Ann. Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2018-11-AC2, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ANGEOD

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Monitoring potential ionosphere changes caused by Van earthquake (Mw 7.2) using GNSS measurements" by Selcuk Peker et al.

## Selcuk Peker et al.

samed\_inyurt@hotmail.com

Received and published: 26 March 2018

Fig 1 and Fig 2 were removed from the paper as you suggest. You suggest some refereences, we have added it to our paper. You have also mentioned about There is no attepmt to solve solar, magnetic and earthquake effect. We would like to analyze as statistical and we think that we are succesfull on this stage. We dont want to show anomalies like only caused by earthquake like other wrong papers. Ärt will be not convenient for us. The other thing is that we dont need to show lonolab method is better than GIM-TEC models. Ärt is not our subject. We only discuss that there is an anomaly or not before during and after the earthquake using two different models. We



**Discussion paper** 



Interactive comment on Ann. Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2018-11, 2018.



Discussion paper



## ANGEOD

Interactive comment