

## ***Interactive comment on “Estimating Satellite and Receiver Differential Code Bias Using Relative GPS Network” by Alaa A. Elghazouly et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 8 May 2019

In the article the authors suggested a technique for “Estimating Satellite and Receiver Differential Code Bias”. While the problem of DCB estimation is important enough it is difficult to find what new was done in the article. The authors used well-known approach based on spherical harmonics (SH), which was suggested by S. Schaer et al. (1998). Similar approach based on SH was used for M\_DCB: MATLAB code by Jin et al. (2012). Recently a lot of article have been published containing new results on DCB and DCB estimation, for example applying convolution algorithm (Q. Li et al., 2018), applying SH along with trigonometric series (Z. Li et al., 2015), applying spherical cap harmonic for regional modeling (Liu et al., 2010), using combination of Minimum scalloping/Least squares/ Zero TEC method (Rideout & Coster, 2006), as well as indicating problems and solution for Compass/Beidou DCB (Z. Li et al.,

C1

2012), new results on strong annual DCB variations (Mylnikova et al., 2015), grounding influence on DCB (Choi and Lee, 2018), plasmasphere influence on DCB estimation (Themens et al., 2015) etc. Submitted article by Elghazouly et al. does not use the background.

Another issue is that in Europe there are at least several hundred stations. Correct analysis (see “second issue” in the article) should contain results (for several stations) for densest network, less dense, . . . one station.

There are also a general problem: nobody knows the real DCB. That make me doubting about 3rd conclusion “The most effective factor in DCBs estimation is using multi station network instead of single station that appeared from results which improved from 1.1866 ns and 0.7982 ns maximum DCB mean differences for M\_DCB and ZDD-CBE single station analysis to 0.1477 ns for MSDCBE. So, using multi station network DCB estimation- if available- is strongly recommended”. The results only say that used techniques are similar. So, that requires testing the technique based on the modeling results.

Such requirements are for article submitted to Annales geophysicae. It seems that the authors would like to publish “software article” (“The current study proposes a new MATLAB code”), so I would recommend to look for “software journal” (like, for example, The Journal of Open Source Software).

Minor comments:

- 1) While the article contains some interesting results the poor organization of the article make it difficult to understand and make sure that they are correct.
- 2) There are a lot of formulas in the article but actually only 12-16 are used
- 3) There are different errors. “By substituting eq (11) and eq (13) into eq (10) we get”. Actually (8), (9) and (10) into (11). “following equations (14, 15 and 18)” – there is no (18).

C2

References:

B.-K.Choi, S.J. Lee, The influence of grounding on GPS receiver differential code biases, *Advances in Space Research*, V. 62, Issue 2, 2018, P. 457-463, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2018.04.033>.

Jin, R., Jin, S., and Feng, G.: M\_DCB: MATLAB code for estimating GPS satellite and receiver differential code biases, *GPS 243 Solution* 16:541–548, 2012.

Z.Li, Y. Yuan, H.Li, J.Ou, X. Huo, Two-step method for the determination of the differential code biases of COMPASS satellites // *J Geod* (2012) 86:1059–1076. DOI 10.1007/s00190-012-0565-4.

Z. Li, Y. Yuan, N. Wang, M. Hernandez-Pajares, X. Huo. SHPTS: towards a new method for generating precise global ionospheric TEC map based on spherical harmonic and generalized trigonometric series functions. *J Geod* (2015) 89: 331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-014-0778-9>

Q. Li, G. Ma, W. Lu, Q. Wan, J. Fan, X. Wang, J.Li, C.Li, A method of estimating GPS instrumental biases with a convolution algorithm, *Advances in Space Research*, V. 61, Issue 6, 2018, P. 1387-1397, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017.11.034>.

J. Liu, R. Chen, H. Kuusniemi, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, and J. Yang (2010), A preliminary study on mapping the regional ionospheric TEC using a spherical cap harmonic model in high latitudes and the Arctic Region, *J. Glob. Pos. Syst.* 9, 1, 22-32, DOI: 10.5081/jgps.9.1.22.

A.A. Mylnikova, Yu.V. Yasyukevich, V.E. Kunitsyn, A.M. Padokhin, Variability of GPS/GLONASS differential code biases, *Results in Physics*, V. 5, 2015, P. 9-10, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2014.11.002>.

Rideout, W. & Coster, A. Automated GPS processing for global total electron content data. *GPS Solut* (2006) 10: 219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-006-0029-5>.

C3

D. R.Themens, P.T. Jayachandran, R.B. Langley ( 2015), The nature of GPS differential receiver bias variability: An examination in the polar cap region, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, 120, 8155– 8175, doi:10.1002/2015JA021639.

S.Schaer, G.Beutler, M.Rothacher MAPPING AND PREDICTING THE IONOSPHERE // *Proceedings of the IGS AC Workshop, Darmstadt, Germany, February 9–11, 1998.*

---

Interactive comment on *Ann. Geophys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2018-120>, 2018.

C4