047-2009.
- Balan, N., and Rao, P. B. (1984): Relationship Between Nighttime Total Electron Content
 Enhancements, Journal of Geophysical Research, 89(10), 9009-9013.
- Balan, N., Iyer, K.N. Equatorial anomaly in ionospheric electron content and its relation to
 dynamo currents. J. Geophys. Res. 88 (A12), 10259–10262, 1983.
- Bandyopadhyay, P. (1970): Measurement of total electron content at Huancayo, Peru, Planet.
 Space Sci., 18, 129–135, doi:10.1016/0032-0633 (70)90150-9.
- Belehaki, A Jankowski, N.Reinisch B. W. 2004. "Plasmaspheric Electron Content Derived from
 GPS TEC and Digisonde Ionograms." 33:833–37.
- Belehaki, A Jankowski, N.Reinisch B. W. 2004. "Plasmaspheric Electron Content Derived from
 GPS TEC and Digisonde Ionograms." 33:833–37.
- Belehaki, A., and Kersley, L. (2003): Statistical validation of the ITEC parameter, Third
 Workshop of the COST271 Action, 23-27 September 2003, Spetses, Greece.
- Bent, R.B., Llewellyn, S.K. and Schmid, P.E., 1972. A highly successful empirical model for the
 worldwide ionospheric electron density profile. DBA Systems, Melbourne, Florida.
- Bidaine, B. and R. Warnant. 2011. "Ionosphere Modelling for Galileo Single Frequency Users :
 Illustration of the Combination of the NeQuick Model and GNSS Data Ingestion."
 Advances in Space Research 47(2):312–22. doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2010.09.001).
- Bilitza, D. (2001): 'International Reference Ionosphere 2000', Radio Science, **36**(2), 261-275.
- Bilitza, D. (1986): International reference ionosphere: Recent developments, Radio Science, 21, 343-346.
- Bilitza, D., and Rawer, K. (1998): "International Reference Ionosphere Model (IRI- 93," http://envnet.gsfc.nasa.gov/Models/EnviroNET-Models.html Adv. Space Res., 69, 520– 829.
- Bolaji, O. S., Adeniyi, J. O., Radicella, S. M., and Doherty, P. H. (2012): Variability of total
 electron content over an equatorial West African station during low solar activity.
 RADIO SCIENCE, 47, RS1001 doi:10.1029/2011RS004812.
- Breed, A.M, G L Goodwin, A-m Vandenberg, E A Essex, K J W Lynn, and Abstract
 Ionospheric. 1997. Ionospheric Total Electron Content and Slab Thickness J. H. Silby 32
 (4): 1635–43. 10.1029/97RS00454 2006.

- Carlson, H.C. Incoherent scatter radar mapping of polar electrodynamics. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr.
 Phys. 58 (1–4), 37–56, 1996.
- Cherniak, Iurii and Irina Zakharenkova. 2016. NeQuick and IRI-Plas Model Performance on
 Topside Electron Content Representation: Spaceborne GPS Measurements. 1–15.
- 511 Ciraolo, L., and P. Spalla. 1997. "Comparison of Ionospheric Total Electron Content from Navy
 512 Navigation Satellite System and the GPS." Radio Science 32 (3): 1071–1080.
 513 doi:10.1029/97RS00425.
- Coïsson, P., Radicella, S.M., Leitinger, R. and Nava, B., 2006. Topside electron density in IRI
 and NeQuick: features and limitations. Advances in Space Research, 37(5), pp.937-942.
- Davies, K., Recent progress in satellite radio beacon studies with particular emphasis on the
 ATS-6 Radio Beacon Experiment, Space Sci. Rev., 25, 357-430, 1980
- Ezquer, R. G., Adler, N.O., Radicella, S.M., Gonzalez, M.M., and Manza, J. R. (1992): Total
 electron content obtained from ionogram data alone, Radio Science, 27(3), 429-434.
- Fox, M. W., and McNamara, L. F. (1988): Improved world-wide maps of monthly median
 foF2, Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics, 50, 1077-1086.
- Huang, X., Reinisch, B.W. Vertical total electron content from ionograms in real time. Radio
 Sci. 36 (2), 335–342, 2001. Ionization Anomaly over Africa Using Data Ingestion."
 60:1732–38.
- Jee, G., Schunk, R.W. and Scherliess, L., 2005. On the sensitivity of total electron content (TEC)
 to upper atmospheric/ionospheric parameters. Journal of atmospheric and solar-terrestrial
 physics, 67(11), pp.1040-1052.
- Jesus, R De, P R Fagundes, A Coster, O S Bolaji, J H A Sobral, I S Batista, AJ De Abreu, et al.
 2016. Effects of the Intense Geomagnetic Storm of September October 2012 on the
 Equatorial, Low- and Mid-Latitude F Region in the American and African Sector during the
 Unusual 24th Solar Cycle." Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 138–139.
 Elsevier: 93–105. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2015.12.015.
- Jodogne J.-C., H. Nebdi, and R.Warnan. 2004. Advances in Radio Science GPS TEC and ITEC
 from Digisonde Data Compared with NEQUICK Model. 269–73.
- Karia, S.P., and Pathak, K.N.(2011): GPS based Tec measurement for a period Aug 2008-Dec
 2009 near the northern crest of India equatorial ionospheric anomaly region. Journal of
 earth system science, 120.5, 851-858.
- Kenpankho, P., P. Supnithi, and T. Nagatsuma. 2013. ScienceDirect Comparison of Observed
 TEC Values with IRI-2007 TEC and IRI-2007 TEC with Optional Fo F2 Measurements
 Predictions at an Equatorial Region, Chumphon, Thailand. Advances in Space Research
- Kenpankho, P., P. Supnithi, and T. Nagatsuma. 2013. ScienceDirect Comparison of Observed
 TEC Values with IRI-2007 TEC and IRI-2007 TEC with Optional Fo F2 Measurements
 Predictions at an Equatorial Region, Chumphon, Thailand. Advances in Space Research
- Kumar, S., and Singh, A.K. (2009): Variation of ionospheric total electron content in Indian
 low latitude region of the equatorial anomaly during May 2007–April 2008, Advances
 in Space Research 43, 1555–1562.
- Langley, R., M. Fedrizzi, E. Paula, M. Santos, and A. Komjathy (2002), Mapping the low
 latitude ionosphere with GPS, GPS World, 13(2), 41-46.
- Leong, S. K. et al. 2014. Assessment of Ionosphere Models at Banting: Performance of IRI2007, IRI-2012, and NeQuick 2 Models during the Ascending Phase of Solar Cycle 24.
 Advances in Space Research (2013) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2014.01.026).
- Liu, J. Y., H. F. Tsai, and T. K. Jung (1996b), Total electron content obtained by using the global

- 553 positioning system, Terr. Atmos. Oceanic Sci., 7, 107.
- 554 Mala, S., Bagiya, H. P., Joshi, K. N., Iyer, M., Aggarwal, S., Ravindran, and Pathan, B.M.
- (2009): TEC variations during low solar activity period (2005–2007) near the equatorial 555 556 Ionospheric Anomaly Crest region in India, Ann. Geophys., 27, 1047–1057.
- Mannucci, A. J., B. D. Wilson, and C. D. Edwards (1993), A new methodfor monitoring the 557 Earth' s ionospheric total electron content using the GPS global network, paper 558 presented at ION GPS - 93, Inst. of Navigation., pp. 1323-1332, Salt Lake City, Utah, 559
- 22-24 Sept. 560
- Mckinnell, Lee-anne, Ben Opperman, and Pierre J. Cilliers. GPS TEC and Ionosonde TEC over 561 562 Grahamstown, South Africa: First Comparisons Lee-Anne McKinnell, Ben Opperman and Pierre J. Cilliers. (April 1996). 563
- 564 McNamara, L.F (1985). The use of total electron content measurements to validate empirical models of the ionosphere. Adv. Space Res. 5(7), 81-90. 565
- 566 Migoya Orué, Y. O., S. M. Radicella, P. Coïsson, R. G. Ezquer, and B. Nava. 2008. Comparing TOPEX TEC Measurements with IRI Predictions." Advances in Space Research 42(4):757-567 568 62.
- Migoya-Orue, Y., O. Folarin-olufunmilayo, S. Radicella, K. Alazo-Cuartas, and A. B. Rabiu. 569 570 2017. "ScienceDirect Evaluation of NeQuick as a Model to Characterize the Equatorial
- Mosert, M, L A McKinnell, M Gender, C Brunini, J Araujo, R G Ezquer, and M Cabrera. 2007. 571 "Variations of F O F 2 and GPS Total Electron Content over the Antarctic Sector," 327-33. 572 573 doi:10.5047/eps.2011.01.006.
- Mosert, M. and D. Altadill. 2007. "Comparisons of IRI TEC Predictions with GPS and 574 Digisonde Measurements at the Ebro." 39:841–47. 575
- 576 Nava, B. and S. M. Radicella. 2009. "On the Use of NeQuick Topside Option in IRI-2007." 43:1688-93. 577
- Obrou, O.K., Mene, M.N., Kobea, A.T., and Zaka, K.Z. (2008): Equatorial total electron content 578 579 (TEC) at low and high solar activity, Advances in Space Research 43, 1757–1761.
- Okoh, D., McKinnell, L.A., Cilliers, P., Okere, B., Okonkwo, C. and Rabiu, B., 2015. IRI-vTEC 580 581 versus GPS-vTEC for Nigerian SCINDA GPS stations. Advances in Space Research, 55(8), 582 pp.1941-1947.
- Olatunji, E. O. (1967): The total columnar electron content of the equatorial ionosphere, 583
- Olwendo, O. J., P. Baki, P. J. Cilliers, C. Mito, and P. Doherty. 2013. "Comparison of GPS TEC 584 Variations with IRI-2007 TEC Prediction at Equatorial Latitudes during a Low Solar 585 Activity (2009-2011) Phase over the Kenyan Region." Advances in Space Research 52(10). 586
- Olwendo, O. J., P. Baki, P. J. Cilliers, C. Mito, and P. Doherty. 2012. "Comparison of GPS TEC 587 Measurements with IRI-2007 TEC Prediction over the Kenyan Region during the 588 Descending Phase of Solar Cycle 23." Advances in Space Research 49(5):914-21. 589 Retrieved (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2011.12.007). 590
- Olwendo, O. J., P. Baki, P. J. Cilliers, C. Mito, and P. Doherty. 2013. "Comparison of GPS TEC 591 Variations with IRI-2007 TEC Prediction at Equatorial Latitudes during a Low Solar 592 Activity (2009-2011) Phase over the Kenyan Region." Advances in Space Research 52(10). 593
- Olwendo, O.J., Baki, P., Cilliers, P.J., Mito, C., and Doherty, P. (2012): Comparison of GPS 594 595 TEC measurements with IRI-2007 TEC prediction over the Kenyan region during the descending phase of solar cycle 23, Advances in Space Research 49, 914–921. 596
- Rabiu, A. B., A. O. Adewale, R. B. Abdulrahim, and E. O. Oyeyemi. 2014. "ScienceDirect TEC 597 Derived from Some GPS Stations in Nigeria and Comparison with the IRI and NeQuick 598

- 599 Models." Advances in Space Research 53(9):1290–1303. Retrieved 600 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2014.02.009).
- Radicella, S.M., Bilitza, D., Reinisch, B.W., and Adeniyi, J.O., Mosert Gonzalez, M.E., Zolesi,
 B., Zhang, M.L., Zhang, S. (1998): IRI Task Force Activity At ICTP: Proposed
 Improvements For The IRI Region Below The F Peak, Adv. Space Res. 22(6) 731-739.
- 503 Inprovements For the IKI Region below the F Peak, Adv. Space Res. 22(0) / 51-/59.
- Rama Rao, P.V.S., Gopi Krishna, S., Niranjan, K., Prasad, D.S.V.V.D. Temporal and spatial
 variations in TEC using simultaneous measurements from the Indian GPS network of
 receivers during the low solar activity period of 2004–2005. Ann. Geophys. 24, 3279–
 3292, 2006b.
- Rama Rao, P.V.S., Niranjan, K., Prasad, D.S.V.V.D., Gopi Krishna, S., Uma, G. On the validity
 of the ionospheric pierce point (IPP) altitude of 350 km in the equatorial and low latitude
 sector. Ann. Geophys. 24, 2159–2168, 2006a.
- Rastogi, R G., and Sharma, R. P. (1971): Ionospheric electron content at Ahmedabad (near the crest of the equatorial anomaly) by using beacon satellite transmissions during half a solar cycle; Planet. Space Sci. 19 1505–1517.
- Rastogi, R.G., Iyer, K.N. and Bhattacharyya, J.C., 1975. Total electron content of the ionosphere
 over the magnetic equator. Current Science, pp.531-533.
- Rawer, K. and Bilitza, D., 1990. International Reference Ionosphere—plasma densities: status
 1988. Advances in space research, 10(8), pp.5-14
- Rawer, K., Lincoln, J. V., and Conkright, R. O. (1981): International Reference Ionosphere—
 IRI 79, World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics, Report UAG-82, Boulder,
 Colorado. 52. 223-232.
- Reinisch, B.W., Huang, X. Deducing topside profile and total electron content from bottomside
 ionograms. Adv. Space Res. 27 (1), 23–30, 2001.
- Rios, V.H., Medina, C.F. and Alvarez, P., 2007. Comparison between IRI predictions and
 digisonde measurements at Tucuman. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics,
 69(4-5), pp.569-577.
- Rush, C., Fox, M., Bilitza, D., Davies, K., McNamara, L., Stewart, F., and PoKempner, M. (1989): Ionospheric mapping: an update of foF2 coefficients, Telecomm. J, 56, 179 182.
- Skinner, N. J. (1966): Measurements Of Total Electron Content Near The Magnetic Equator,
 Planet. Space Sci. Vol. 14, Pp. 1123 1129.
- Tan, A, and Newberry College. 1982. "On the Nighttime Increase of" 44.
- Tariku, Yekoye. 2015. "Patterns of GPS-TEC Variation over Low-Latitude Regions (African Sector) during the Deep Solar Minimum (2008 to 2009) and Solar Maximum (2012 to 2013) Phases." Earth, Planets, and Space 67 (1). doi:10.1186/s40623-015-0206-2.
- Tyagi, T. R., Yeh, K. C., and Tauriainen, A. (1982): The Electron Content and Its Variations at
 Natal, Brazil, Journal of Geophysical Research, 87(A4), 2525-2532.
- Wu C-C, Liou K., Shan, S. J., and Tseng, C. L. (2008): Variation of ionospheric total electron
 content in Taiwan region of the equatorial anomaly from 1994 to 2003, Advances in
 Space Research 41, 611–616.
- Young, D. M. L., Yuf, P. C., and Roelofs, T.H.(1970): Anomalous nighttime increases in total
 electron content, Planet. Space Sci. 18,1163-1179.
- Yu, Xiao, Chengli Shi, Dun Liu, and Weimin Zhen. 2012. "A Preliminary Study of the NeQuick
 Model over China Using GPS TEC and Ionosonde Data." 2012 10th International
 Symposium on Antennas, Propagation and EM Theory, ISAPE 2012 (36):627–30.
- 644 Zh, A. G.Noordwijk, Campus Nord, Mod C-, and Jordi Girona 2014. "NeQuick Model,

GALILEO, Slant TEC, Modified Dip, Effective Ionisation Level." (2).

Zhang, Man Lian, Sandro M. Radicella, Jian Kui Shi, Xiao Wang, and Shun Zhi Wu. 2006.
"Comparison among IRI, GPS-IGS and Ionogram-Derived Total Electron Contents."
Advances in Space Research 37(5):972–77.

7.0 Figures







Figure 2a. The hourly ΔTEC variations between the GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC from March -December during quiet period.

656



Figure 2b. The mass plot of the hourly %∆ TEC variations between the GPS-TEC and DPS-TEC
from March - December during quiet period.



December during quiet period.









676

December during quiet period.



681



687

Figure 5a. The hourly variations of median GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC for

689 March equinox during quiet period.

690 Figure 5b. The hourly variations of median GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC for

- 691 June solstice during quiet period.
- Figure 5c. The hourly variations of median GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC for
- 693 September equinox during quiet period.
- 694 Figure 5c. The hourly variations of median GPS-TEC, DPS-TEC, IRI-TEC, and NeQ-TEC for
- 695 December solstice during quiet period.
- 696