

Nonlinear (MARS) modeling of long-term variations of surface UV-B radiation as revealed from the analysis of Belsk, Poland data for the period 1976–2000

J. W. Krzyścin

Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Received: 4 July 2002 - Revised: 4 February 2003 - Accepted: 13 February 2003

Abstract. A new, powerful statistical technique, multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS), is applied to reproduce monthly fractional deviations of UV-B doses over Belsk, Poland, during the snowless (May-October) part of the year in the period 1976-2000. Two kinds of regressors were used: local ones (total ozone, percentage of sky covered by low-, mid-, high-level clouds or total solar radiation over Belsk) and non-local ones, i.e. those describing the long-distance forcings on the surface UV-B due to changes in the global atmospheric circulation. Standard indices of the Quasi-Biennial, North Atlantic, El Niño-Southern Oscillations, and the 11-year solar activity were used as non-local regressors. The results there indicate that the MARS procedure is able to reproduce the observed year-to-year and decadal oscillations in the UV data. The MARS model yields better model-observation agreement than an ordinary leastsquares fit based on the same set of regressors. It is found that MARS is capable of handling interactions between the local and non-local regressors, suggesting a possible nonlinear nature of connections between variables characterizing the atmospheric transparency over Belsk and the long-distance forcings. MARS enables a reconstruction of the surface UV-B variations over any site based on the cloud and ozone data presently stored on web pages.

Key words. Atmospheric composition and structure (aerosols and particles; biosphere-atmosphere interactions)

1 Introduction

Regression modeling is a widely used statistical technique to formulate a reasonable model of the relationship between a response variable and its predictors. Most research in time series modeling was carried out with linear models. However, linear models may not adequately handle nonlinear time dependent systems. Radiative transfer through the atmosphere under cloudy conditions can serve as an example of

Correspondence to: J. W. Krzyścin (jkrzys@igf.edu.pl)

nonlinear interactions in the atmosphere. For example, due to multiple scattering of the solar radiation by clouds located on different levels (i.e. low, mid-, and high-level clouds), the measured solar irradiation at the ground level does not depend linearly on the cloud amount on each cloud level. It should be noted that various time scales have to be considered to examine variations of the surface radiation, starting from scales of a few minutes related to the formation of individual clouds and ending up on time scales of a few years (e.g. the North Atlantic Oscillations impact on cloudiness) and even decades (e.g. global warming impact on clouds structure). The equations describing the atmospheric system contain nonlinear terms (see, for example, term $v_i \partial v_k / \partial x_i$ in the Navier-Stockes equations), leading to the necessity of testing a nonlinear approach in a statistical modeling of the atmosphere.

However, the transmission of UV radiation through the atmosphere could be explained by rather simple statistical models (based an ordinary least-squares regression) by taking into account the following UV-B explanatory variables (regressors): total ozone, solar zenith angle, groundlevel total (Sun+sky) solar radiation (broad-band irradiances over whole solar spectral range, 300-3000 nm), e.g. Ito et al. (1993), Bordewijk et al. (1995), Bodeker and McKenzie (1996), McArthur et al. (1999). These papers supported a view that total solar radiation can be used as an excellent proxy for the cloud induced variations of the surface UV. It should be noted that the time series of the surface UV-B are rather short. Some series of broad-band UV-B measurements were started in the mid 1970s but they have been shown to be unsuitable for detection of long-term changes because of calibration uncertainties (Weatherhead et al., 1997). Thus, to obtain more definite conclusions about UV past variations (focusing especially on the surface UV enhancement due to total ozone variations), it is of special importance to reconstruct long-term series of UV irradiances based on statistical models parameterizing the effects of UV-controlling factors on the surface UV-B radiation. Here, we would like to test a nonlinear statistical approach to reproduce variations of the surface UV-B and examine how known long-term forcings on the atmosphere dynamics (11-year solar cycle, Quasi-Biennial Oscillations – QBO, El Niño-Southern Oscillations – ENSO, the North Atlantic Oscillations- NAO) affect the surface UV-B radiation.

Specifically, the research goal is to detect to what extent the departures of UV-B monthly means from the long-term (1976–2000) monthly means (normalized by the long-term means), i.e. the so-called fractional deviations obtained from the daily dose measurements carried out at Belsk (52° N, 21° E), are related to the changes in local (referring to a given site) forcing parameters and non-local (external) forcings due to variations of the global circulation pattern of the atmosphere. The time series of surface UV-B irradiance are rather short, usually not longer than 1 decade. As far as it is known to the author, one of the longer time series, which underwent the quality control procedure, comes from Belsk, Borkowski (2000). Thus, examinations of the Belsk's time series may provide a basis for the surface UV reconstruction over any site that will take into account past total ozone data (from ground-based observations by the Dobson or Brewer spectrophotometer, or satellite observations by the TOMS) and meteorological data (ground-based or satellite measurements of cloudiness, or surface total radiation by pyranometer measurements).

2 Data

In this section we define variables used in our regression models, i.e. a dependent variable (monthly fractional deviations of the erythemaly UV-B doses for Belsk), local regressors pertaining to the data collected at Belsk (total ozone, total solar radiation) or interpolated to this site (part of the sky covered by low-, mid- and high-level clouds that are derived from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction, (NCEP), U.S.A. reanalysis data), and non-local (external) regressors taken as indices of the global atmospheric circulation and other long-distance forcings (NAO, QBO, ENSO, and the 11-year solar cycle).

2.1 Dependent variable

The UV-B radiation measurements at Belsk have been carried out by means of the Robertson-Berger (RB) instrument in the period 1976–1992, Solar Light (SL) UV-Biometer 501A since 1991, and the Brewer spectrophotometer Mark II 64 since 1993. The RB meter and SL UV-Biometer are broad-band sensors for monitoring biologically active radiation. The instruments' spectral characteristics were design to mimic the spectral sensitivity of Caucasian skin to sunburn (i.e. the erythema action spectrum, whose analytical form is given by the CIE action spectrum, McKinlay and Diffey, 1987). Their outputs were given in units of minimum erythemal dose (MED) per hour. One MED is the dose of UV required to produce slight redness in an average fair-skinned person.

The daily sums of the instrument readings (daily doses) have been averaged for each month since January 1976 and used in our statistical analyses. Calibration of the Belsk RB instrument and a homogenization of the Belsk UV time series were discussed by Krzyścin (1996), Borkowski (1998), Borkowski (2000), and Krzyścin (2000). The quality of the UV measurements by the Brewer spectrophotometer has been assured by comparisons each year with the traveling standard Brewer 17. The analyzed UV time series comprises the homogenized RB time series for the period 1976-1992, and that from 5-min scans by the SL UV-Biometer since January 1993. The estimated uncertainty (by the producer) of the daily dose by the SL UV Biometer is $\sim \pm 5\%$. Slow time drift of sensitivity of our UV instruments needs to be checked. Our quality control/homogenization procedure takes into account the instruments' behavior during the period of overlapped UV observations and radiative transfer model calculations of the expected RB readings for cloudless conditions.

The SL UV-Biometer data have been corrected by applying the statistical relationship between the UV irradiances by the Brewer spectrophotometer and those by the UV-Biometer measured under clear-sky conditions. The reason why the SL UV-biometer data were used here instead of the Brewer data is the larger number of UV daily scans by the biometer (the Brewer spectrophotometer also measures total ozone and SO₂) and the continuous period of observations throughout the year (every year there is a 2–3 week gap in the Brewer observations due to international intercomparisons).

2.2 Regressors

The total ozone observations have been conducted at Belsk since March 1963 by means of the Dobson spectrophotometer. All ozone observations have been reevaluated readingby-reading using the Bass-Paur scale (Bass and Paur, 1985) recommended by the WMO and the calibration record of the instrument (Rajewska et al., 2000). The uncertainty of total O₃ measured by the Dobson instrument is estimated below 1% for the direct Sun observations and $\sim 2-3\%$ for the observations using the UV irradiances coming from zenith under cloudy conditions.

The total atmospheric transparency is parameterized using the fractional deviations of total solar radiation. It gives an estimate of the combined cloud and aerosols effects on the solar radiation reaching the ground level. The monthly means of total solar radiation are calculated from the daily sums of total solar irradiation obtained from the Kipp & Zonen and Sonntag pyranometers (CM5, CM6, CM11, and PRM2, respectively). The calibration procedure of these instruments was discussed by Krzyścin (1996). Expected uncertainty of the measured daily sum of total solar radiation was estimated (by the producer) to be $\pm 3\%$ for CM11 and $\pm 5-10\%$ for older versions of pyranometers. We are dealing with the monthly means, thus their uncertainties are a few times lower (square root of the number of independent daily



Fig. 1. The time series (1976–2000) of regressors explaining the surface UV-B variations; (**a**) the 11-year solar activity (10.7 cm solar flux at Penticton/Ottawa); (**b**) El Niño-Southern Oscillations (normalized surface pressure difference between Tahiti – Darwin); (**c**) and (**d**) Quasi-Biennial Oscillations (zonal component of wind over the equatorial region) at 30 hPa and 50 hPa, respectively; (**e**) North Atlantic Oscillations (normalized amplitude of the leading mode of 700 hPa geopotential field disturbances over Northern Hemisphere). Fractional deviations of the monthly means of UV-B daily doses (erythemaly weighted) measured at Belsk for the period 1976–2000 – Fig. 1f. Solid curves in Figs. 1a–e represent the time series of regressors after removal of linear trend component and application a smoother (LOWESS) to resultant detrended time series. The straight line in Fig. 1f illustrates the linear trend in the data shown calculated by an ordinary least-squares fit.

measurements per month) than those for the daily measurements.

In so far, all discussed variables come from the measurements carried out at Belsk. It also seems interesting to analyze the cloud characteristics, i.e. amount of cloudiness by low-, mid-, and high-level clouds, from the combined ground-based/satellite observations. Here, the cloud subset of NCEP Reanalysis data, stored on the web page http: //wesley.wwb.noaa.gov/ncep_data/, is considered. Gridded values of cloud cover by low-, mid-, and high-level clouds interpolated to Belsk's location are used as the local regressors. Further in our analyses, all the quantities mentioned in Sect. 2.1 and 2.2 are converted to fractional deviations, i.e. departures of actual monthly values from its monthly references (the 1976–2000 monthly means) in percent of the reference values.

Indices of the atmospheric oscillations affecting the weather pattern over sites located far outside regions where the oscillations originate (the teleconnection effects) are examined here as the non-local regressors. The following standard indices are considered:

- Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) the normalized surface pressure difference between Tahiti and Darwin, used as ENSO index,
- Zonal component of wind at 30 and 50 hPa level averaged over the region 5° S–5° N (wind data from NCEP Reanalysis) parameterizing the QBO effects on UV-B level,
- Normalized amplitude of the leading mode of 700 hPa geopotential field disturbances over Northern Hemisphere pertaining the NAO oscillations (presently available on the web page http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/ data/teledoc/nao.html),
- 10.7 cm solar radio flux measured at Penticton/Ottawa, adjusted for the Sun-Earth distance, used to describe an external forcing on the atmosphere by the long-term solar activity.

Two kinds of regressors will be examined: rough monthly means (points in Figs. 1a-e) and smoothed monthly departures (curves in Figs. 1a-e) that remain after subtracting the trend line (straight line fitted to the data by an ordinary leastsquares regression) from the data and smoothing the resultant (detrended) time series. We use Locally Weighted Regression - LOWESS to suppress month-to-month oscillations in the data. At each point in the data set a low-degree polynomial is fit to a subset of the data, with dependent variable values near the point whose smooth value is being estimated. The polynomial is fit using weighted least squares, giving more weight to points near the point whose response is being estimated and less weight to points further away. The smooth value for the point is then obtained by evaluating the local polynomial using the dependent variable value for that data point (Cleveland and Devlin, 1988).

Figure 1f illustrates the variations of the monthly UV-B fractional deviations for the 1976–2000 period. The trend of \sim 3–4% per decade (by an ordinary least-squares fit) is found over that period. Further in the analyses we will examine part of the UV data shown in Fig. 1f, i.e. the fractional deviations of UV monthly means for the snowless periods of the year (May through October) when the UV irradiance is especially high. The mean cumulative dose in that period constitutes about 80% of the total annual dose. Special attention has to be paid to the UV data collected in the snowless period of the year because of the natural high intensity of the UV-B radiation there.

3 Regression models

Two kinds of statistical models are examined here. First, one incorporates an advanced statistical technique able to capture both the linear and nonlinear impact of regressors on the dependant variable, Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS), initially introduced by Friedman (1991). Second,

the model is a standard, stepwise regression selecting the best subset of the regressors (those significantly affecting the UV fractional deviations) from all local and non-local ones mentioned in the previous section. MARS reveals a relationship between the dependent variable and independent ones (regressors). It has been applied in a wide range of disciplines (e.g. Lewis and Stevens, 1991; De Veaux et al., 1993a; Taliani et al., 1996; and Finizio and Palmieri, 1998). However, we decide to present below a short description of this method because it is a rather new tool of the time series analysis.

MARS starts from an assumption that all selected regressors affect the dependent variable in a complex way. Therefore, when MARS considers whether to keep a regressor in a model, it simultaneously searches for appropriate break points (known as knots). Models are constructed in a twostage procedure. Stage I is a fast search that tests all database fields and potential break points, resulting in an overfitted model. Stage II refines the model by eliminating unnecessary redundant regressors. The final model retains only the important variable (variables significantly affecting the outcome of the model). For an exhaustive description, reference should be made to Friedman (1991). The great advantage of MARS is that it performs the selection of regressors, the interaction order between regressors, and the amount of smoothing, all automatically. This is accomplished via a penalized residual sum of squares. The user can tune the degree of penalization, which depends on the number of regressors. On problems with a reasonably small number of predictors and where high order interactions do not dominate, MARS competes very favorably with nonlinear models, such as artificial neural networks (De Veaux et al., 1993b). The authors suggested that MARS could be used instead of neural nets in a wide variety of applications, because MARS was always much faster and more interpretable than a neural net and was often more accurate as well.

MARS estimates of the UV monthly fractional deviations, UV(t), using N regressors $x_i(t)$, when the assumed order of the interactions between regressors is a two-way interaction ($\sim x_i x_j$), is as follows;

$$UV(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_a(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i,j,j>i}^{N} f_b(x_i(t), x_j(t)) + Noise(t)$$

Noise(t) = $\delta Noise(t-1) + Random(t)$, (1)

where the model residuals, Noise(t), are modeled as first order autoregressive process, and

$$f_a(x_i(t)) = \sum_{l=1}^{Li} (\alpha_{il,1}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_+ + \alpha_{il,2}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_-)(2)$$

$$f_b(x_i(t), x_j(t)) = \sum_{m=1}^{L_j} \sum_{l=1}^{L_i} (\beta_{ij,lm,1}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_+ (x_j(t) - x_{ojm})_+ + \beta_{ij,lm,2}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_+ (x_j(t) - x_{ojm})_- +$$

$$\beta_{ij,lm,3}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_{-}(x_j(t) - x_{oml})_{+} + \beta_{ij,lm,4}(x_i(t) - x_{oil})_{-}(x_j(t) - x_{oml})_{-}).$$
(3)

Functions $(x_i(t) - x_{o...})_-$ and $(x_i(t) - x_{o...})_+$ are linear right and left truncated splines, respectively. The minus (plus) sign after the parentheses indicates that this function equals $(x_i(t) - x_{o...})$ for $x_i \le x_{o...}(x_i \ge x_{o...})$, otherwise 0. In the forward step, the MARS algorithm looks for partition points $x_{o...}$ (the so-called knots, L_k denotes the number of knots for variable x_k), $\alpha_{(...)}$, $\beta_{(...)}$, are determined by straightforward least-squares regression with fixed knots. In the backward step, MARS carried out a trimming procedure to remove terms of Eqs. (2) and (3), which do not remarkably contribute to the quality of the fit. In order to evaluate the quality of the model fit, MARS uses residual square errors penalized by a function depending on MIN – number of retained regression coefficients. The minimum of the following function,

$$GCV(MIN) = \frac{1/S \sum_{i=1}^{S} [UV(t_i) - UV_{mod,MIN}(t_i)]^2}{[1 - C(MIN)/S]^2}$$
(4)

is used as a criterion (the so-called generalized cross validation, GCV, criterion) to choose a final model. The numerator in GCV is the average residual squared error (S is the number of data points and $UV_{mod,MIN}(t_i)$ are model fitted values) and the denominator is a penalty term that reflects model complexity. A model complexity penalty function C(MIN)increases in MIN to prohibit selection of a model with many terms that improve only slightly the residual errors (for details, see Friedman, 1991). In previous applications of the MARS technique in time series analyses, it was assumed that the residual term of the model had properties of random noise. Here the noise term of Eq. (1) is modeled as a first order autoregressive process to account for a part of the UV variations not explained by the regressors used. Both versions (with and without the autoregression term) of model (1) were run and the better one was selected, i.e. that providing the lower value of GCV.

The modeled time series of the fractional UV variations during the snowless part of the year for the period 1976–2000 by MARS is compared with those modeled by a standard stepwise regression, selecting the best set of regressors from all local and non-local ones. To be consistent with the twoway interactions assumed by MARS, our stepwise regression also includes these kinds of terms;

$$UV(t) = \text{const} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^* x_i(t) + \sum_{i,j,j \ge i}^{N} \beta_{ij}^* x_i(t) x_j(t) + Noise, (5)$$

where α_i^* and β_{ij}^* are regression constants to be determined by a standard least-squares procedure.

4 Results

To compare how various models reproduce original data we examine the percent of the variance of original data that is explained by each model. Models defined by Eqs. (1) and (5) may contain many terms, so it is possible that a little better fit to observed UV fractional deviations is due to a much larger number of the model's terms used. Thus, to define a performance of each model we examine the adjusted total variance explained by the model, $Adj.R^2$, that weighs the variance explained by model, R^2 , using the following formula, depending on the number k being the number of statistically significant terms of the model, i.e.

$$Adj.R^{2} = \frac{(k-1)}{(N-k)}(1-R^{2}).$$
(6)

In Figs. 2a and b we show $Adj.R^2$ values by various MARS and stepwise regression models to find out how important are the interaction terms and non-local regressors in the statistical modeling of UV variations. Figure 2a illustrates the results obtained when part of the sky covered by low-, mid- and high-level clouds were among all regressors to be examined, whereas total solar radiation has been used instead of cloud cover for the results shown in Fig. 2b. In Sect. 4.1 we discuss the performance of the models using only local regressors and in Sect. 4.2 we show the results by models based on all available regressors and compare them with those shown in Sect. 4.1.

4.1 Effects of the local regressors

The maximum number of local regressors is 2 (measured total ozone and total solar radiation values), or 4 (total ozone, percent of sky covered by low-, mid- and high-level clouds). It is worth mentioning that the latter case includes rather crude information of the monthly cloudiness (interpolated from the NCEP Reanalysis data) over Belsk. We would like to find what the gain is for model performance by use of the most proper (taking from the measured total irradiance at the observing site) proxy for the cloudiness impact on UV radiation.

It is seen (block no. 1 on Figs. 2a and b) that the stepwise regression using non-interacting local regressors (no terms $x_i(t)x_j(t)$ in Eq. 5) resolves ~60% and ~80% of the total variance. High-level clouds from NCEP Reanalysis appear to have a non-statistically significant impact on the UV fractional deviations. The UV response to the variations of total solar radiation or low-level clouds is the most pronounced among all analyzed regressors. Allowing for interactions between local regressors (i.e. the stepwise regression using cloud data initially had 14 terms and that using total radiation had 5 terms) practically does not help to increase the $Adj.R^2$ value, i.e. there is no need to account for the interactions between local regressors.

The MARS model using non-interactive local regressors (no function f_b in Eq. 1) yields slightly larger values of the $Adj.R^2$ values, i.e. about 5% and 2% larger than the stepwise regression using total solar radiation and cloud cover data, respectively (see block 1 and 4 in Figs. 2b and a). Adding the interaction terms between local variables does not improve the model behavior. Thus, it is a rather small benefit to take



Fig. 2. The adjusted variance, $Adj.R^2$, explained by various statistical models including (or omitting) interactions between the UV regressors and taking into account selected non-local regressors, shown in Figs. 1a–e, for the stepwise regression and MARS model. Figures 2a and b show the results for the cloud cover regressors and total solar radiance used as proxies for the cloud effects on UV, respectively.

much more complicated MARS than ordinary stepwise regression, if only local regressors are under consideration.

4.2 Effects of the non-local regressors

The correlation coefficients between the fractional UV deviations and the non-local regressors are rather small, i.e. the absolute values of the coefficients are less than 0.1. Thus, we cannot expect that a model containing linear terms proportional to the non-local regressors would help to explain a significant part of the UV variability. Stepwise regression, including the cloud-cover regressors among all possible regressors and excluding the interaction terms, contains initially 9 terms but finally, only local regressors remain. Allowing for interactions (thus initially the regression starts with 54 terms for the version using cloud cover regressors and 35 terms for the version with total solar radiance instead of cloud cover) helps to explain the additional 2% (for the cloud cover regressors, see block no. 3 in Fig. 2a) and 5% (for the total solar radiation regressor, see block no. 3 in Fig. 2b).

MARS model, including the interactions between all local and non-local regressors, yields significantly larger $Adj.R^2$ values, i.e. up to 82% for cloud cover regressors and 95% for total solar radiance (compare blocks no. 1 and 10 in Figs. 2a and b). The cloud cover regressors, even if they would be obtained from measurements over Belsk, could provide only an approximate value of the cloud reduction factor of the UV radiation. The basic cloud property decisive for the transmission of the UV radiation, cloud optical depth, cannot be fully described by the cloud cover regressors because of large variations of the cloud characteristics even within one selected cloud type (e.g. Joseffson and Landelius, 2000). Thus, it is not surprising that using total solar radiance instead of the cloud cover regressors helps the model behaviour. Only 5% of the total variance of the observed time series remains unresolved by the best model. The standard deviation of the residuals is only 2.2%. The uncertainty of the UV daily dose observations (as given by the instrument producer) is estimated as $\pm 5\%$ that can be transformed to an $\sim \pm 1\%$ uncertainty in the monthly mean doses. The remaining $\sim 1-2\%$ of the monthly fractional deviations not resolved by the best model is probably related to the UV forcing variables not accounted for by the model regressors as, for example, specific aerosol characteristics (e.g. single scattering albedo, aerosol optical depth) and/or the vertical profile of the aerosol extinction and ozone.

It is worth mentioning that the MARS model, taking into account the cloud cover regressors, which are rather crude proxies of the clouds effects on UV, provides slightly larger $Adj.R^2$ values than a simple regression model using total ozone and total solar radiance (known as an effective proxy for the cloud effects on UV) as the model regressors (compare block no. 10 in Fig. 2a and block no. 1 in Fig. 2b). We run several versions of the stepwise regression and MARS model with and without interaction terms, to find the most important set of non-local regressors (see block nos. 4-10 in Figs. 2a and b). We have found that SOI is not an effective regressor as the versions with and without that predictor have almost the same $Adj.R^2$ (see blocks no. 9 and no. 10 in Figs. 2a and b). If we decide to exclude two (three) non-local regressors by ranking their effects on UV radiation, MARS selects the NAO and QBO indices as the best pair of non-local regressors (NAO index as the single regressor), if total solar radiance is used as one of the regressors. When using the cloud-cover regressors among the local regressors MARS selects the 11-year solar cycle and QBO index as the best pair of non-local regressors and the 11-year solar cycle as the best single non-local regressor. Both models select different pairs of non-local regressors and single regressors having the largest impact on the UV radiance. It can be hypothesized that the 11-year solar cycle coupled with cloud-cover regressors provide an estimation of the cloud reduction factor that is given straightforward by total solar radiation. Adding interaction terms improves significantly the model performance, especially when the cloud cover regressors are used (compare blocks no. 7 and 10 in Fig. 2a and those in Fig. 2b.).

5 Long-term variations of the UV radiation

The models selected in the previous section as the best in each category will be examined here to find out how they mimic the long-term pattern of the UV fractional deviations during the snowless part of the year in the period 1976–2000. We consider the following models:

 model A – selected by the stepwise regression with interaction terms including total ozone, the cloud-cover by mid- and low-level clouds as local regressors and indices of the atmospheric circulations (11-year solar cycle, QBO, and NAO) as non-local regressors (per-



Fig. 3. Time series of the observed and modeled year-to-year fluctuations in the UV fractional deviations for the snowless part (May through October) of the year in the period 1976–2000. The solid and dotted curve represent the smoothed pattern of the observed (full triangles) and modeled (empty triangles) fractional deviations, respectively. Figures 3a–d correspond to results by model A, B, C, and D, defined in Sect. 5.



Fig. 4. The observed (solid curve) and modeled decadal variations of the UV fractional deviations for the snowless part of the year in the 1976–2000 period by stepwise regression (Model A – dotted curve) and MARS model (Model D – dashed curve) – Fig. 4a. The observed year-to-year variations in the UV fractional deviations (solid curve), total ozone (dotted curve), and total solar radiation (dashed line) – Fig. 4b. LOWESS smoother is used to extract decadal and year-to-year variations in the analyzed time series.

formance of this model is visualized by block no. 3 in Fig. 2a),

- model B the same as model A but total solar radiation is used as a proxy for the cloud effects on the UV radiation instead of the cloud-cover by mid- and low-level clouds (see block no. 3 in Fig. 2b).
- model C MARS model using the same regressors as model A, (see block no. 9 in Fig. 2a),
- model D MARS model using the same regressors as model B, (see block no. 9 in Fig. 2b).

Figure 3 shows how the modeled long-term variations (with a time scale longer than a few years, see dotted curves in Fig. 3) resemble the observed ones (solid curves). It is seen that all models produce satisfactory results. Even a very simple model using crude parameterization of the cloud effects on UV (model A) is able to reproduce the long-term variations. It provides a strong support for the quality of the cloud subset of NCEP Reanalysis data. The MARS model

(model C), based on the same set of regressors as model A, produces an even better model-observation agreement.

Figure 4a shows observed and modeled (model A and model D) decadal oscillations of the surface UV-B at Belsk for the snowless part of the year in the period 1976-2000. Here, the trend value will be inferred from a smoothed pattern of the UV oscillations derived by the LOWESS smoother that suppressed UV-B variations with time scales up to a decade. A steady increase of $\sim 10\%$ of surface UV-B at Belsk is seen in that period. The rate of the UV increase was $\sim 7\%$ (linear trend of $\sim 5.5\%$ per decade) in the period 1975–1988, whereas only \sim 3% (linear trend \sim 2.5%) per decade) in the period 1988-2000. Model D reproduces quite well the long-term oscillations of the surface UV-B. Model A is not able to reproduce the trend pattern over the first decade of observations and provides only a 6% increase in the surface UV-B for the whole period of observations. It should be noted that Fig. 4a shows the results of the best and the worst models discussed in this section (see their $Adj.R^2$ values shown in Figs. 2a and b). Model B, which contains the parameterization of the cloud effects on UV by means of the total solar radiations fluctuations, yields almost the same trend pattern as model D (compare modeled patterns of UV-B radiation in Figs. 3b and d).

A question immediately arises: what is the cause for the different trend values for the periods 1976-1988 and 1988-2000? In Fig. 4b we present the smoothed (by LOWESS smoother) variations of surface UV-B superposed on total ozone and total solar radiation variations. It is seen that the larger UV increase in the former period was related to a high downward trend in total ozone, whereas a further positive trend of the UV-B radiation in the latter period was related to increasing atmospheric transparency (that was manifested as a positive tendency in total solar radiation there), because total ozone remained rather stable over Belsk in that period. It is worth noting that the trend pattern shown in Fig. 4a was inferred, taking into account non-local regressors after removal of linear trend components and smoothing of the resultant trendless regressors. Thus, the calculated trend value of the surface UV-B radiation is a superposition of the total ozone and cloud/aerosol long-term effects on UV radiation.

6 Discussion

Total solar radiation constitutes an excellent proxy characterizing the atmospheric transparency over the UV-B range of solar radiation (e.g. Ito et al., 1993; Bordewijk et al., 1995; Bodeker and McKenzie, 1996). Thus, it is interesting that MARS (model C), taking into account rather crude proxies of the cloud effects on the surface UV-B at Belsk (NCEP-Reanalysis data of the cloud amount interpolated to the Belsk's location), yields an almost similar estimate of the UV-B fractional deviations as a simple model B using local ground-based measurements of total solar radiation as the UV regressor. Since the NCEP data provides global coverage for the period since 1948, it seems possible to estimate accurately the surface UV for a specific site, where the total ozone data were available, even if the total solar radiation was not measured there. It seems possible to reconstruct the past variations of UV radiation for any site where the long-term time series of total ozone and the cloud reduction factor over the UV range (inferred from the total solar radiation data or the cloud amounts at different levels) are available. Our model is based on fractional deviations, so to estimate the necessary value of the UV norm (i.e. the mean value of UV radiation over the observation period) the clear sky value of the surface UV radiation by a radiative transfer model should be multiplied by the mean value of cloud reduction factors over the UV range. For example, a formula derived by Matthijsen et al. (2000) can be used to relate a cloud reduction factor obtained from the attenuation of total solar radiation by clouds to that expected over the UV-B range.

The regression models examined here use indices of the atmospheric global oscillations as proxies of surface UV changes related to the long-distance forcings (teleconnections). Thus, we assume that the atmospheric transparency over Belsk might have had specific properties resulting from the air mass advection controlled by the known long-term periodical and unperiodical oscillations in the atmosphere. For example, it looks possible that in periods when NAO is in its positive phase, the maritime aerosols will appear more frequently over Belsk, and the vertical profile of aerosols and ozone in the troposphere will also be disturbed there because of enhanced westerlies and above-average storminess in those periods. Fluctuations of aerosol characteristics can be important sources of the UV variations, especially in snowless period. It was estimated that changes in aerosols optical depth have a comparable impact on the UV-B radiation as that induced by the total ozone changes. Day-to-day total ozone variations are rather small, about a few Dobsons, in that period (Krzyścin and Puchalski, 1998, see their Table 1). The clouds appeared as the strongest modulator of the UV radiation over Belsk for all seasons.

Mayer et al. (1998) found that the transfer of UV-B radiation through the cloud layer could not be fully inferred from the observed cloud effects over different wavelength ranges (e.g. UV-A, whole spectral range 300-3000 nm, as in the case of total solar radiation). It should also be noted that total solar radiation is also sensitive to the amount of water vapour in the atmosphere, which has no direct effect on the surface UV. They found that the cloud reduction factor over the UV-B range is influenced mainly by three parameters: the optical depth of cloud, the amount of ozone within the clouds (combination of the ozone vertical profile and the position of cloud), and the absorption optical depth of aerosols. These three parameters were coupled nonlinearly in their effects on UV. So if we suppose that the cloud/aerosol properties are to some extent modulated by the long-term forcings, it will be possible to find the teleconnection effects on the surface UV-B radiation. More research studies are evidently needed to clarify this problem.

A comparison with previous studies dealing with the estimation of the surface UV radiation by regression models is not straightforward because of differences in the location of the site where the UV observations were carried out, the UV variable to be estimated (absolute value, fractional deviation, non-weighted or weighted UV radiation), the data averaging procedure, and the length of the data period. It seems that the simplest way to compare the model behavior is to examine the value of the variance explained by a model. For example, the model by Matthijsen et al. (2000) resolved as much as 85% of the variance of the monthly means that were calculated by averaging daily doses of the erythemaly weighted irradiance for the period May–June–July of 1990, 1991, and 1992.

7 Conclusions

MARS is a rather new technique of the time series analysis that uses regression splines modeling and a recursive strategy to reproduce behaviour of a dependent variable using a limited number of regressors. Although MARS is a computationally intensive regression methodology, it can produce models for high-dimensional data containing multiple partitions and interactions between regressors. Here, MARS is used to reproduce the UV-B variations in the snowless part of the year for the period 1976-2000. It appears that MARS is capable of mimicing the behaviour of the observed data, especially over longer time scales (see Fig. 3d for year-to-year variations and Fig. 4a for the decadal oscillations). It should be noted that MARS possibility provides the ability to model surface UV-B radiations over any site, if the total ozone data and estimates of the cloud reduction factor are both available. The transparency of the atmosphere over the UV-B range due to changes in aerosols/cloud characteristics can be parameterized by MARS using the low-, mid-level cloud amounts (taken from NCEP Reanalysis data) or the total radiation data, and the indices of the atmospheric global circulation (QBO and NAO indices), and other external forcing (long-term solar activity described by the 10.7 cm solar flux). It should be noted that MARS is capable of handling interactions between the regressors, suggesting a possible nonlinear nature of connections between local variables, characterizing the atmospheric transparency over Belsk and long-distance teleconnections patterns. In conclusion, the use of the MARS technique appears to be effective in describing the nonlinear effects in the UV-B time series. Connections between atmospheric phenomena far apart in time and space, which could be hardly detected by other means, are also singled out and look very promising for further studies of coupling between the atmospheric global circulation and surface radiation.

Acknowledgements. The study has been supported by the Commission of the European Communities through EDUCE project contract no. EVK2-CT-1999-00028 and the Polish Committee for Scientific research under the grant no. 6 P04 D05717.

Topical Editor O. Boucher thanks J. Lenoble and another referee for their help in evaluating this paper.

References

- Bass, A. M. and Paur, R. J.: The ultraviolet cross-sections of ozone: I. The measurements, in: Atmospheric ozone (Ed.) Zerefos, C. S. and Ghazi, A., Reidel, Dordrecht, Boston, Lancaster, pp. 606– 610, 1985.
- Bodeker, G. E. and McKenzie, R. L.: An algorithm for inferring surface UV irradiance including cloud effects, J. Appl. Meteorol., 35, 1860–1877, 1996.
- Bordewijk, J. A., Slaper, H., Reinen, H. A. M., and Schlamann, E.: Total solar radiation and the influence of clouds and aerosol on the biologically effective UV, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 2151– 2154, 1995.
- Borkowski, J. L.: Revaluation of the time series of solar UV-B radiation data, Publs. Inst. Geophys. Pol. Acad. Sci. D-48(291), 81–89, 1998.
- Borkowski, J. L.: Homogenization of the Belsk UV-B series (1976– 1997) and trend analysis, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 4873–4878, 2000.
- Cleveland, W. S. and Devlin, S. J.: Locally Weighted Regression: An Approach to Regression Analysis by Local Fitting, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 83, 596–610, 1988.
- De Veaux, R., Gordon, A., and Comiso, J.: Modeling of topographic effects on Antrarctic sea-ice using multivariate adaptive regression splines, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean, 98, 20307–20319, 1993a.
- De Veaux, R., Psichogios, D., and Ungar, L. H.: A comparison of two nonparametric estimation schemes: MARS and Neural Networks, Computers in Chemical Engineering, 17, 819–837, 1993b.
- Finizio, M. and Palmieri, S.: Non-linear modeling of monthly mean vorticity time changes; an application to the western Mediterranean, Ann. Geophysicae, 16, 116–124, 1998.
- Friedman, J. H.: Multivariate adaptive regression splines, The Annals of Statistics, 19, 1–50, 1991.
- Ito, T., Sakoda, Y., Uekubo, T., Naganuma, H., Fukoda, M., and Hayashi, M.: Scientific application of UV-B observations from JMA network, Paper presented at 13th UOEH International Symposium and the Second Pan Pacific Cooperative Symposium On Impact of Increased UV-B Exposure on Human Health and Ecosystem, Univ. of Occup. and Environ. Health, Kitakyushu, Japan, 1993.
- Josefsson, W. and Landelius, T.: Effects of clouds on UV irradiance: As estimated from cloud amount, cxloud type, precipi-

tation, global radiation and sunshine duration, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 4927–4935, 2000.

- Krzyścin, J. W.: UV controlling factors and trends derived from ground-based measurements at Belsk, Poland, 1976-1994, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 16797–16805, 1996.
- Krzyścin, J. W. and Puchalski, S.: Aerosol impact on the surface UV radiation from ground-based measurements taken at Belsk, Poland, 1980–1996, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 16 175–16 181, 1998.
- Krzyścin, J. W.: Total ozone influence on the surface UV-B radiation in the late spring summer 1963–1997: An analysis of multiple scales, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 4993–5000, 2000.
- Lewis, P. A. and Stevens, J. G.: Nonlinear modeling of time series using multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS), Journal of American Statistical Association, 86, 864–877, 1991.
- Matthijsen, J., Slaper, H., Reinen, H. A. J. M., and Velders, G. J. M.: Reduction of solar UV by clouds: A comparison between satellite-derived cloud effects and ground-based radiation measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 5069–5080, 2000.
- Mayer, B., Kylling, A., Madronich, S., and Seckmeyer, G.: Enhanced absorption of UV radiation due to multiple scattering in clouds: Experimental evidence and theoretical explanation, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 32 241–32 254, 1998.
- McArthur, L. J. B., Fioletov, V. E., Kerr, J. B., McElroy, C. T., and Wardle, D. I.: Derivation of UV-A irradiance from pyranometr measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 30 139–30 151, 1999.
- McKinlay, A. and Diffey, B. L.: A reference action spectrum for ultraviolet induced erythema in human skin, in: Human Exposure to Ultraviolet Radiation: Risks and Regulation, pp. 83-87, Int. Congr. Ser., (Eds.) Passchier, W. F. and Bosnjakovich, B. F. M., Elsevier, New York, 1987.
- Rajewska-Wiech, B., Degórska, M., and Krzyścin, J. W.: An analysis of total ozone data from Belsk, obtained using the new (Bass-Paur) ozone absorption coefficients, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, Sapporo, Japan 2000, (Eds.) Bojkov, R. and Shibasaki, K., 603–604, 2000.
- Taliani, M., Palmieri, S., and Siani, A.: Visibility: an investigation based on a multivariate adaptive regression splines techniques, Meteorol. Appl., 3, 353–358, 1996.
- Weatherhead, E. C., Tiao, G. C., Reinsel, G. C., Frederick, J. E., DeLuisi, J. J., Chou, D., and Tam, W.: Analysis of long-term behavior of ultraviolet radiation measured by Robertson-Berger meters at 14 sites in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 8737–8754, 1997.