**Reply to the topical editor's report for minor revisions**

I thank the topical editor for suggesting minor revisions, concerning essentially cosmetics and adding references to the figure captions.

Page 2: second last line: deHoffman-Teller frame -> de Hoffmann-Teller frame (please check spelling)

OK, done

Figures: could you please provide the figures with higher resolution, 400 dpi or above, in particular Figs. 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, but please also check if the other figure panels are at print resolution.

**Sorry, I have a problem with that request: These are all not my original figures, but were taken from power-point figures or the printed original papers and have then been transformed into the pdf figures as used in the paper.**

In Fig. 7 the x-axis title of the top panels seems cut, or is it the same as for the bottom panels.

OK, it is the same, and has been mentioned now: "The frequency-axis of the top panels is the same as for the bottom panels."

In Fig. 12, the panel title at the bottom of the panels (A=...) seems somewhat large. Possibly, the specific values of "A" could be included in the figure caption and/or in the body text of the manuscript.

OK, done and panel title eliminated.

The bounding box of Figs. 12 and 13 seem not correct, as there is quite some empty space below the panels. Could you please check this. Ideally, the resolution should also be slightly increased to print resolution (400 or 600 dpi).

OK, for all figures the bounding boxes were checked and further constrained.

Figure captions: Unless the figures are original for this paper and the work is carried out for the actual paper, the references from where the respective panels are adopted should be given in respective figure caption (for a few they are given in the text, but to help the reader it would be good to also have the references in the figure caption (mainly Figs. 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14).

OK, done for all figures requested.