Interactive comment on "Assessing water vapor tomography in Hong Kong with improved vertical and horizontal constraints" *by* Pengfei Xia et al.

P. Xia

xpf130@163.com

Dear Reviewer,

First of all, we would like to thank the anonymous reviewer very much. All the comments helped us improve the manuscript a lot. We are very appreciative of that. For each comment, we have carefully examined and answered with our best efforts. Thank you! Please kindly find enclosed our updated manuscript and our responses to each comment below.

Best regards

Pengfei Xia

Anonymous Referee #2 General comments The paper investigates the improvement in the estimation of atmospheric water vapor by 1) constructing a new Tm model, 2) determining the scale height of water vapor, which used to calculate the vertical constraint and 3) Deriving the smoothing factor in the horizontal constraint. This study is interesting and worth to be published. It is well written and well-organized. Thank you very much for your comments.

Specific comments In page 20, section 4.2, lines 386-387. The authors set up a set of criteria to evaluate the tomography profile PPC>0.9 and RMS <2.0 g/m3. Can you please explain why you choose these criteria? Are there any references for choosing these specific numbers? Response: Thank you very much for your comment! ïĄň We have set the criteria for evaluating the success of tomographic profiles (Jiang et al., 2014). These specific numbers of criteria was quoted from Jiang et al. (2014). However, duo to the water vapor is relatively rich and there is a serious "inversion layer" in the vertical direction in August in Hong Kong, the thresholds of PCC and RMS (PPC>0.9 and RMS <2.0 g/m3) were redefined in this paper.

Jiang, P., Ye, S.R., Liu, Y.Y., Zhang, J.J., Xia, P.F., 2014. Near real-time water vapor tomography using ground-based GPS and meteorological data: long-term experiment in Hong Kong. Ann. Geophys. 32, 911-923.